International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 11-No.4 (2025) pp. 7310-7323
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Prehospital Care Protocols Employed by Red Crescent Ambulance Services

Ahmad Mohammed Almomen[1]*, Yaqoub Mahdi Alahmed[2], Yousif Saad Ali Alharbi[3], Ali Ibrahim Ibduallah Aljanabi[4] , Fahad Hamad Alshammari[5] , Ali Abdulaziz A Al Yateem[6] , Haitham Hussain A Alessa[7] , Ibrahim Abdalwhab Al Homoud[8]

[1]Emergency Medical Services - Saudi Red Crescent Authority - Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** aa.mm.emt@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7050

[2] Emergency Medical Services - Saudi Red Crescent Authority - Saudi Arabia
Email: yaqoob.alahmed@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7150

[3] Emergency Medical Services - Saudi Red Crescent Authority - Saudi Arabia
Email: yosef3345@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7250

[4] Emergency Medical Services - Saudi Red Crescent Authority - Saudi Arabia
Email: village.sta2019@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7350

[5] Emergency Medical Services - Saudi Red Crescent Authority - Saudi Arabia
Email: alfahad1220@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7450

[6] Specialist - Emergency Medical Services - Saudi Red Crescent Authority - Saudi Arabia
Email: aliyateem8@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7550

[7] Emergency Medical Services - Saudi Red Crescent Authority - Saudi Arabia
Email: weird-stages@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7650

[8] Emergency Medical Technician - Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Eastern Region, Qatif Network - Saudi Arabia
Email: srca00620@srca.org.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7750

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4008
Received : 02 January 2025
Accepted : 28 January 2025

Keywords

Prehospital Care;
Emergency Medical Services;
Red Crescent;
Clinical Protocols;
Triage;
Mass Casualty Incident (MCI)

Abstract:

Prehospital care protocols employed by Red Crescent Ambulance Services focus on providing timely and effective medical assistance in emergencies, particularly in conflict zones or disaster-stricken areas. These protocols are designed to ensure the safety and health of both patients and healthcare providers while adhering to best practices in emergency medical services (EMS). The Red Crescent emphasizes the importance of rapid response times, triage, and the use of advanced life support techniques to stabilize patients en route to medical facilities. The protocols are often tailored to the local context, considering factors such as transportation logistics, available medical supplies, and the types of injuries commonly encountered in different environments. Furthermore, Red Crescent Ambulance Services prioritize training and capacity-building for their volunteer and professional staff, enabling them to effectively implement these prehospital care protocols. Continuous education and simulation training are crucial, as they prepare responders for a variety of scenarios, from natural disasters to armed conflicts. The emphasis on community engagement and collaboration with local healthcare systems enhances the efficacy of these protocols, ensuring a seamless transition from prehospital care to definitive medical treatment. By integrating cultural sensitivity and local health knowledge into their protocols, the Red Crescent can deliver more personalized care, ultimately improving health outcomes in the communities they serve.

1. Introduction

The provision of effective and timely medical care in the critical minutes following an accident, injury, or the onset of a sudden illness is a cornerstone of a responsive and humane healthcare system. This initial phase of medical intervention, known as prehospital care, represents the vital link between the scene of a medical emergency and the definitive care of a hospital. The quality of care delivered

