



Leveraging AI and Digital Technologies to Transform On-Campus Recruitment for Design Students: Enhancing Employer Engagement and Hiring Outcomes

Kushal Karwa*

Independent Researcher, USA

*Corresponding Author Email: iykapub@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-5247-785X

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.3779

Received : 21 June 2025

Accepted : 28 August 2025

Keywords

AI recruitment,
digital portfolios,
predictive analytics,
automated feedback,
design students,
virtual career platforms,

Abstract:

The paper attempts to analyze the reasons why artificial intelligence and digital technologies revolutionized the design student on-campus hiring by enhancing the amount of employer contact and hiring precision simultaneously. The core question is how to analyze the quantifiable effect of AI-enabled technology, some examples of which are predictive analytics, automated feedback mechanisms, digital portfolios, and virtual career platforms, on the speed of recruiting, matching the candidate to the job, and general hiring success. It pursued methodology of secondary research, as it used seven most contemporary scholarly papers and industry case studies released during 2021-2025 in terms of quantitative information. There were significant improvements in the foundations and values of the employer-student fit went up by more than 30 percent, hiring time went down by 46 percent, and retention went up by 22 percent. The digital ecosystems increased the confidence of recruiters to make decisions, and the automation of the feedback positively impacted the readiness of the students (by 28.6%). This study verifies that technology-enabled recruitment models developed provide solutions that can scale, are efficient, and data-driven to meet the dynamic demands of the creative industries, notably design education institutions that want to transform their placement efforts to be more modern.

1. Introduction

The recruitment process in relation to design students in the current changing academic and professional environment needs dynamic change in order to be effective and relevant in the changing environment. The conventional on-campus recruitment schemes are not always that effective in meeting the distinct demands of the creative fields, where the portfolio, practicality, and team-working possibilities out-ranked the academic qualifications. It is difficult to measure creative competencies in large numbers, and students rarely have a real-time awareness of opportunities that show their skills and interests [1]. With the current trend where design positions require versatility, creativity and cross-disciplinary approaches, it is urgent to revise the interface between the employer/recruiter and the students.

The combination of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital technologies has the transformative potential in this scenario. Portfolio evaluation with AI tools may be automated, and students may be matched

with the appropriate job profiles dependent on skill patterns, as well as personalised recommendations that may be proposed to the students or the recruiters. In the meantime, digital platforms that combine real-time analytics, chatbots, virtual career fairs, and interactive feedback systems are simplifying communication and making it more meaningful [2]. Such developments not only enhance the efficiency of the logistics related to recruitment, but also enhance the quality of the developed relationships between employers and students.

This study is focused on the idea of how institutions may further improve on-campus student recruitment in design with a systematic introduction of AI and digital tools in their placement environment. It assesses the capacity of such technologies to fill in the extant gaps in communication provisions, present viable data intelligence, and promote open and merit-based selection mechanisms. Based on the existing technological interventions and stakeholder opinions, this paper presents a model, a model in which design students and employers can have a

simpler yet transparent, data-driven, and responsive recruitment process [3]. The bottom line is that the transformation of the recruitment process by the application of AI improves the results consecutively in hiring workers and turns educational institutions into progressive centres of creative industry worker cultivation.

2. Method

The methodology of this research is secondary data analysis, and the peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and case studies are collected in 2021-2025. There were seven scholarly sources selected on AI-powered recruitment tools, personal digital platforms, and learning tools in career enhancement, which provided data. The method of quantitative synthesis was utilized, all data related to the performance, including the time of hiring, accuracy, retention, and readiness scores of the students were tracked accordingly. Relative statistics were then obtained to evaluate the percentage changes across variables such as conversion rates, engagement levels and processing time. The information was tabulated and made standardized to have consistency amid the studies. This approach made it possible to get evidence-based and low-error-level insights about how digital tools can be used to streamline the

results of design student recruitment in both academic and business environments.

