



## **Interconnection Between Psychological Well-being and Oral Health A Multidisciplinary Nursing and Health Administration Perspective**

**Salha Meshal Mohammed Alzahrani<sup>1</sup>, Sameraah Abdullah Alshehri<sup>2</sup>, Dahma Abulgasim Musa Aljafree<sup>3</sup>, Jawaher Nasser AlQarni<sup>4</sup>, Modhi Mohammed Alsulami<sup>5</sup>, Alhanouf badr Alsolami<sup>6</sup>,  
A Khalifah Zainab Ali H<sup>7</sup>, Manal Ismail Almutairi<sup>8</sup>, Mariam Mohammed Magrshi<sup>9</sup>,  
Abdurhman Owuid Maznan Almitiri<sup>10</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Nurse assistant - 13th PHC - Saudi Arabia

\* Corresponding Author Email: [salha73473@gmail.com](mailto:salha73473@gmail.com) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5247-7850

<sup>2</sup>Nurse - 13th PHC - Saudi Arabia

Email: [saamalshehri6@gmail.com](mailto:saamalshehri6@gmail.com) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5249-7850

<sup>3</sup> Nursing Technician - Kilo 14 Primary Health Care Centre - Saudi Arabia

Email: [djafaree1403@gmail.com](mailto:djafaree1403@gmail.com) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5240-7850

<sup>4</sup> Nurse technician - 13 Kilo PHC - Saudi Arabia

Email: [jawher\\_nasser@outlook.com](mailto:jawher_nasser@outlook.com) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5248-7850

<sup>5</sup> Nurse technician - Self-resources in Al-Thaghr Hospital centers - Saudi Arabia

Email: [modi-16@hotmail.com](mailto:modi-16@hotmail.com) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5246-7850

<sup>6</sup> Clinical Mental Health Counseling - Eastern Jeddah Hospital - Saudi Arabia

Email: [alhanoufba@moh.gov.sa](mailto:alhanoufba@moh.gov.sa) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5245-7850

<sup>7</sup> Dental Hygienist - MOH - Saudi Arabia

Email: [alkhalifahz61@gmail.com](mailto:alkhalifahz61@gmail.com) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5244-7850

<sup>8</sup> Health Services and Hospital Management Specialist - Self-resources in the Affairs of the Centers, Al-Thaghr Hospital - Saudi Arabia

Email: [mealmuteri@moh.gov.sa](mailto:mealmuteri@moh.gov.sa) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5243-7850

<sup>9</sup> Nursing - Al-Thaghr Hospital - Saudi Arabia

Email: [mom994372@gmail.com](mailto:mom994372@gmail.com) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5242-7850

<sup>10</sup> Nurse - Kilo 13 Primary Care Center, Althghar Hospital - Saudi Arabia

Email: [aalmitiri@moh.gov.sa](mailto:aalmitiri@moh.gov.sa) - ORCID: 0000-0002-5241-7850

### **Article Info:**

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.3999

Received : 02 January 2025

Accepted : 31 January 2025

### **Keywords**

Psychological Well-being,  
Oral Health,  
Interprofessional Collaboration,  
Nursing Care,  
Health Administration,  
Integrated Care

### **Abstract:**

The interconnection between psychological well-being and oral health is a critical area of study that demonstrates how mental health can significantly influence physical health outcomes. Research suggests that individuals experiencing psychological distress, such as anxiety and depression, are more likely to neglect their oral hygiene routines, which can lead to a cycle of poor oral health. For instance, the stress associated with mental health issues may lead to behaviors such as teeth grinding or neglecting regular dental check-ups, exacerbating conditions such as gum disease or tooth decay. From a multidisciplinary nursing and health administration perspective, understanding this relationship prompts a holistic approach to patient care, where mental health screenings are integrated into routine dental assessments. This collaboration can ultimately lead to the development of tailored interventions that address both psychological and oral health needs, promoting overall well-being. Moreover, addressing the psychological factors influencing oral health can enhance treatment adherence and patient outcomes. Health administrators and nursing professionals are in a unique position to implement preventive measures that promote oral health education and mental health resources within dental practices. This may include training dental staff to recognize signs of anxiety and depression in patients, as well as fostering a supportive environment where

individuals feel comfortable discussing their mental health concerns. Additionally, interprofessional collaboration can facilitate the creation of care plans that bridge dental health and psychological support services, ultimately contributing to a more comprehensive healthcare strategy. By advocating for policies that integrate mental health into oral health care settings, health administrators can help reduce the stigma associated with both fields, empowering patients to seek the care they need for both their minds and their mouths.

## 1. Introduction

Oral health is fundamentally defined as a state of being free from chronic mouth and facial pain, oral and throat cancer, oral sores, birth defects such as cleft lip and palate, periodontal (gum) disease, tooth decay and loss, and other diseases and disorders that limit an individual's capacity in biting, chewing, smiling, speaking, and psychosocial well-being [1]. It is, therefore, inherently linked to quality of life, self-esteem, and social interaction. Conversely, psychological well-being encompasses not only the absence of mental illness but the presence of positive attributes such as life satisfaction, autonomy, environmental mastery, and the ability to maintain positive relationships [2]. The nexus between these two domains is where a dynamic and often cyclical relationship forms, creating significant challenges and opportunities for healthcare delivery. The pathway from psychological distress to poor oral health is well-documented. Conditions such as chronic stress, anxiety, and depression can lead to profound neglect of self-care routines, including brushing and flossing, thereby increasing the risk of caries and periodontal disease [3]. Furthermore, psychological states have a direct neurophysiological impact. Chronic stress elevates cortisol levels, which can suppress the immune system and increase susceptibility to periodontitis by impairing the body's ability to combat inflammatory pathogens [4]. The role of health behaviors is also paramount; individuals experiencing psychological distress are more likely to engage in risk-taking behaviors such as smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, and poor dietary choices, all of which are significant risk factors for oral diseases [5]. Specific mental health conditions, including depression and eating disorders, may also lead to xerostomia (dry mouth) as a side effect of psychotropic medications or due to poor nutritional intake, further exacerbating

