The Influence of Behavioral Finance on Investment Decisions: A Study of Cognitive Biases in Portfolio Management

Rajimol KP[1]*, Rajeshwari G V[2], Poornima U Kotehal[3], Lakshmi.S.R[4], Jahnavi R[5], Sanjana[6]

[1] Department of MBA, Atria Institute of Technology, Bangalore, India,
* **Corresponding Author Email:** rajikpc14@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0003-4538-0070

[2] Department of MBA, Sri Venkateshwara College of Engineering, Visvesvaraya Technological University, India,
Email: gvraajeshwari@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-4371-0024

[3] Nagarjuna College of Engineering and Technology Bangalore 562110 Karnataka, India
Email: poornimauk14@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000- 003- 3940-8751

[4] CHRIST (Deemed to be University),Yeshwantpur campus, Bangalore .India
Email: lakshm5i.sr@christuniversity.in - **ORCID:** 0009-0007-9193-9564

[5] Sri Venkateshwara College of Engineering, Bangalore, India,
Email: jahnaviramesh1995@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0009-0002-1942-1107

[6] Department of MBA, Cambridge Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, India,
Email: sanjana.mba@cambridge.edu.in - **ORCID:** 0009-0004-8635-974X

Abstract:

This academic article examines how behavioral finance can help inform more effective investment decisions, with an emphasis on the impact of cognitive biases on portfolio management. Many principles of traditional finance are based on rational behavior by investors (the person who takes on the investment), with the market being efficient (i.e., the market value reflects all the available information) too, but behavioral finance is a field that helps emphasize the impact of psychological factors on investor behavior. The research explores critical cognitive biases including overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring, herd behavior, and confirmation bias, and their influence on the decision-making processes in investment strategies. Through a mixed-method study including surveys and interviews with retail and institutional investors, the study reveals the prevalence and influence of these biases on portfolio diversification, risk assessment, and asset allocation. Cognitive Biases: A Real Financial Breaker. The research outcomes show that significant deviations from rational behavior tend to exist, this leads to dysfunctional investments that reduce capital. Similarly, it concludes with suggestions on ways of counteracting these biases through investor education, decision-support tools and behavioral training programs, thus encouraging more rational and informed investment decisions.

1. Introduction

Traditional investment decision-making is rooted in classical finance theory based on investor rationality and efficient markets. These theorists explain, based on traditional theory, that individuals will always act rationally, making choices calculated to produce the maximum return for minimum risk from the information they possess. However, the reality of financial markets can sometimes differ from these idealized models, exposing a more nuanced understanding of

behaviour of investor. This has led to the field of behavioural finance, an interdisciplinary field that merges perspectives from psychology and economics to help understand how emotional and cognitive factors affect financial decision-making. One of the more notable contributions from behavioural finance has been its recognition of cognitive biases—systematic departures from rational judgment—that often-skew investor perceptions and behaviour.

Cognitive biases like overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring, herd behaviour and confirmation bias

have been demonstrated to have a significant impact on portfolio management and investment decision making. I can make my investment decisions based on what I know through overconfidence, which can lead us to have too much trading or concentration on some assets. It states that investors feel more pain from losses than they feel pleasure from gains of the same size; thus, they hold onto losers too long, and sell winners too early (in part due to the recognition of loss aversion). Anchoring happens when people get too attached to initial bits of information — a stock's price where it was when they looked — and this goes on to affect their valuation and investment decisions. Herd mentality is also about following what others are doing - which can be especially dangerous in markets where fear of missing out or fear of social proof can create market bubbles or crash. Confirmation bias exacerbates these challenges, leading investors to seek out information that aligns with their preexisting beliefs while dismissing contradicting information.

These cognitive biases have not only affected investment decision but has also changed the stage of game in portfolio management that also largely differ for retail and institutional investors. Through understanding how cognitive and emotional biases influence risk preferences, portfolio allocation decisions, and investment outcomes, the research seeks to reconcile theoretical frameworks with real-world investor behaviour. This subject has been amplified in recent years due to increasingly volatile financial markets and the democratization of investing through digital platforms that have both brought the accessibility and psychological complexity of investment decisions up a notch.

Using a mixed-method research design that includes both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, the study sheds light on the prevalence and degree of cognitive biases among investors, as well as insights into their experiences and attitudes. The results will be expected to bring out the patterns that highlight non-rationality of financial decision making and will lead to building evidence in favour and against of behavioral finance framework. Finally, the study strengths the necessity of boosting investor awareness and education concerning behavioral tendencies, and it supports the implementation of behavioral insights in financial advisory services and investing instruments. Recognizing and averting the outcomes of cognitive biases empowers investors to make decisions that are more informed, balanced and rational whilst more aligned with their long-term financial objectives.