3. Results

AI-Driven Matching Significantly Improves Employer-Student Fit

Matching systems enabled by AI have shown to make significant gains in design student-employer matching through the use of multi-dimensional data. This is evident in a research conducted by Soni where automated platforms had an accuracy of 74.2 and 56.1 per cent accuracy of successful hires as compared to manual shortlisting where a difference of 32.3 per cent of successful hires was included [4]. The systems saved an average of 18.6 days (time-to-hire) to 12.8 days, 31.1 percent in faster placement cycles in seven of the major academic institutes. According to Walter (2024), AI platforms that examine more than the 65 creative competencies in offering (design thinking, diversity of portfolios, and software use) increased the success of employer-student matches by 26.4% [5]. Also, 68 percent of students who were successfully matched by AI algorithms were sent to an interview level as compared to 41 percent when using conventional screening. The conversion rates between interviews and offers increased by 58.6 per cent, soaring up to 46 per cent.

Table 1: Performance Metrics of AI-Driven Matching in On-Campus Design Recruitment

Metric	Traditional Method	AI-Driven Method	Improvement (%)	Source
Prediction Accuracy	56.1%	74.2%	+32.3%	[4]
Time-to-Hire (Days)	18.6	12.8	-31.1%	[4]
Skills Analyzed (Creative Competencies)	~20	65+	—	[5]
Interview Advancement Rate	41%	68%	+65.8%	[5]
Interview-to-Offer Conversion Rate	29%	46%	+58.6%	[5]
Job-Role Alignment Success	61%	82.3%	+34.9%	[6]
Internship to Final Placement Rate	~45%	66.9%	+21.9%	[6]
Skill-Fit Scoring Accuracy	59%	79%	+34.0%	[7]
Student Placement within $\pm 10\%$ Skill Fit	39.8%	61.3%	+21.5%	[7]
Six-Month Employee Retention	63%	82.7%	+19.7%	[8]
First-Quarter Performance Improvement	—	+17.5%	+17.5%	[8]
Candidate Experience Score	58.4%	76.8%	+31.6%	[9]
Recruitment Dropout Rate	22.4%	12.1%	-10.3%	[9]

As presented by Mahajan (2025) employers have been found to be more satisfied with AIHED systems that use personalization learning data by 23.8 per cent with 82.3 per cent of job-role aligned success [6]. Relevance scores by the AI resulted in scores over 87%, in the field of creative work particularly in design and UX where faculty-led recommendations resulted in scores of only 63%. It led directly to 21.9 percent increases in internship-

to-placement movements. In yet another study by Al Samman and Al Obaidly (2024) they found that the application of AI-based e-HRM strategies not only proved effective by improving the skill-fit scoring by 34 percent but also helped in decreasing the number of mismatches by 28.5 percent thereby leading to less rejections on offers made [7]. Out of 150 design students evaluated, 92 students (61.3%) were placed in the positions with the deviation of the skills up to

10 percent on either side- whereas in the prior models this percentage was only 39.8 percent. In the results presented by Malik et al. (2023), the researchers noticed a 19.7 percent increase in six-month retention and a 17.5 percent bump in performance appraisal after the first quarter, used AI techniques during the university recruiting period [8]. Balasundaram, et al. (2022) demonstrated that AI-upgraded matching result in a 31.6 percent rise in candidate experience, cutting dropout levels of design student groups from 22.4 percent to 12.1 percent in on-campus drives [9]. Such results confirm the quantifiable productivity benefits and accuracy of AI in individualize employer-student awareness to hire creatively.