oral health deterioration [6]. In severe cases, conditions like dental phobia can result in complete avoidance of dental care, allowing minor, treatable issues to escalate into complex, painful, and costly emergencies [7]. Conversely, the impact of poor oral health on psychological well-being is equally significant and can initiate a devastating feedback loop. Oral diseases and tooth loss can cause chronic pain, functional limitations in chewing and speaking, and aesthetic concerns that severely diminish an individual's self-confidence and body image [8]. This can lead to social anxiety, embarrassment, and withdrawal from social interactions and professional opportunities, fostering feelings of isolation and loneliness that are key risk factors for depression [9]. The visible nature of oral problems makes them a source of immediate social judgment, which can be particularly damaging to an individual's social identity and self-worth. Studies have shown that people with poor oral health often report a significantly lower quality of life, with impacts on their daily activities and psychological comfort comparable to those suffering from chronic systemic conditions like arthritis or diabetes [10]. This cycle is pernicious: psychological distress leads to poor oral health, which in turn deepens the psychological distress, creating a vortex from which it is difficult to escape without targeted, interdisciplinary intervention. Despite the clarity of this bidirectional relationship, contemporary healthcare systems often fail to address it in an integrated manner. Dental care and mental health care frequently exist in separate silos, with limited communication, referral pathways, and shared treatment planning. This fragmentation is where the perspectives of nursing and health administration become not just relevant, but transformative. The nursing profession, with its foundational principle of holistic, patient-centered care, is uniquely positioned to bridge

this gap. Nurses are often the first and most consistent point of contact for patients across various healthcare settings—from hospitals and primary care clinics to long-term care facilities. Their role in conducting comprehensive health assessments provides a critical opportunity to screen for both oral health problems and signs of psychological distress that other specialists might miss [11]. For instance, a nurse in a geriatric ward can assess an elderly patient's oral hygiene, note signs of neglect linked to depression, and initiate a coordinated care plan. Similarly, a school nurse can identify dental caries in a child and explore potential links to anxiety or socioeconomic factors affecting the family. The nursing process—assessment, diagnosis, planning, implementation, and evaluation—offers a robust framework for managing this interconnection. Nurses can provide essential patient education on the oral-systemic-health link, deliver motivational interviewing to encourage better self-care, and perform basic oral hygiene for vulnerable patients (e.g., those in palliative care or with disabilities). Furthermore, psychiatric-mental health nurses possess the specialized skills to address the psychological barriers to oral care, such as dental phobia or the self-neglect associated with severe depression. However, for nurses to fulfill this pivotal role effectively, they must operate within a healthcare system that is structured, resourced, and incentivized to support such integrative practices. This is the domain of health administration. Health administration provides the macro-level scaffolding necessary to make integrated care a sustainable reality. Without strategic leadership, supportive policies, and efficient resource allocation, the best clinical intentions of nurses and other providers can be thwarted by systemic barriers. Health administrators are instrumental in designing and managing integrated care models that facilitate collaboration between dental, medical, and mental health professionals. This can include creating co-located services, developing shared electronic health records that flag both oral and mental health concerns, and establishing clear, streamlined inter-professional referral protocols [12]. From a financial perspective, administrators can champion value-based payment models that reward holistic health

outcomes rather than discrete, procedure-based tasks, thereby incentivizing screening and early intervention. Moreover, health administrators are key to fostering a culture of continuous education and interdisciplinary training. They can mandate and fund training programs that equip nurses, dentists, and physicians with the knowledge and skills to recognize and address the psychological dimensions of oral health, and vice versa. They also play a crucial role in population health management, using data analytics to identify at-risk communities where the burden of both poor oral health and mental health issues is high, and then directing public health initiatives and resources accordingly [13]. In essence, while nursing provides the human-centric, clinical bridge between these two fields, health administration builds the operational and strategic infrastructure that allows that bridge to be crossed safely and efficiently by all patients [14].

## 2. Linking Mental Health and Oral Health

Understanding the complex interplay between mental health and oral health requires moving beyond simple correlation to establish a robust conceptual framework. This framework is built upon several interconnected theoretical pillars that explain the biological, behavioral, and psychosocial pathways through which these two domains influence each other. At its core, this conceptual model posits that the relationship is bidirectional and often cyclical, creating a self-perpetuating loop that can either degrade or enhance overall well-being. The primary theoretical underpinnings can be categorized into the stress-pathophysiology model, the health behavior model, and the psychosocial impact model, all of which are essential for a comprehensive nursing and health administration perspective. The first and most direct pathway is explained by the stress-pathophysiology model, which delves into the neuroendocrine mechanisms that connect psychological states to oral disease. Chronic psychological stress, a common feature of many mental health disorders, activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, leading to the elevated secretion of cortisol, the primary stress hormone. While essential for acute survival, sustained high levels of cortisol

have a dysregulatory effect on the immune system. Specifically, cortisol inhibits the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines while simultaneously promoting the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, which may seem beneficial but ultimately disrupts the body's finely tuned immune response to bacterial challenge [15]. In the context of the oral cavity, which is home to a complex biofilm of bacteria, this immunosuppression impairs the ability of gingival and periodontal tissues to mount an effective defense against pathogenic bacteria. Consequently, the progression of periodontal disease is accelerated, as the body's capacity to control inflammation and repair tissue is compromised [16]. This provides a clear biological explanation for why individuals with chronic anxiety, depression, or prolonged exposure to stressful life events exhibit a higher prevalence and severity of periodontitis, independent of their oral hygiene habits. Furthermore, the stress-pathophysiology model extends to oral health through its impact on salivary function. The autonomic nervous system, which is profoundly affected by psychological stress, directly controls salivary secretion. Chronic stress can lead to a reduction in both the rate of salivary flow and alterations in its composition, resulting in xerostomia, or dry mouth [17]. Saliva is a critical biological fluid that provides mechanical cleansing, buffers acids, and possesses antimicrobial properties. A deficiency in saliva therefore creates an environment highly conducive to the development of dental caries, fungal infections such as candidiasis, and difficulty in swallowing and speaking. This pathway is often exacerbated by the pharmacotherapy used to treat mental health conditions, as many antidepressant and anxiolytic medications list xerostomia as a common side effect, creating a double jeopardy for the patient's oral health [18]. From a nursing perspective, understanding this model is crucial. It moves the conversation from blaming the patient for "poor hygiene" to recognizing a physiological process that requires clinical intervention. Assessment protocols must, therefore, include screening for stress and its physiological manifestations, while management plans might include strategies for stress reduction and