2. Literature Review

As a result, behavioural finance has become a hot topic, because it provides a rich insight into how psychological and emotional factors affect the investment decision process. Unlike classical financial theories, which presume rationality by investors and market efficiency, behavioural finance argues that cognitive bias can cloud judgment and results in investment choices that are less than ideal. Afzal [1] examines the impact of behavioural biases on investment decisions made by the investors on the Pakistan Stock Exchange, reporting that investors frequently use heuristics that compromise rational thinking, especially during tumultuous times.

Ahmad [2] investigates the phenomenon of under confidence in emerging market investor and finds that this cognitive bias has the potential to influence both short-term and long-term investment strategies, particularly negatively impacting portfolio performance and garnering returns. Adding to this, Ahmad [3], also integrates research related to heuristic-driven biases, which he argues affect overall market efficiency. His findings support the notion that biases offer representativeness, accessibility, and anchoring widespread and intractable at various investor profiles.

Ahmad and Shah [4], in another study, examine the relationship between overconfidence heuristic, perceived financial risk and financial literacy. While overconfidence is associated with more risky investment behaviour, financial literacy appeared to be able to mollify its negative consequences, they argue. Similarly, Ahmed et al. [5], which underscore the mediation role of risk perception between behavioral biases and investment decisions and show the psychological nuances in financial decision-making.

Ahmed [6], takes the qualitative route to dig out how Pakistani individual investors perceive and react to their biases. The results of the study show that most of these investors are oblivious to their biases, posing a challenge for financial education and awareness. In contrast, Almansour et al. [7], analyzes cryptocurrency investments among Gulf investors using behavioral finance and finds that emotional responses to peer influence are leading behavioral components of this type of high-risk investment.

Amudha [8], studies the impact of behavioral biases on the investment behavior of individual investors,

such as loss aversion and herd behavior, highlighting that behavioral biases are leading to irrational behavior in asset allocation. In this regard, Anifa [9], reports that investment decisions are largely determined by financial literacy and perceived risk, especially for the response concerning uncertainty in the market.

Research by Bihari et al. [10], uses machine learning techniques to explore the significance of cognitive biases upon investor decisions. These results strongly confirm that cognition-biased knowledge plays an important role in allocating capital, making a robust case for the integration of artificial intelligence into behavioral finance analyses. On the other hand, Buddhika and Ediriwickrama [11], focus their attention on the Sri Lankan stock market and document the existence of the disposition effect and the factors related to the context, for example, socio-economic status and past experiences prone to biased decisions.

Dhakal and Lamsal [12], which provide empirical evidence from Nepal demonstrating the influence of cognitive biases on novice and seasoned investors. Their study illustrates that all economists, no matter their specialization, must be aware of behavioral biases since they affect all economies. Callen et al. [13], examine information processing biases and show how the unit cost of information and its salience shape the response of investors and the formation of prices.

Finally, Cheng [14], provides a psychological breakdown of investor behavior, emphasizing the need to understand individual differences in cognition and emotion. According to Chaudary [15], the prominence or salience of financial information can influence investor attention, complicating the process of making rational investment choices.

These studies establish a clear picture of the widespread presence of cognitive biases in financial markets. Together, they affirm that the acknowledgment and regulation of tendencies in your behavior are crucial to achieving better investment returns and preserving stability in the market.

Objectives of the study

1. To identify common cognitive biases influencing investment decisions.
2. To analyze the impact of behavioral finance on portfolio management.
3. To examine the relationship between investor psychology and risk perception.

Hypothesis

Hypothesis (H_0): There is no significant relationship between investor psychology and risk perception in investment decision-making.
Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is a significant relationship between investor psychology and risk perception in investment decision-making.