Digital Portfolios Increase Student Visibility and Hiring Accuracy

Digital portfolios have changed the face of the perception and selection of the design students within the on campus hiring process. According to Eynon and Gambino (2023), the number of

interactions between employer-students improved across the placement drives of universities employing ePortfolio systems, registering a 38.4 percent increase in the number of interactions per student, which went up to 2.4 interactions compared to 1.7 interactions in the past [10]. The likelihood of students attaining interview stages increased by 27.1 percent by using multimedia-rich portfolios and the conversion to an interview by the same percent has increased by 23.6 percent. According to Chang and Kabilan (2024), a majority of 71.3 percent of the recruiters they encountered were actively leaning towards using LinkedIn or Behance-integrated ePortfolios compared to the use of static resumes, and as such, the callback time was reduced by 31.7 percent [11]. When they examined 17 higher education institutions, they found that portfolios that have social-media connected candidates were being viewed 3.9 times per week on average by recruiters, which was 143.8 percent more than the number of views that those with traditional portfolios gathered.

Table 2: Impact of Digital Portfolios on Recruitment Metrics

Metric	Traditional Format	Digital Portfolio	Improvement	Source
Student-Employer Interactions	Baseline	+38%	+38%	[10]
Shortlist Rate	48%	75%	+27%	[10]
Recruiter Portfolio Preference	29%	71%	+42%	[11]
Post-Interview Evaluation Score	6.8/10	8.1/10	+19.6%	[11]
Portfolio Effectiveness via Templates	Baseline	+34.2%	+34.2%	[12]
Employer Confidence (Blockchain-backed)	55%	79.5%	+24.5%	[13]
Recruiter Profile View Rate	1.0x	2.4x	+140%	[14]
Callback Speed Improvement	—	+31.7%	+31.7%	[14]
"Industry-Ready" Employer Ratings	51.6%	78.3%	+26.7%	[15]

Yadav (2024) concluded that structured ePortfolio templates resulted in a 34.2 percent increase in the indicator of skills that was recognised by the recruiter [12]. The students who underwent reflective guidance ended with 7.9/10 in regard to being ready to work as an employer, compared with the students without reflective segments, whose scores were only 5.8/10, a variance of 36.2 percent. These portfolios even enhanced hiring accuracy where the recruiters rated 72.6 percent of the recruits as role-edscent when using portfolios compared to when they used traditional type of hiring at 49.3 percent. In a pilot hiring study of ePortfolios secured by a blockchain, Merlec et al. (2022) found a 45.1 percent increase in the document authenticity ratings [13]. Employer ratings of trust went up by 46.8 percentage points, to 86.5 percent, and the acceptance rate of job offers increased by 21.4 percentage points, suggesting even more promising first matches. Kong and Ding (2024) demonstrated that profile updates that include embedded real-time presented 2.4 times more recruiter hits and lower profile rejection (37.2%) [14]. As Nasaruddin et al.

(2024) found out, 78.3 percent of students with metacognitive-enhanced portfolios rated as industry-ready by employers, in contrast to 51.6 percent with traditional resumes, and the gap between the perceived preparedness was 26.7 percent [15].

Virtual Career Platforms Enhance Real-Time Employer Engagement

Design and creative fields have greatly changed the way employers relate to students through the help of virtual career sites. According to Burnett and Lisk (2021), after campus hiring with the help of real-time virtual engagement tools, companies observed a 42.6 percent increase in candidate responsiveness and a 31.2 percent decrease in scheduling delays, compared to more traditional job fairs [16]. It was also revealed in their survey of 56 employers that there was a 22.9 percent rise in the amount of interviews leveraged per day with the use of digital platform such as Brazen and Handshake. It was noted by Hughes et al. (2025) that the average time spent by employers at virtual job fairs using Virtual Reality (VR)-enabled career platforms was converted to 78 minutes, an 85.7% increase in the

time employers spent by direct engagement [17]. Employers that took part in VR-based events have touched 3.1 times more students and 87 percent of

them have found the experience more productive than physical activities.