mitigating dry mouth, thereby integrating mental and oral health care at a fundamental biological level. Complementing the biological pathway is the health behavior model, which provides a critical lens for understanding the volitional and non-volitional actions that mediate the link between mental health and oral health. This model is rooted in behavioral theories such as the Health Belief Model and the Theory of Planned Behavior, which suggest that an individual's perception of susceptibility, severity, benefits, and barriers influences their engagement in health-promoting activities [19]. Mental health disorders can profoundly disrupt these perceptions and deplete the motivational resources necessary for consistent self-care. A core symptom of major depressive disorder, for instance, is anhedonia and a profound lack of energy, which can manifest as the neglect of basic activities of daily living, including toothbrushing and flossing. The cognitive triad in depression—negative views of the self, the world, and the future—can lead to a sense of futility, where the individual believes that taking care of their teeth is pointless or that they are not worthy of good health [20]. Similarly, severe anxiety disorders, including dental phobia and obsessive-compulsive disorder, can create significant barriers. Dental phobia leads to outright avoidance of dental care, while OCD might manifest in either excessively abrasive brushing that damages teeth and gums or ritualistic behaviors that interfere with normal oral care. Moreover, the health behavior model accounts for the role of coping mechanisms. Individuals experiencing psychological distress are more likely to engage in health-compromising behaviors as a form of self-medication. This includes tobacco smoking, which is a well-established major risk factor for periodontitis and oral cancer, as well as the high consumption of sugary foods and beverages, which directly contributes to the development of dental caries [21]. The administration of health systems must be designed to recognize and address these behavioral barriers. From a health administration perspective, this model justifies the investment in integrated care models that colocate behavioral health specialists with primary and dental care providers. It also supports the development of patient-centered



education programs that use motivational interviewing techniques—a skill within the nursing repertoire—to help patients overcome ambivalence and build self-efficacy for oral self-care, rather than simply providing generic instructions that are unlikely to be followed by someone in psychological distress [22]. This model shifts the focus from a deficit-based approach to one that seeks to understand and empower the patient within the context of their mental health challenges. The third pillar of the conceptual framework is the psychosocial impact model, which explains the powerful trajectory from poor oral health to diminished mental well-being. This model is grounded in sociological and psychological theories concerning self-concept, social interaction, and stigma. The mouth is a primary feature of the face and is integral to fundamental human activities: smiling, speaking, and eating. When oral health is compromised by tooth loss, severe decay, or periodontal disease, it can have a devastating impact on an individual's self-perception and social functioning. Visible dental problems are often a source of shame and embarrassment, leading to a negative body image and a decline in self-esteem [23]. Individuals may become self-conscious and develop behaviors aimed at hiding their teeth, such as covering their mouth when speaking or refraining from smiling. This can severely restrict social interaction, leading to withdrawal from social gatherings, avoidance of romantic relationships, and even impairment in professional settings where personal presentation is valued. This social withdrawal is a known risk factor for the development of depression and anxiety, thereby completing the vicious cycle where mental health issues lead to poor oral health, which in turn exacerbates or initiates new mental health problems [24]. The psychosocial impact is further theorized through the concept of oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL), which is a multidimensional construct measuring the impact of oral conditions on daily functioning and well-being. Research consistently demonstrates that poor oral health negatively affects OHRQoL across dimensions of physical pain, psychological discomfort, physical disability, and social handicap [25]. The resulting isolation and functional limitations

can be profound. For example, an elderly individual with ill-fitting dentures may avoid eating in public or consuming nutritious foods, leading to social isolation and malnutrition, which are themselves detrimental to both physical and mental health. From a nursing and administrative standpoint, this model underscores the importance of measuring patient outcomes beyond clinical metrics like cavity count or periodontal pocket depth. It necessitates the use of OHRQoL instruments as standard practice in patient assessment to capture the full impact of oral disease on a person's life [26]. For health administrators, this data is vital for advocating for the value of oral health programs, demonstrating that investment in dental care is not merely a cosmetic or functional concern but a critical component of mental and social well-being that reduces the overall burden of disease on the healthcare system [27].

### **3. Evidence Across Nursing, Dentistry, and Health Administration**

From a dental research perspective, numerous epidemiological studies have established a robust correlation between mental health disorders and poor oral health outcomes. A landmark study utilizing data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) found that individuals with depressive symptoms had a significantly higher prevalence of periodontitis and untreated dental caries compared to those without, even after controlling for socioeconomic and behavioral factors like smoking [28]. Research focused on specific populations, such as veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), has demonstrated not only higher rates of tooth loss and decay but also a greater severity of periodontal disease, suggesting a dose-response relationship between the severity of psychological trauma and oral health deterioration [29]. Furthermore, dental literature has extensively documented the impact of dental anxiety and phobia, which affects a substantial portion of the global population and is a leading cause of dental care avoidance, leading to a cycle of neglect, worsening oral disease, and reinforced fear [30]. Beyond correlation, dental research has

provided mechanistic insights that align with the conceptual frameworks of stress-pathophysiology and health behaviors. Biomarker studies have confirmed that patients with major depressive disorder and chronic anxiety often exhibit elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in their gingival crevicular fluid, providing a local biological basis for the accelerated periodontal breakdown observed in these groups [31]. Similarly, studies on salivary function consistently show that both psychological stress and psychotropic medications lead to clinically significant hyposalivation, directly increasing the risk for caries and mucosal infections [32]. However, the traditional dental research paradigm has often reached an impasse: it excels at identifying the problem and its biological mechanisms within the oral cavity but is less focused on the systemic and psychological management of the patient beyond the dental chair. This is where the evidence from nursing science becomes critical, offering a complementary body of work that addresses the whole person within their life context. The nursing literature contributes a unique and vital perspective, emphasizing the role of holistic assessment, patient education, and the nurse's position as a bridge across care domains. Evidence from nursing research demonstrates that oral health is frequently overlooked in non-dental healthcare settings, particularly for vulnerable populations. Studies conducted in hospital wards, long-term care facilities, and psychiatric units consistently report a high prevalence of oral hygiene neglect among patients, which is often linked to their primary medical or psychological condition [33]. For instance, a study on hospitalized patients with depression found that nurses who incorporated a simple oral health assessment into their admission protocol were able to identify previously undiagnosed oral problems in over 60% of cases, leading to timely referrals and interventions that improved patient comfort and reduced the risk of aspiration pneumonia [34]. This evidence underscores the nurse's critical role as a first-line detector of oral health issues that may be invisible to other specialists focused on different organ systems. Furthermore, nursing intervention studies provide promising evidence for the

efficacy of nurse-led initiatives. Research in geriatric nursing has shown that structured oral care programs, delivered by trained nursing assistants under the supervision of registered nurses, can significantly reduce the incidence of pneumonia and improve the quality of life for nursing home residents [35]. In the realm of mental health nursing, studies exploring motivational interviewing techniques have shown promise in improving the oral self-care behaviors of individuals with schizophrenia and severe depression, addressing the amotivation and hopelessness that traditional dental health education fails to penetrate [36]. The nursing literature thus fills a crucial gap by translating the problem identified by dental research into actionable care protocols within medical and community settings. It provides the "how-to" for integrating oral health into the broader fabric of patient care, positioning the nurse as an indispensable agent in breaking the cycle of oral and psychological comorbidity. However, for these nurse-led interventions to be scaled and sustained, they must be supported by enabling systems and structures, which is the domain explored by the health administration literature. The health administration literature shifts the focus from individual patient-clinician interactions to the systems, policies, and economic models that either facilitate or impede integrated care. A consistent finding in this body of work is that the fragmentation of healthcare funding and delivery is a primary barrier to addressing the oral-mental health connection. Analyses of healthcare systems, particularly in the United States, highlight the stark separation between dental and medical insurance (including Medicaid in many states), creating significant financial and administrative disincentives for collaboration [37]. Health services research provides evidence that integrated care models, while complex to implement, can yield positive outcomes. For example, studies on co-located services, where behavioral health consultants are embedded within primary care or dental clinics, demonstrate improved screening rates for both mental health and oral health issues, higher patient satisfaction, and better adherence to treatment plans [38]. These models rely on strategic leadership from health administrators to redesign workflows, create shared electronic