3. Research Methodology

The current study employs quantitative research approach to explore the association of behavioral finance, specifically cognitive biases, on the investment decisions and effective portfolio management. This is exploratory and descriptive research, designed to investigate relationships between investor psychology and risk perception. We designed a structured questionnaire, which included validated Likert scales to measure overconfidence, loss aversion, herd behavior, anchoring, and perceived risk. Individual investors who participate in stock market activities make up the target population, and a purposive sampling method was used to guarantee that study subjects had relevant experience in financial decision-making. A total of 385 responses were collected both online and offline to ensure a good mix of demographics. Correlational, and regression techniques were applied to analyze the data collected by the participants, as these are statistical tools for measuring the strength and direction of the relationship between factors. Data were analyzed using SPSS software to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the analysis. Ethical guidelines were maintained, including obtaining informed consent from participants, ensuring confidentiality of responses, and allowing participation on a voluntary basis. It is expected that this methodology would enable empirical research on the psychological aspects that play a role in investor behavior and help refine the understanding of how these factors can enhance investment decisions and promote financial education. The following descriptive statistics summarize the answers regarding investor psychology and risk perception among 385 participants. The average of investor psychology is 3.67, signifying that generally respondents agree that psychological aspects have influence over their investment decisions. With a standard deviation of 0.76, this implies that while all investors agree that some psychological aspects play a role, the reported intensity of this perception (psychological influences) varied widely with most investors rating it anywhere between 2 to a 5.

***Table 1**. Descriptive Statistics*

Variable	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation
Investor Psychology	385	1.8	4.9	3.67	0.76
Risk Perception	385	1.5	5	3.52	0.83

The average score of risk perception is 3.52, indicating that investors have a moderate level of risk awareness in making investment. Its standard deviation is marginally higher (0.83) than previous, indicating a wider spread in how various investors think about financial risk. As can be seen with the minimum and maximum values for each variable, a wide spread of opinions were reflected in the dataset, which further reflects diversity of behaviors in the sample.

The descriptive statistics, however, indicate that investor psychology and risk perception play relevant and significantly contributing role in the investment behavior. These results add preliminary evidence that supports the alternative hypothesis of some relationship between investor psychology and risk perception, and should be extended with the use of inferential statistical tools.

***Table 2.** Pearson Correlation between Investor Psychology and Risk Perception*

Variables	Investor Psychology	Risk Perception	p-value	Significance
Investor Psychology	1	0.612**	0	Significant
Risk Perception	0.612**	1	0	Significant

This negative values are further confirmed by hypothesis testing at 5 percent level of significance where p.value is found to be less than 0.05 using Pearson Correlation Coefficient which indicates that there is a statistically significant positive relationship between risk perception and investor psychology in their investment decision-making. Correlation coefficient (r = 0.612) indicates a moderately strong association between the variables. It shows that increase in psychological influences on investors tends to increase their perception of investment risk. Moreover, the p-value equals 0.000 is much smaller than 0.05 level, thus the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted.

This finding reiterates the fact that psychological factors, including emotions, cognitive biases, and heuristics, are critical in determining how investors evaluate and react to risk. These results align with behavioral finance theories that highlight psychological elements as vital in deciding financial decisions. In short, the analysis confirms that investor psychology is an important determinant of investment behaviour: this has implications for more accurate forecasting or, in your own case, to advise others on their investment choices, especially where it is relevant to assess risk.

4. Discussion

We observe how investor psychology and risk perception intertwined affecting investment decisions, which accentuate the importance of behavioral finance applied to their findings seen in the study. This statistically significant positive correlation suggests that the psychological traits of investors affect how they perceive and respond to investment risks (Pearson Correlation Coefficient (r = 0.612, p < 0.05)). This has been echoed in existing literature, which points to cognitive bias, including overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring and availability heuristics, as principal contributors to decision-making behaviour [1,4].

Based on these findings, it implies this in ways such as — whenever emotions or heuristics take the upper hand on investors, they are prone to biased premature assessments about risk. An example would be an investor who is too confident and underestimates risks and overestimates returns or who is loss-averse and therefore shuns an otherwise promising opportunity because she fears the prospect of losing money. Such behaviors highlight the shortcomings of conventional finance theories that presume rational decision-making and complete disclosure of information.

Moreover, varied psychological responses and their concomitant influence on risk perception imply that there will be a need for customized financial advisory services. Incorporating behavioral profiling tools can help financial advisors and institutions understand their clients or prospected clients to address their individualised needs, especially during uncertain or volatile market conditions. In addition, research like that of

Ahmed et al. [6], that highlighted how the reinforcing effects of risk perception on decision outcomes can be moderated by improved financial knowledge.

Most of these findings are still relevant for emerging markets, where investor sentiment can be deeply impacted by market fluctuations, absence of information and socio-cultural phenomena. In this context, the psychology of the investor transforms into a tool to analyze market behavior and a fundamental variable in constructing financial literacy interventions and public policies.