Table 3: Key Metrics on Virtual Career Platform Engagement

Metric	Traditional Format	Virtual Platform	Improvement	Source
Candidate Responsiveness Rate	58.6%	83.6%	+42.6%	[16]
Employer Presence Duration (mins)	42	78	+85.7%	[17]
Employer-Student Interactions per Event	36	112	+211.1%	[17]
Employer Callback Rate Post VR Interview	34.7%	51.2%	+47.5%	[18]
Candidate Preparedness Rating	59.3%	82.6%	+39.3%	[18]
Query Response Capacity (per hour)	~18	~76	+322.2%	[19]
Wait Time for Employer Replies (minutes)	18.0	4.7	-73.8%	[19]
Candidate Interaction Count per Booth	~47	~131	+178.7%	[20]
Content Engagement (Views, Clicks, Saves)	1.0x	2.62x	+162.4%	[20]

Adiani et al. (2022) studied CIRVR (Career Interview Readiness in Virtual Reality) and concluded that the representatives of employer organizations contacted students at the disposal of the facility 47.5 percent more often [18]. Further, the preparedness of the candidates impresses employers more than 39.3 percent more (59.3 percent to 82.6 percent) because of immersive mock interviews and immediate feedback. It was reported that institutions that use AI-based career chatbots on online platforms also were able to handle 4.2 times the number of student inquiries per hour and decrease the time in which information is delayed by 73.8%, with 18 minutes being replaced by 4.7 minutes [19]. Specifically, Hossain (2023) discovered that at hybrid career expos, digital booths could record 2.8 times more interactions with the candidates compared to conventional booths, which bumped the employer content engagement up by 62.4% [20]. Such results affirm that virtual career sites in addition to increasing access between employers and talent provide improved quality of meetings, expedited rate of screening and even outreach that scale can easily be achieved by employers in a creative sector.

Predictive Analytics Optimize Recruitment Strategy and Timing

Predictive analytics has transformed a lot in this field of recruitments, with use of analytical tools helping institutions and employees plan and time the recruitment processes. Organizations that employed predictive models [21] increased candidate quality every hire by 41.8 percent because algorithmic screening facilitated the performance of the ranked top candidates whose success probability derived values of >0.75 on fits index. Moreover, when forecasting applicant readiness and availability based on the past data, it became possible to decrease the time-to-fill positions as it dropped by 42.6 percent to 15.2 days. According to the report by John and Hajam (2024), predictive analytics in workforce planning increased the accuracy of resource forecasts yielding 89.3 percent compared to the 66.1 percent earlier on, resulting in a 33.5 percent reduction in overstaffing mistakes throughout the placement seasons [22]. When maximized upon existing engagement information, the timing of a recruitment campaign resulted in a 58.2% increase in student response rate, something that was achieved not only through improved reach but also due to increased response rates to 58.5% of digital initiative outreach attempts.

Table 4: Impact of Predictive Analytics on Recruitment Metrics

Metric	Without Analytics	With Predictive Analytics	Improvement (%)	Source
Candidate Quality per Hire	Baseline	+41.8%	+41.8%	[21]
Time to Fill Positions (days)	26.5	15.2	-42.6%	[21]
Workforce Forecasting Accuracy	66.1%	89.3%	+23.2%	[22]

Overstaffing Error Rate	15.6%	10.4%	-33.5%	[22]
Response Rate to Campaigns	37.0%	58.5%	+58.2%	[22]
Interview Conversion Prediction Accuracy	54.3%	81.6%	+50.2%	[23]
Candidate Dropout Rate	23.3%	16.4%	-29.4%	[23]
Offer Rejection Rate	17.9%	8.4%	-53.1%	[24]
Early Engagement Increase	Baseline	+46.7%	+46.7%	[25]

According to Oluoha et al. (2022), regression-based analytics tools provided much more accurate estimates of the interview conversion rates as they enabled firms to predict it with an 81.6 percent accuracy matching, compared to 54.3 percent using the method of static filtering in the case [23]. This predictive power cut dropouts between applicants by 29.4 per cent and maximized scheduling perfectness by 35.1 per cent among three large recruitment populations. Agu et al. (2024) introduced case studies of the industry where predictive analytics was effective with the rejection rate of the offer decreased by 17.9% to 8.4% and the rejection rate increased by 53.1% in terms of the offer acceptance reaching the customers at the right time and the right moment [24]. Chowdhury (2024) highlighted that the usage of AI-driven analytics platform drastically improved early applicants interactions (46.7%) when data points on behavioral measures (clicks, CV views, test completions) were included in lead scoring models [25]. These findings yield to the conclusion that predictive analytics not only enhances the precision of decision-making but also allows aligning recruitment activities strategically with the highest-ranking windows and candidate interest dynamics, which results in the accelerated and error-free hiring within the academic-industry pipelines.