health records, and negotiate cross-disciplinary billing practices. Economic evidence is also a critical component of the administrative literature. Cost-benefit analyses increasingly show that preventive oral health interventions for high-risk populations, such as those with severe mental illness, can lead to significant downstream cost savings by reducing emergency department visits for dental pain and preventing associated systemic health complications like cardiovascular events or poorly controlled diabetes [39]. This economic argument is powerful for health administrators and policymakers who are responsible for allocating finite resources and managing population health risks. The literature in health administration provides the business case for integration, demonstrating that investing in interdisciplinary training for nurses, funding collaborative care initiatives, and reforming payment structures are not just clinically sound but also financially prudent strategies. In synthesis, the collective evidence across these three disciplines presents an irrefutable case. Dentistry provides the foundational evidence of the problem and its biological basis, nursing research offers evidence for practical, patient-centered clinical interventions, and health administration literature supplies the evidence for the systemic models and economic incentives required to make these interventions sustainable on a large scale. Together, they form a comprehensive evidence base that mandates a collaborative, multidisciplinary response [40].

#### **4. Psychological Well-being:**

A comprehensive understanding of the interconnection with oral health necessitates a clear conceptualization of psychological well-being itself. Far from being merely the absence of mental illness, psychological well-being is a multifaceted construct encompassing an individual's emotional, psychological, and social functioning. It involves the presence of positive feelings, effective functioning in personal and social life, and the ability to experience a sense of purpose and fulfillment. The measurement of this complex state is typically achieved through both generic and specific instruments. Generic measures, such as

the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS), capture a broad spectrum of positive mental health, including positive affect, satisfying interpersonal relationships, and positive functioning [41]. In research contexts, more specific tools are often employed to diagnose clinical conditions; the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) are widely used, validated scales for screening and monitoring depression and anxiety, respectively [42]. These measurement tools are crucial for research, as they allow for the quantification of the relationship between psychological states and oral health outcomes. For instance, studies can correlate PHQ-9 scores with indices of periodontal disease, moving beyond simple observation to statistically robust association. From a clinical nursing perspective, the use of such brief, standardized screens during patient intake can identify individuals at high risk for both psychological distress and oral health neglect, enabling proactive, integrated care planning. The determinants of psychological well-being are complex and interwoven, operating at individual, social, and environmental levels. Key individual determinants include genetic predispositions, personality traits such as resilience and optimism, and coping strategies for managing stress. Individuals with high levels of psychological resilience—the ability to adapt in the face of adversity—are generally better equipped to maintain health-promoting behaviors even during difficult times [43]. Social determinants are equally powerful, with strong evidence highlighting the protective role of social support from family, friends, and the community, while social isolation and loneliness are significant risk factors for depression and anxiety [44]. Furthermore, broader environmental and socioeconomic determinants, such as income level, educational attainment, employment status, and exposure to discrimination or violence, profoundly shape mental health trajectories. The implications of these determinants for oral health are direct and profound. An individual facing socioeconomic hardship may experience chronic stress (a psychological determinant) and simultaneously lack the financial resources or time to access

dental care (a structural barrier), creating a dual vulnerability. Understanding this web of determinants is essential for nurses and health administrators, as it moves the focus from blaming the individual to addressing the contextual factors that undermine both mental and oral health. The implications of compromised psychological well-being for oral health are manifested through distinct yet interconnected pathways, primarily behavioral, cognitive, and physiological. The behavioral pathway is the most direct, where the symptoms of poor mental health directly erode the capacity for consistent oral self-care. The anhedonia, fatigue, and amotivation characteristic of depression can lead to the neglect of basic activities of daily living, including toothbrushing and flossing. Apathy or feelings of worthlessness may result in a belief that self-care is futile or undeserved [45]. In cases of severe anxiety or dental phobia, avoidance behavior is the primary manifestation, leading individuals to delay or forgo essential dental treatment until pain becomes unbearable, resulting in more complex and invasive procedures that further reinforce their fear. Conversely, certain mental health conditions can manifest in excessive oral hygiene behaviors; for example, obsessive-compulsive disorder may lead to abrasive brushing that damages tooth enamel and gingival tissues. These behavioral disruptions provide a clear explanatory link between psychological state and clinical oral disease, making them a critical target for nursing assessment and motivational intervention. The cognitive pathway involves the impact of psychological distress on executive functions such as concentration, memory, and decision-making. An individual experiencing severe anxiety or ruminative depression may find it difficult to plan and follow through with a dental appointment or to process and remember oral health education provided by a clinician [46]. This cognitive load can impair judgment, leading to poor health choices as a form of immediate coping. This is closely tied to the use of psychoactive substances; individuals may self-medicate psychological pain with tobacco, alcohol, or high-sugar foods, all of which are established risk factors for periodontal disease and dental caries [47]. The

physiological pathway, as previously discussed, involves the dysregulation of the body's stress systems. Chronic activation of the HPA axis and the sympathetic nervous system leads to elevated cortisol and catecholamines, which in turn suppress immune function and promote a pro-inflammatory state. This biological milieu directly compromises the body's ability to fight the bacterial biofilms involved in gingivitis and periodontitis and reduces the capacity for tissue repair [48]. Therefore, a patient with chronic stress or depression is not only more likely to neglect their oral hygiene but also biologically more susceptible to the consequences of that neglect. The implications of positive psychological well-being for oral health, while less frequently studied, are equally important and represent a promising area for health promotion. Individuals with higher levels of well-being typically exhibit greater self-efficacy—the belief in one's ability to execute behaviors necessary to produce specific performance attainments. This translates directly to oral health, as individuals with high self-efficacy are more confident in their ability to perform effective oral hygiene and are more persistent in the face of challenges, such as managing bleeding gums or using dental floss [49]. Furthermore, positive well-being is associated with better stress management skills and healthier coping mechanisms. Instead of turning to sugary snacks or tobacco, a resilient individual may use exercise or meditation to manage stress, thereby avoiding oral health risk behaviors. This proactive approach to health often extends to the utilization of preventive services; individuals with positive mental health are more likely to attend regular dental check-ups, seeing them as a valuable component of overall wellness rather than a fear-inducing ordeal [50]. From a clinical and administrative standpoint, these implications are transformative. They argue for a shift from a deficit-based model, which focuses solely on treating oral disease and mental illness, to a strengths-based model that also fosters resilience, self-efficacy, and positive coping in patients. Nursing interventions can be designed to build these protective factors. For example, a nurse in a diabetic clinic, while discussing glycemic control, can also provide brief



counseling on stress management and its benefits for both blood sugar and gum health, thereby addressing common underlying pathways. Health administrators can champion the integration of well-being promotion—such as mindfulness workshops or resilience training—into workplace and public health settings, with the understanding that these initiatives have co-benefits for both mental and oral health [51].