Among the strong psychological momentum towards performance chases or loss aversion, behavioral biases seem to be more of standards and quality rather than isolated effects. Investor risk perception is a first step in connecting those psychological phenomena with theories of risk-taking and behavioral finance, which are relevant evidence into portfolio management, investment planning and even regulation.

5. Conclusion

As a result, investor psychology plays a crucial role in risk perception, which then overflows into the investment decision-making process. The results of the statistical analysis, namely the Pearson Correlation Coefficient indicate a moderately strong and positive relationship between psychological factors and perceived risks of the investment. This realization highlights one of the main ideas of behavioral finance — that by and large, investors are far from rational, and instead, rely on cognitive biases, emotions, and heuristics in their verdicts instead of purely objective interpretations.

These results confirm that behavioral characteristics of overconfidence, loss aversion, and anchoring bias can corrupt an investor's proper perception of risks and returns, resulting in less than optimal investment decisions. Moreover, financial literacy and psychological awareness are important in this respect as a moderating role in inhibiting these biases.

The implications of the study emphasize the significant role behavioral finance strategies ought to play in financial literacy training, personalization in financial advisory services, as well as policies aiming to enhance saving behavior and invest wisely. Moreover, by bringing the psychological pressure of investing into the frame, stakeholders—individual investors, financial institutions, and regulators—may make decisions that are informed not only by rational analysis, but also by human behavior. This insight is particularly important to consider in emerging markets, where volatility and investor sentiment heavily drive market dynamics.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

[1] Afzal, M. (2023). Evaluation of behavioral biases and investment decision: An evidence from Pakistan Stock Exchange (PSX). *BBE*, 12(4), 126–134. https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00094

[2] Ahmad, M. (2020). Does underconfidence matter in short-term and long-term investment decisions? Evidence from an emerging market. *Management Decision*, 59(3), 692–709. https://doi.org/10.1108/md-07-2019-0972

[3] Ahmad, M. (2022). The role of cognitive heuristic-driven biases in investment management activities and market efficiency: A research synthesis. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 19(2), 273–321. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoem-07-2020-0749

[4] Ahmad, M., & Shah, S. (2020). Overconfidence heuristic-driven bias in investment decision-making and performance: Mediating effects of risk perception and moderating effects of financial literacy. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 38(1), 60–90. https://doi.org/10.1108/jeas-07-2020-0116

[5] Ahmed, F. (2023). A qualitative study of behavioral biases among Pakistani investor decisions. *BBE*, 12(4), 1–5.

[6] Ahmed, Z., Rasool, S., Saleem, Q., Khan, M., & Kanwal, S. (2022). Mediating role of risk perception between behavioral biases and investor's investment decisions. *SAGE Open*, 12(2), 215824402210973. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221097394

[7] Almansour, B., Almansour, Y., & Al-Eidan, M. (2023). Behavioral finance and cryptocurrency investment: A study among Gulf investors. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 28(1), 112–129. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2634

[8] Amudha, R. (2024). Behavioral biases and investment behavior: A study of individual investors. *Indian Journal of Finance and Banking*, 8(2), 45–56. https://doi.org/10.46281/ijfb.v8i2.1748

[9] Anifa, A. (2023). Financial literacy, perceived risk, and investment decision making under uncertainty. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 15(1), 77–93. https://doi.org/10.5296/ajfa.v15i1.20937

[10] Bihari, S. C., Rai, S., & Verma, P. (2023). Integrating cognitive biases into AI-based investor decision models: An exploratory analysis. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 40, 100723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2023.100723

[11] Buddhika, K., & Ediriwickrama, L. (2022). Socioeconomic influences on cognitive bias: Evidence from the Sri Lankan stock market. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 78, 101481. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2021.101481

[12] Dhakal, S., & Lamsal, R. (2023). Behavioral biases in investment decision: Evidence from Nepal. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 13(1), 87–102. https://doi.org/10.18488/aefr.v13i1.3012

[13] Callen, J. L., Livnat, J., & Segal, D. (2022). Information processing and investor bias: Cost, salience, and market reaction. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 39(3), 1350–1377. https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12763

[14] Cheng, W. (2022). The psychology of investor behavior: Emotions, cognition, and risk. *Journal of Behavioral Economics for Policy*, 6(3), 25–37.

[15] Chaudary, A. R. (2019). Investor attention and information salience in financial markets. *Finance Research Letters*, 30, 81–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2019.03.008