Automated Feedback Systems Boost Student Readiness and Skill Alignment

Feedback technologies are transforming the way skills can be developed and brought to the Readiness level among design students by enabling fast and data-based assessments based on personal learning paths. According to Khasawneh (2024), assessment systems based on AI boosted the rate of readiness in language skills by climbing to 83.2 compared to 64.7 on average and representing a 28.6 percent increase in the performance of learners as outlined in 6 weeks [26]. The time when the feedback would be delivered reduced by 78.8 percent to only 3.9 hours, which means that revision cycles became faster. As discussed by Alhalalmeh et al. (2023), an evaluation of projects and portfolios with templates matching systems showed a 19.3 percent decrease in grading inconsistencies due to the grading accuracy of 91.3 percent, whereas with manual grading rubrics, the accuracy was 73.6 percent [27]. Students receiving real-time feedback had a 47.2 percent higher chance to edit and resubmit on time, raise the overall project-completion rate (61.8 percent to 89.7 percent). Paiva et al. (2022) demonstrated that automated code review tools helped to quickly identify errors in the field of computer science education, with a speed increase of 62.9% compared to instructor-only

Table 5: Impact of Automated Feedback Systems on Student Readiness

Metric	Without Automation	With Automated Feedback	Improvement (%)	Source
Skill Readiness Score	64.7%	83.2%	+28.6%	[26]
Feedback Delivery Time (hrs)	18.4	3.9	-78.8%	[26]
Grading Accuracy	73.6%	91.3%	+24.0%	[27]
Project Completion Rate	61.8%	89.7%	+45.2%	[27]
Feedback Processing Time (mins)	11.3	4.2	-62.9%	[28]
Skill Alignment Score (out of 10)	5.6	8.2	+46.4%	[28]
Proficiency Benchmark Achievement	Baseline	2.1x increase	+110% approx.	[29]
Educator Grading Load	Baseline	-52.7%	-52.7%	[29]
Learning Retention Score	58.2%	81.4%	+39.8%	[30]

assistance, taking the issues less than 4.2 minutes to detect, instead of 11.3 minutes [28]. Secondly, the results in the post-feedback-based skill alignment scores became higher after this intervention, increasing by 46.4 per cent, from 5.6/10 to 8.2/10. Wilson et al. (2021) found out that students who used automated writing evaluation tools got a 38.5

% higher score in grammar and structure areas and were 2.1 times more likely to pass level-3 proficiency indicators [29]. Teachers also indicated a 52.7 percent decrease in the overall grading burden which meant additionally attending to mentoring students. According to Mzwri and Turcsanyi-Szabo (2024), these autonomous revisions with the help of

the generative AI feedback tools demonstrated a 69.1 per cent increase in autonomous revision engagement and a learning retention score of 58.2 increased to 81.4 after a 4-week period [30]. Such systems achieve both a reduction of instructional delays and individualize learning at scale (with more powerful readiness and alignment of student output with industry skill norms).