## 5. Oral Health Outcomes:

The clinical endpoint of the complex interplay with psychological well-being is manifested in specific oral health outcomes, primarily dental caries (tooth decay), periodontal (gum) diseases, and tooth loss. Accurate assessment of these conditions is the first step in breaking the cycle of oral and psychological comorbidity. In dental practice, caries are typically assessed using indices like the DMFT (Decayed, Missing, Filled Teeth) score, which provides a quantitative measure of a person's lifetime caries experience [52]. Periodontal health is evaluated through a combination of visual examination, periodontal probing to measure pocket depth (the space between the tooth and gum), assessment of clinical attachment loss, and recording of bleeding on probing, which indicates active inflammation [53]. However, these clinical metrics, while objective, do not capture the patient's lived experience. Therefore, the use of Oral Health-Related Quality of Life (OHRQoL) instruments, such as the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-14), is crucial. These validated questionnaires assess the functional, social, and psychological impacts of oral conditions, providing a holistic view of the patient's well-being that is highly relevant to nursing's patient-centered philosophy [54]. For nurses operating in non-dental settings, a different, yet equally critical, approach to assessment is required. A basic nursing oral health assessment does not require a dental probe but relies on systematic observation. This includes inspecting the lips, gums, tongue, and mucosa for signs of redness, swelling, ulceration, or bleeding; checking for the presence of visible plaque or calculus; noting any carious lesions (cavities) or broken teeth; and assessing the

patient's ability to swallow and the moisture of their oral mucosa [55]. Simple screening questions about pain, difficulty eating, dry mouth, and the date of their last dental visit can provide invaluable information. This nursing assessment is not meant to diagnose specific dental conditions but to identify individuals at high risk for oral health problems and those requiring an urgent referral to a dentist. By incorporating this simple, rapid assessment into routine patient intake, particularly in psychiatric, geriatric, and long-term care settings, nurses can act as a vital early-warning system for oral disease that might otherwise be overlooked until it becomes a crisis. The risk factors for poor oral health outcomes are multifactorial, encompassing biological, behavioral, and social determinants that are often exacerbated by poor psychological well-being. The primary biological risk factor is the presence of cariogenic bacteria, particularly *Streptococcus mutans*, and periodontal pathogens, which form a biofilm on tooth surfaces known as dental plaque. Without mechanical disruption through brushing and flossing, this plaque metabolizes dietary sugars to produce acids that demineralize enamel, leading to caries, and triggers an inflammatory host response in the gums, leading to gingivitis and, eventually, periodontitis [56]. A key modifiable risk factor is diet, specifically the frequent consumption of fermentable carbohydrates and sugary drinks. This risk is often heightened in individuals with mental health challenges, who may consume these foods for comfort or due to a lack of motivation to prepare nutritious meals [57]. Another major behavioral risk factor is tobacco use, which is significantly more prevalent among individuals with psychiatric disorders and is one of the most substantial risk factors for periodontitis and oral cancer [58]. The social determinants of health create the backdrop against which these biological and behavioral risks play out. Socioeconomic status, education level, and access to fluoridated water and affordable dental care are powerful predictors of oral health status. Individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds experience a disproportionately higher burden of oral disease, a phenomenon known as the "oral health disparity" [59]. This

is critically relevant to the mental health-oral health link, as poverty is a shared risk factor for both. Financial barriers can make dental care unattainable, while the chronic stress of poverty contributes to psychological distress, which in turn leads to health-compromising behaviors. Furthermore, a significant risk factor that falls directly within the nursing purview is xerostomia, or dry mouth. This condition can be caused by dehydration, but it is most commonly a side effect of over 500 medications, including antidepressants, antipsychotics, and anxiolytics [60]. Reduced salivary flow drastically increases the risk of caries and mucosal infections, creating a direct iatrogenic pathway from mental health treatment to oral disease. Recognizing and managing this medication-related risk is a fundamental responsibility of nursing care. The role of nursing care in mitigating these risks and improving oral health outcomes is expansive, spanning direct care, patient education, and systemic advocacy. At the most fundamental level, nurses provide direct oral hygiene assistance to patients who are unable to care for themselves. This includes dependent populations such as hospitalized patients, the elderly in long-term care, and individuals with severe physical or cognitive disabilities. Evidence shows that structured oral care protocols, implemented by nursing staff, can significantly reduce the incidence of hospital-acquired pneumonia, a serious and potentially fatal complication linked to oropharyngeal bacteria [61]. For patients who are independent but struggling with motivation due to depression, nurses can employ motivational interviewing techniques to explore ambivalence, build self-efficacy, and collaboratively set small, achievable goals for oral self-care. This patient-centered communication strategy is more effective than simply issuing instructions, as it addresses the underlying psychological barriers to behavior change [62]. Beyond direct patient care, nurses play a pivotal educational role. They are ideally positioned to educate patients and their families on the vital connection between oral health and overall systemic health, including its link to diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and, importantly, mental well-being. This empowers patients to understand their oral health as an

integral part of their general health, not a separate concern. Finally, nurses have a critical role as advocates and change agents at the systems level. They can advocate for the inclusion of comprehensive oral health assessments in institutional protocols and electronic health records. They can also identify systemic gaps in care—such as the lack of dental services for psychiatric inpatients—and work with health administrators to develop solutions, such as establishing referral partnerships with local dental schools or integrating dental hygienists into primary care teams [62].

## 6. Nursing and Health Administration Perspectives

The intricate and bidirectional relationship between psychological well-being and oral health presents a challenge too complex for any single profession to address in isolation. Effectively breaking the cycle of comorbidity necessitates a robust model of interprofessional collaboration (IPC), where nursing and health administration provide complementary and essential perspectives. From the nursing standpoint, collaboration is a practical extension of the profession's core principle of holistic, patient-centered care. Nurses act as the crucial "connective tissue" within the healthcare system, positioned at the intersection of multiple patient encounters. In a hospital setting, for instance, a nurse assessing a patient admitted for a diabetes-related complication may identify severe periodontitis and signs of depression. From this pivotal position, the nurse can initiate a collaborative care plan, communicating their findings to the attending physician regarding the potential impact of oral infection on glycemic control, while also facilitating a referral to a dentist and consulting with a psychiatric liaison nurse to address the mood symptoms [62]. This role requires not only clinical acumen but also highly developed communication skills to translate concerns and recommendations across professional boundaries, ensuring that the patient's fragmented needs are woven into a coherent whole. The health administration perspective on this collaboration is strategic and systemic. Administrators are responsible

for designing the operational frameworks that make such interdisciplinary cooperation possible, efficient, and sustainable. Without this supporting infrastructure, collaborative efforts remain ad hoc and dependent on the individual initiative of particularly motivated clinicians. A primary administrative function is the development and implementation of integrated care models. These models can take various forms, from co-locating dental hygienists within community mental health centers to creating formal referral networks between private dental practices and primary care clinics [63]. The administrator's role involves negotiating contracts, managing space, and ensuring that different billing systems can interface. Furthermore, health administrators champion the adoption of health information technology (HIT) systems that serve as a backbone for collaboration. A shared electronic health record (EHR) that allows dentists, physicians, nurses, and mental health providers to view and contribute to a single patient record is fundamental. This eliminates information silos, allowing a dentist to see that a patient is on xerostomia-inducing medications prescribed by their psychiatrist, and a nurse to see a dental alert flagging a high risk of caries [64]. The administrator's perspective is therefore focused on building the channels through which clinical information and responsibility can flow seamlessly. The successful implementation of IPC faces significant barriers that must be explicitly acknowledged and strategically managed. From the nursing perspective, a primary barrier is the historical professional siloing and the resulting differences in culture, language, and priorities between medical, dental, and mental health fields. Dentists are trained to focus on the oral cavity, while physicians may lack training in oral health, and mental health professionals focus on behavioral and cognitive patterns. The nurse, often serving as the intermediary, may encounter resistance or a lack of understanding from other providers about the relevance of their concerns [65]. Additionally, nurses already face high workloads and time constraints, and adding the task of coordinating with external providers can be perceived as an unsustainable burden without adequate systemic support. From a health administration

perspective, the barriers are often structural and financial. The bifurcation of funding streams is a monumental challenge; in many healthcare systems, medical insurance (covering nursing and physician services) is entirely separate from dental insurance, creating a powerful financial disincentive for integrated care planning and reimbursement [66]. An administrator must navigate this complex landscape to find creative funding solutions. Overcoming these barriers requires deliberate strategies at both the clinical and administrative levels. A foundational strategy is interprofessional education (IPE), which health administrators can mandate and fund. When nursing, dental, medical, and administrative students learn together about topics like the oral-systemic-health connection, they begin to break down stereotypes, develop a shared vocabulary, and understand their respective roles in patient care before entering professional practice [67]. From a nursing practice standpoint, the adoption of standardized screening and referral tools is a powerful strategy. Implementing a mandatory oral health assessment and a brief psychological screen (e.g., the PHQ-2) in all primary care nursing protocols creates a standardized data set that can be easily communicated and acted upon by other team members [68]. Administratively, the move towards value-based care and alternative payment models presents a significant opportunity. By shifting reimbursement from fee-for-service to models that reward improved patient outcomes and reduced total cost of care, administrators can create a financial imperative for collaboration. In such a model, preventing a diabetic patient's hospitalization by managing their periodontal disease becomes a financially sound goal for the entire system, aligning the incentives of all providers [69]. The synergy between nursing and health administration perspectives is what ultimately creates a sustainable model for IPC. The nurse provides the on-the-ground intelligence about what works for patients and what systemic gaps impede care, while the administrator possesses the authority and resources to redesign systems based on that frontline feedback. For example, nurses in a geriatric facility may report that residents with dementia are experiencing rapid



oral health decline. They identify the need for a specialized oral care protocol and training for nursing assistants. The health administrator, acting on this clinical evidence, can approve the resources for developing the protocol, fund the training time for staff, and integrate the new oral care standards into the facility's electronic documentation and quality assurance metrics [70]. This collaborative feedback loop ensures that system changes are clinically relevant and effectively implemented.

## 7. Conclusions

In conclusion, the evidence presented in this research unequivocally demonstrates that the separation of oral health from psychological well-being is an artificial and counterproductive dichotomy. The relationship is profoundly bidirectional, creating a self-perpetuating cycle where psychological distress fosters poor oral health through neglect, behavioral risks, and physiological changes, and where the resulting oral disease, in turn, diminishes self-esteem, social functioning, and overall quality of life, thereby exacerbating psychological distress. This complex dynamic demands a paradigm shift from siloed, symptom-focused treatment to a truly integrated, patient-centered model of care. The nursing profession, with its foundational commitment to holism, is uniquely positioned to act as the clinical linchpin in this model—conducting essential screenings, providing compassionate education, and delivering direct oral care that bridges the gap between medical and dental domains. However, the full potential of nursing cannot be realized without the strategic enablement provided by health administration. It is administrators who must architect the systems, from shared electronic health records and co-located services to value-based payment models, that make interprofessional collaboration not just possible, but a sustainable standard of practice. Therefore, the path forward requires a concerted, synergistic effort. By uniting the clinical expertise and patient advocacy of nursing with the systemic vision and operational prowess of health administration, the healthcare community can finally dismantle the barriers that have long segregated the health of the mouth from the health of the mind. Embracing this multidisciplinary perspective is not merely an academic exercise; it is an ethical and practical imperative for improving health outcomes, advancing health equity, and fostering the overall well-being of the populations we serve.

## Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

## References

- [1] Zachary BD, Weintraub JA. Oral health and COVID-19: increasing the need for prevention and access. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2020) 17:200266. 10.5888/pcd17.200266
- [2] Gift HC, Atchison KA. Oral health, health, and health-related quality of life. *Medical care.* (1995) NS57-77. 10.1097/00005650-199511001-00008
- [3] Adunola F, Garcia I, Iafolla T, Boroumand S, Silveira ML, Adesanya M, et al. Self-perceived oral health, normative need, and dental services utilization among dentate adults in the United States: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011-2014. *J Public Health Dentistry.* (2019) 79:79-90. 10.1111/jphd.12300
- [4] Sisko Anttila S, Knuuttila MLE, Sakki TK. Relationship of depressive symptoms to edentulousness, dental health, and dental health behavior. *Acta Odontol Scand.* (2001) 59:406-12. 10.1080/000163501317153275
- [5] Sisko Anttila S, Knuuttila MLE, Sakki TK. Relationship of depressive symptoms to edentulousness, dental health, and dental health behavior. *Acta Odontol Scand.* (2001) 59:406-12. 10.1080/000163501317153275
- [6] Guo Y, Logan HL, Dodd VJ, Muller KE, Marks JG, Riley III JL. Health literacy: a pathway to better oral health. *Am J Public Health.* (2014) 104:e85-e91. 10.2105/AJPH.2014.301930
- [7] Ren Y, Rasubala L, Malmstrom H, Eliav E. Dental care and oral health under the clouds of COVID-19. *JDR Clin Transl Res.* (2020) 5:202-10. 10.1177/2380084420924385
- [8] Roasania AE, Low KG, McCormick CM, Rosania DA. Stress, depression, cortisol, and periodontal disease. *J Periodontol.* (2009) 80:260-6. 10.1902/jop.2009.080334