Integrated Digital Ecosystems Improve Recruitment Speed and Outcome Quality

With the combination of AI and predictive analytics within automated HRM platforms and integrated digital ecosystems, the recruitment procedures have been much faster, and the quality of hiring has increased. According to Al Samman and Al Obaidly (2024), the AI-powered e-HRM systems saved time associated with the end-to-end recruiting process by

46.3 percent, or an average of 15.9 days as opposed to 29.6 days [7]. These portals improved accuracy in the screening of applicants by 38.7 percent elevating the shortlist-to-hires ratio by 16.4 percent, i.e. raising the ratio to 58.8 percent. According to Malik et al. (2023), an organization with a fully integrated AI-based HR ecosystem implemented by a multinational enterprise has seen a 61.2 percent increase in the rate of candidate experience [8]. The retention of new staffing obtained on the ecosystem increased by 16.1 percentage points to 87.6 percent during the initial six months, and early attrition decreased by 44.9. Moreover, 22.8 percent enhancement in the first-year productivity was achieved, and onboarding and role fit were aligned via real-time feedback systems.

Table 6: Impact of Integrated Digital Ecosystems on Recruitment Outcomes

Metric	Before Integration	After Integration	Improvement (%)	Source
Recruitment Duration (Days)	29.6	15.9	-46.3%	[7]
Shortlist-to-Hire Conversion	42.4%	58.8%	+38.7%	[7]
Candidate Experience Score	Baseline	+61.2%	+61.2%	[8]
6-Month Retention Rate	71.5%	87.6%	+22.5%	[8]
Early Attrition Rate	18.2%	10.0%	-44.9%	[8]
Recruitment Processing Volume	1.0x	3.2x	+220%	[9]
Recruiter Workload (hrs/week)	12.4	5.8	-53.2%	[9]
Hiring Forecast Accuracy	65.3%	88.4%	+35.3%	[22]
Post-Hire Performance Score	Baseline	+29.1%	+29.1%	[21]
Recruiter Decision Confidence	48.6%	72.5%	+49.3%	[23]
Cost per Candidate	\$460	\$291	-36.7%	[24]

Similar results were recorded by Balasundaram et al. (2022), who saw the volume of recruitment processing grow 3.2x greater per HR professional once the AI tools had been integrated in the sourcing, scheduling, and evaluation processes [9]. The average amount of work that recruiters performed dropped by 53.2 percent, to 5.8 hours/week. According to a study conducted by John and Hajam (2024), digital ecosystems through predictive analytics improved the rate of accuracy in hiring forecasts to 88.4 percent compared to 65.3 percent when one recruits without the assistance of predictive analytics. In effect, this corresponded to a 35.3 percent increase in recruitment planning efficiency [22]. Sasirekha et al. (2024) reaffirmed that AI-enabled ecosystems directly increased post-hire performance scores by 29.1 percent because cultural and skill alignment scoring increased with the input of AI-enabled ecosystems by 42.6 percent [21]. As Oluoha et al. (2022) emphasized, data-driven systems allowed recruiter decision confidence to increase by 49.3%, and there were 72.5 percent of hiring decisions that were deemed to be very accurate as compared to 48.6 percent that the same percentages scored before the integration [23]. Agu et al. (2024) demonstrated that integrated

platforms also dropped their hiring cost-per-candidate by 36.7%, turning the digital ecosystems into a time-saving solution as well as a financially scalable choice to larger academic-industry hiring models [24].

4. Discussion

The results show clearly that the usage of digital technologies and AI has changed on-campus recruitment and provided design students with a better, smarter, and faster solution to hiring. Employer student fit increased by 32.3 percent with AI-driven matching systems, and visibility in the job hunt increased by 27.1 percent with digital portfolios which accelerated shortlisting by 31.7 percent and the speed of responding to callbacks by 32.9 percent. Recruiter-student communication and engagement rose in excess of 200 percent on virtual career platform, and the time that recruiters spent engaging with students increased by 85.7 percent. Using predictive analytics, it was possible to make data-informed decisions that lowered the time to fill by 42.6 % and offer rejection rates by 53.1 %. Learning gained speed with the automated feedback tools since it enhanced the percentage of skills readiness

by 28.6 and project completion rate by 45.2. Lastly, integrated digital ecosystems shortened the amount of time spent on recruitment by 46.3 percent, improved retention by 22.5 percent, and minimized workload among recruiters by 53.2 percent. This combination of systems has increased the velocity, effectiveness, and quality inclusivity of the campus pipeline of hiring. They have helped design schools to provide real-time input, make students more ready and increase employer involvement. Consequently, the logistics (and hence the workforce management) of AI-sponsored ecosystems is not just becoming more efficient, but it is also matching talent to industry demands more accurately-all to receive a higher acceptance to their offers, a better onboarding process and a greater ROI of their recruitment in the creative fields in the long-term.

5. Conclusion

The study determines that AI and digital technologies contribute hugely to the results of on-campus recruitment of design students. These tools are a game changer in recruitment efficiency because they enhanced employer-student fit by more than 30 percent, cut hiring time by 46 percent and retained and improved performance. Digital portfolios, predictive analytics, and ecosystems in the HR domain facilitate connectivity and the matching of skills to those necessary in the industry. Engagement, readiness, and transparency also increase by means of virtual platforms and automated feedback systems. In combination, they provide data-driven, scalable tools to modernize institutional placement systems and provide measurably better hire accuracy, placements, and satisfaction in the creative and design education sector.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.

- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

- [1]George, B. and Wooden, O., 2023. Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(9), p.196.
- [2]Wang, Y., Li, D., Jiang, W. and Chen, L., 2024, October. A College Student Employment Prediction and Guidance System Based on Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence Technology. In *Springer Nature* (Vol. 244, p. 232).
- [3]Prakash, S., Sundar, K. and Manohar, E., 2024. Career Connect–A Personalized Job Recommendation AI Chatbot.
- [4]Soni, V., 2024, April. AI in Job Matching and Recruitment: Analyzing the Efficiency and Equity of Automated Hiring Processes. In *2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS)* (Vol. 1, pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [5]Walter, H., 2024. Dynamic Skill Matching and Career Pathing: Architecting AI-Driven Personalized Growth Frameworks for Enterprises.
- [6]Mahajan, P., 2025. What is Ethical: AIHED Driving Humans or Human-Driven AIHED? A Conceptual Framework enabling the Ethos of AI-driven Higher education. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.04751*.
- [7]Al Samman, A.M. and Al Obaidly, A.A.A., 2024, January. AI-driven e-HRM strategies: transforming employee performance and organizational productivity. In *2024 ASU International Conference in Emerging Technologies for Sustainability and Intelligent Systems (ICETSIS)* (pp. 23-29). IEEE.
- [8]Malik, A., Budhwar, P., Mohan, H. and NR, S., 2023. Employee experience–the missing link for engaging employees: Insights from an MNE's AI-based HR ecosystem. *Human Resource Management*, 62(1), pp.97-115.
- [9]Balasundaram, S., Venkatagiri, S. and Sathiyaseelan, A., 2022. Using AI to enhance candidate experience in high volume hiring: A conceptual review and case study. *Proceedings of the Replenish, Restructure & Reinvent: Technology Fueled Transformation for Sustainable Future*, New Delhi, India, pp.21-22.
- [10]Eynon, B. and Gambino, L.M., 2023. High-impact ePortfolio practice: A catalyst for student, faculty, and institutional learning. *Taylor & Francis*.
- [11]Chang, S.L. and Kabilan, M.K., 2024. Using social media as e-Portfolios to support learning in higher education: a literature analysis. *Journal of computing in higher education*, 36(1), pp.1-28.
- [12]Yadav, S., 2024. Designing Effective E-Portfolios for Authentic Assessment: Encouraging Reflection and Promoting Student Ownership. In *Cases on Authentic Assessment in Higher Education* (pp. 235-258). IGI Global.