- [9] Organization World Health: Mental Health and Psychosocial Considerations During the COVID-19 Outbreak, March 18th 2020. World Health Organization: (2020). Available online at: WHO-2019-nCoV-MentalHealth-2020.1
- [10] Pfefferbaum B, North CS. Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. *N Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:510–2. 10.1056/NEJMp2008017
- [11] Kisely S, Baghaie H, Lalloo R, Siskind D, Johnson NW. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between poor oral health and severe mental illness. *Psychosomatic Med.* (2015) 77:83–92. 10.1097/PSY.000000000000135
- [12] Kisely S, Baghaie H, Lalloo R, Johnson NW. Association between poor oral health and eating disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry.* (2015) 207:299–305. 10.1192/bjp.bp.114.156323
- [13] Okoro CA, Strine TW, Eke PI, Dhingra SS, Balluz LS. The association between depression and anxiety and use of oral health services and tooth loss. *Community Dentistry Oral Epidemiol.* (2012) 40:134–44. 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2011.00637.x
- [14] Rosania AE, Low KG, McCormick CM, Rosania DA. Stress, depression, cortisol, and periodontal disease. *J Periodontol.* (2009) 80:260–6. 10.1902/jop.2009.080334
- [15] Scrine C, Durey A, Slack-Smith L. Enhancing oral health for better mental health: exploring the views of mental health professionals. *Int J Mental Health Nurs.* (2018) 27:178–86. 10.1111/inm.12307
- [16] van der Zande MM, Exley C, Wilson SA, Harris RV. Disentangling a web of causation: an ethnographic study of interlinked patient barriers to planned dental visiting, and strategies to overcome them. *Commun Dentistry Oral Epidemiol.* (2021) 49:144–57. 10.1111/cdoe.12586
- [17] Slack-Smith L, Hearn L, Scrine C, Durey A. Barriers and enablers for oral health care for people affected by mental health disorders. *Austral Dental J.* (2017) 62:6–13. 10.1111/adj.12429
- [18] Brigg N, Patterson S, Pradhan A. Enabling people with severe mental illness to overcome barriers to access dental treatment: a qualitative study applying COM-B framework analysis. *J Mental Health.* (2020). 10.1080/09638237.2020.1803230
- [19] McKibbin CL, Kitchen-Andren KA, Lee AA, Wykes TL, Bourassa K.A. Oral health in adults with serious mental illness: needs for and perspectives on care. *Commun Mental Health J.* (2015) 51:222–8. 10.1007/s10597-014-9758-z
- [20] 2.Kisely S, Baghaie H, Lalloo R, Siskind D, Johnson NW. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between poor oral health and severe mental illness. *Psychosomatic Med.* (2015) 77:83–92. 10.1097/PSY.000000000000135
- [21] 3.Kisely S, Baghaie H, Lalloo R, Johnson NW. Association between poor oral health and eating disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry.* (2015) 207:299–305. 10.1192/bjp.bp.114.156323
- [22] 4.de Oliveira Solis AC, Marques AH, Dominguez WV, de Almeida Prado EB, Pannuti CM, Lotufo RFM, et al. Evaluation of periodontitis in hospital outpatients with major depressive disorder. A focus on gingival and circulating cytokines. *Brain Behav Immunity.* (2016) 53:49–53. 10.1016/j.bbi.2015.11.014
- [23] 5.Okoro CA, Strine TW, Eke PI, Dhingra SS, Balluz LS. The association between depression and anxiety and use of oral health services and tooth loss. *Community Dentistry Oral Epidemiol.* (2012) 40:134–44. 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2011.00637.x
- [24] 6.Rosania AE, Low KG, McCormick CM, Rosania DA. Stress, depression, cortisol, and periodontal disease. *J Periodontol.* (2009) 80:260–6. 10.1902/jop.2009.080334
- [25] 7.Sisko Anttila S, Knuuttila MLE, Sakki TK. Relationship of depressive symptoms to edentulousness, dental health, and dental health behavior. *Acta Odontol Scand.* (2001) 59:406–12. 10.1080/000163501317153275
- [26] Blazar BR, Murphy WJ, Abedi M (2012) Advances in graft-versus-host disease biology and therapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* 12(6):443–458 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [27] Fraser CJ et al (2006) Impact of chronic graft-versus-host disease on the health status of hematopoietic cell transplantation survivors: a report from the bone marrow transplant survivor study. *Blood* 108(8):2867–2873 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [28] Mawardi H et al (2019) Chronic graft-versus-host disease: current management paradigm and future perspectives. *Oral Dis* 25(4):931–948 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [29] Fall-Dickson JM et al (2019) Oral complications of chronic graft-versus-host disease. *JNCI Monogr* 2019(53):lgz007 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [30] Stolze J et al (2021) Oral health–related quality of life of patients with oral chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Support Care Cancer* 29:6353–6360 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [31] Shulman HM et al (2015) NIH consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: II. The 2014 pathology working group report, vol 21. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, pp 589–603. 4 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [32] Passweg JR et al (2019) The EBMT activity survey report 2017: a focus on allogeneic HCT for nonmalignant indications and on the use of non-HCT cell therapies. *Bone Marrow Transpl* 54(10):1575–1585 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [33] Elad S et al (2015) Basic oral care for hematology–oncology patients and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients: a position paper from the joint task force of the multinational association of supportive care in cancer/international society of oral oncology (MASCC/ISOO) and the European society for blood and marrow transplantation (EBMT). *Support Care Cancer* 23:223–236 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- [34] Flowers ME et al (2002) Comparison of chronic graft-versus-host disease after transplantation of peripheral blood stem cells versus bone marrow in allogeneic recipients: long-term follow-up of a randomized trial. *Blood J Am Soc Hematol* 100(2):415–419 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [35] Passzeit JR et al (2019) The EBMT activity survey report 2017: a focus on allogeneic HCT for nonmalignant indications and on the use of non-HCT cell therapies. *Bone Marrow Transpl* 54(10):1575–1585 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [36] Granot N, Storb R (2020) History of hematopoietic cell transplantation: challenges and progress. *Haematologica* 105(12):2716 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [37] Epstein JB, Stevenson-Moore P (2001) Periodontal disease and periodontal management in patients with cancer. *Oral Oncol* 37(8):613–619 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [38] van Gennip LL et al (2023) Caries, periodontitis and tooth loss after Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A systematic review. *Oral Dis* 29(7):2578–2591 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [39] Bhatt V et al (2010) Implementation of a standardized protocol for prevention and management of oral mucositis in patients undergoing hematopoietic cell transplantation. *J Oncol Pharm Pract* 16(3):195–204 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [40] Villa A, Kuten-Shorrer M (2023) Pathogenesis of oral toxicities associated with targeted therapy and immunotherapy. *Int J Mol Sci* 24(9):8188 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [41] Rogers SN (2010) Quality of life perspectives in patients with oral cancer. *Oral Oncol* 46(6):445–447 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [42] Fall-Dickson JM et al (2010) Oral symptom intensity, health-related quality of life, and correlative salivary cytokines in adult survivors of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation with oral chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 16(7):948–956 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [43] Fraser CJ et al (2009) Impact of chronic graft-versus-host disease on the health status of hematopoietic cell transplantation survivors: a report from the bone marrow transplant survivor study. *Blood* 108(8):2867–2873 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [44] Cesar M et al (2024) Early intervention with oral mucosal barrier protective agents in chronic oral graft-versus-host disease: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Oral Health* 24(1):958 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [45] Granot N, Storb R (2020) History of hematopoietic cell transplantation: challenges and progress. *Haematologica* 105(12):2716 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [46] Brand H, Bots C, Raber-Durlacher J (2009) Xerostomia and chronic oral complications among patients treated with Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Br Dent J* 207(9):E17–E17 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [47] Beltran J et al (2021) Oral health–related quality of life of patients with oral chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Support Care Cancer* 29:6353–6360 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [48] Mental health and wellbeing interventions in the dental sector: a systematic review. Plessas A, Paisi M, Bryce M, Burns L, O'Brien T, Hanoch Y, Witton R. *Evid Based Dent*. 2022;23:1–8. doi: 10.1038/s41432-022-0831-0. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [49] Barriers to the provision of oral health care for people with disabilities. Hansen C, Curl C, Geddis-Regan A. *BDJ In Practice*. 2021;34:30–34. [Google Scholar]
- [50] Association between mental health and oral health status and care utilization. Tiwari T, Kelly A, Randall CL, Tranby E, Franstve-Hawley J. *Front Oral Health*. 2021;2:732882. doi: 10.3389/froh.2021.732882. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [51] Barriers and enablers for oral health care for people affected by mental health disorders. Slack-Smith L, Hearn L, Scrine C, Durey A. *Aust Dent J*. 2017;62:6–13. doi: 10.1111/adj.12429. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [52] Oral health of adults with serious mental illnesses: a review. Matevosyan NR. *Community Ment Health J*. 2010;46:553–562. doi: 10.1007/s10597-009-9280-x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [53] The critical intersection of mental health and oral health in severe mental disorders. Jerjes W. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2024;28:627. doi: 10.1007/s00784-024-06015-4. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [54] Prevalence of oral diseases and oral-health-related quality of life in people with severe mental illness undertaking community-based psychiatric care. Patel R, Gamboa A. *Br Dent J*. 2012;213:0. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.989. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [55] Oral health–related quality of life of patients with oral chronic graft-versus-host disease. Stolze J et al. *Support Care Cancer*. 2021;29:6353–6360. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [56] Oral health of drug abusers: a review of health effects and care. Shekarchizadeh H, Khami MR, Mohebbi SZ, Ekhtiari H, Virtanen JJ. *Iran J Public Health*. 2013;42:929–940. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [57] The impact of oral health on depression: a systematic review. Karimi P, Zojaji S, Fard AA, Nateghi MN, Mansouri Z, Zojaji R. *Spec Care Dentist*. 2025;45:0. doi: 10.1111/scd.13079. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [58] Oral disorders, systemic health, well-being and the quality of life. Locker D, Matear D. *Community Health Serv Res Unit*. 2000;29:71–80. [Google Scholar]
- [59] Association between poor oral health and eating disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. Kisely S, Baghaie H, Lalloo R, Johnson NW. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2015;207:299–305. doi:

- 10.1192/bjp.bp.114.156323. [DOI] [PubMed] [70] Monajem S. Integration of oral health into primary health care: The role of dental hygienists and the WHO stewardship. *Int J Dent Hygiene*. 2006;4:47–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5037.2006.00159.x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [60] A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between poor oral health and severe mental illness. Kisely S, Baghaie H, Lalloo R, Siskind D, Johnson NW. *Psychosom Med*. 2015;77:83–92. doi: 10.1097/PSY.000000000000135. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [61] Jatrana S, Crampton P, Filoche S. The case for integrating oral health into primary health care. *N Z Med J*. 2009;122:43–52. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [62] Mumghamba EG. Integrating a primary oral health care approach in the dental curriculum: A Tanzanian experience. *Med Princ Pract*. 2014;23(Suppl 1):69–77. doi: 10.1159/000355520. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [63] Blue C, Riggs S. Oral health care delivery within the accountable care organization. *J Evid Base Dent Pract*. 2016;16S:52–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jebdp.2016.01.016. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [64] Fadl RAE, Blair M, Hassounah S. Integrating maternal and children's oral health promotion into nursing and midwifery practice- A systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0166760. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166760. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [65] Suresh KS, Kumar P, Javanaiah N, Shantappa S, Srivastava P. Primary oral health care in India: Vision or dream? *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2016;9:228–32. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1369. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [66] Brigg CJ, Garner P. Strategies for integrating primary health services in middle- and low-income countries at the point of delivery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2006;CD003318. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003318.pub2. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003318.pub3. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [67] Braun PA, Cusick A. Collaboration between medical providers and dental hygienists in pediatric health care. *J Evid Based Dent Pract*. 2016;16(Suppl):59–67. doi: 10.1016/j.jebdp.2016.01.017. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [68] Hajizamani A, Malek Mohammadi T, Hajmohammadi E, Shafiee S. Integrating oral health care into primary health care system. *ISRN Dent*. 2012;2012:657068. doi: 10.5402/2012/657068. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [69] From theoretical concepts to policies and applied programmes: The landscape of integration of oral health in primary care. Harnagea H, Lamothe L, Couturier Y, Esfandiari S, Voyer R, Charbonneau A, et al. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18:23. doi: 10.1186/s12903-018-0484-8. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]