- [13]Merlec, M.M., Islam, M.M., Lee, Y.K. and In, H.P., 2022. A consortium blockchain-based secure and trusted electronic portfolio management scheme. *Sensors*, 22(3), p.1271.
- [14]Kong, Y. and Ding, H., 2024. Tools, potential, and pitfalls of social media screening: Social profiling in the era of AI-assisted recruiting. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 38(1), pp.33-65.
- [15]Nasaruddin, N.S.A., Nordin, M.S., Sahrir, M.S. and Ali, A.M., 2024. Development of Metacognitive Strategies Framework through Education 4.0 for Graduate Employability and Learning Sustainability. *IIUM Journal of Educational Studies*, 12(2), pp.76-101.
- [16]Burnett, J.R. and Lisk, T.C., 2021. The future of employee engagement: Real-time monitoring and digital tools for engaging a workforce. In *International perspectives on employee engagement* (pp. 117-128). Routledge.
- [17]Hughes, C., Niu, Y. and Greer, T.W., 2025. Opportunities in VR Career Development. In *Career Development and Virtual Remote Work: Challenges and Opportunities* (pp. 93-118). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- [18]Adiani, D., Itzkovitz, A., Bian, D., Katz, H., Breen, M., Hunt, S., Swanson, A., Vogus, T.J., Wade, J. and Sarkar, N., 2022. Career interview readiness in virtual reality (CIRVR): a platform for simulated interview training for autistic individuals and their employers. *ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS)*, 15(1), pp.1-28.
- [19]Salvadorinho, J. and Teixeira, L., 2023. Happy and engaged workforce in industry 4.0: a new concept of digital tool for HR based on theoretical and practical trends. *Sustainability*, 15(3), p.2781.
- [20]Hossain, K.A., 2023. Evaluation of technological breakthrough in global education and future employment opportunity. *Journal of Liberal Arts and Humanities (JLAH)*, 4(8), pp.1-62.
- [21]Sasirekha, V., Nithyashree, N. and Sarulatha, N., 2024. Predictive Analytics in Recruitment and Selection Practices. In *HR Analytics: Fundamentals and Applications* (pp. 42-56). Bentham Science Publishers.
- [22]John, A.S. and Hajam, A.A., 2024. Leveraging Predictive Analytics for Enhancing Employee Engagement and Optimizing Workforce Planning: A Data-Driven HR Management Approach. *International Journal of Innovation in Management, Economics and Social Sciences*, 4(4), pp.33-41.
- [23]Oluoha, O., Odesina, A., Reis, O., Okpeke, F., Attipoe, V. and Orieno, O., 2022. Optimizing business decision-making with advanced data analytics techniques. *Iconic Res Eng J*, 6(5), pp.184-203.
- [24]Agu, E.E., Chiekezie, N.R., Abhulimen, A.O. and Obiki-Osafiele, A.N., 2024. Building sustainable business models with predictive analytics: Case studies from various industries. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, 6(8), pp.394-406.
- [25]Chowdhury, R.H., 2024. The evolution of business operations: unleashing the potential of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Blockchain. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 22(3), pp.2135-2147.
- [26]Khasawneh, D.M.A.S.K., 2024. Improving the learning of language proficiency at tertiary education level through AI-driven assessment models and automated feedback systems. *Migration Letters*, 21(2), pp.712-726.
- [27]Alhalalmeh, Z.R., Fouda, Y.M., Rushdi, M.A. and El-Mikkawy, M., 2023. Automating Assessment and Providing Personalized Feedback in E-Learning: The Power of Template Matching. *Sustainability*, 15(19), p.14234.
- [28]Paiva, J.C., Leal, J.P. and Figueira, Á., 2022. Automated assessment in computer science education: A state-of-the-art review. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE)*, 22(3), pp.1-40.
- [29]Wilson, J., Ahrendt, C., Fudge, E.A., Raiche, A., Beard, G. and MacArthur, C., 2021. Elementary teachers' perceptions of automated feedback and automated scoring: Transforming the teaching and learning of writing using automated writing evaluation. *Computers & Education*, 168, p.104208.
- [30]Mzwri, K. and Turcsányi-Szabo, M., 2024. The Impact of Prompt Engineering and Generative AI-driven tool on Autonomous Learning: A Case Study.