during this "golden hour"—the period shortly after a traumatic injury during which there is the highest likelihood that prompt medical treatment will prevent death—can significantly influence patient outcomes, reduce long-term disability, and ultimately save lives [1]. Ambulance services worldwide are the first medical contact for millions of individuals in their most vulnerable moments. The effectiveness of their response is not merely a function of speed but, more critically, of the systematic application of evidence-based clinical knowledge and operational procedures. These standardized sets of guidelines, known as prehospital care protocols, are the operational backbone of any professional emergency medical service (EMS). They provide a structured framework for assessment, decision-making, and intervention, enabling paramedics and emergency medical technicians (EMTs) to deliver consistent, high-quality care under extreme pressure [2]. These protocols cover a vast spectrum of situations, from basic life support (BLS) for cardiac arrest and trauma management to complex medical emergencies, disaster response, and specialized care for pediatric and obstetric patients. The development, implementation, and continuous refinement of these protocols are, therefore, a primary indicator of an EMS system's maturity and efficacy.Within the global landscape of prehospital care, the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement holds a unique and venerable position. Born from the battlefield to provide impartial relief to the wounded, the movement has long been synonymous with humanitarian aid and emergency response. The Red Crescent, as the recognized emblem in many Muslim-majority countries, carries this legacy forward. Red Crescent Societies are often integral components of their national healthcare infrastructures, frequently serving as the primary or a major supplementary provider of ambulance services. Their mission, guided by the Fundamental Principles of humanity, impartiality, neutrality, independence, voluntary service, unity, and universality, imbues their medical work with a distinct character, often extending services to marginalized populations and operating in contexts ranging from dense urban centers to remote rural areas and active conflict zones [3].The operational environment for Red Crescent Ambulance Services is frequently characterized by immense challenges, including resource constraints, logistical hurdles, geopolitical complexities, and the escalating frequency of mass-casualty incidents driven by both natural disasters and human-made crises. In such high-stakes environments, reliance on ad-hoc or improvised medical responses is a recipe for failure. Instead, the presence of robust, well-defined, and meticulously trained protocols becomes not just a best practice but a moral and operational imperative. These protocols ensure that care is delivered based on clinical need alone, without discrimination, and that scarce resources are utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible during a major incident [4].The study of prehospital care protocols within the context of the Red Crescent is a subject of significant academic and practical importance. It sits at the confluence of several critical domains: clinical emergency medicine, international humanitarian law, public health, and disaster management. Research in this area seeks to answer fundamental questions: How are these protocols developed and adapted to the specific epidemiological and logistical profiles of the regions they serve? To what extent do they align with international best practices, such as those outlined by the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) for cardiac life support or the Committee on Tactical Combat Casualty Care (TCCC) for hostile environments? How does the unique humanitarian mandate of the Red Crescent influence its clinical protocols, particularly in terms of patient prioritization (triage), palliative care in resource-scarce settings, and collaboration with other actors in a complex emergency? [5, 6].Furthermore, the process of protocol implementation is as crucial as their design. The efficacy of any protocol is contingent upon the competency and confidence of the personnel executing it. This raises critical questions regarding the training methodologies, continuous professional development, and simulation-based drills employed by Red Crescent Societies to ensure their staff's proficiency [7]. Quality assurance and improvement mechanisms, such as case reviews, audit filters, and feedback loops from receiving hospitals, are essential components for validating and refining these protocols over time [8]. The integration of new technologies, such as electronic patient care records (ePCRs), point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS), and telemedicine consultations, also presents both opportunities and challenges for the evolution of prehospital protocols within these organizations [9].Despite their critical role, a comprehensive and synthesized analysis of the prehospital care protocols specifically employed by Red Crescent ambulance services remains a relative gap in the literature. While numerous studies have evaluated specific clinical interventions or the response to particular disasters, a holistic examination that connects the Red Crescent's humanitarian principles to its clinical operational procedures is needed. Understanding this nexus is vital for several

reasons. For the Red Crescent movement itself, it can facilitate inter-society learning, highlight areas for capacity strengthening, and promote the standardization of excellence across different national contexts. For the wider global health community, it offers a unique model of how to deliver professional emergency medical care while steadfastly adhering to humanitarian principles [10].

2. Historical Evolution and Policy Framework of Red Crescent EMS Protocols

The sophisticated prehospital care protocols utilized by the Red Crescent today are not a modern invention but the product of a long and dynamic evolution, deeply intertwined with the history of warfare, humanitarian law, and the development of modern emergency medicine. The very genesis of the Red Crescent movement, following the Battle of Solferino in 1859, was a direct response to the catastrophic lack of organized medical care for the wounded on the battlefield. Henry Dunant's seminal account, "A Memory of Solferino," not only exposed the horror but also proposed a revolutionary solution: the creation of voluntary relief societies to provide impartial aid in times of war [11]. This led to the establishment of the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and the codification of the First Geneva Convention in 1864, which, for the first time, obliged signatories to care for all wounded military personnel, friend or foe, and to respect the neutrality of medical personnel and facilities. The Ottoman Empire's adoption of the Red Crescent emblem in 1876 further solidified the identity of these societies in certain regions, establishing a symbol of protection and care rooted in cultural context. In these early days, the "protocol" was simple yet profound: reach the wounded, provide basic first aid and shelter, and evacuate them to safety. This foundational principle of organized, neutral humanitarian assistance forms the very bedrock upon which all subsequent Red Crescent EMS protocols have been built [12].The trajectory of the 20th century, marked by two World Wars and numerous regional conflicts, acted as a brutal but effective crucible for the development of prehospital care. The lessons learned from the front lines directly influenced civilian emergency medical services. Techniques for rapid trauma assessment, hemorrhage control, and intravenous fluid resuscitation, which were pioneered and refined in military settings, began to be adapted for civilian use [13]. For the Red Crescent and Red Cross societies, this period was defined by an expansion of their role, not just as wartime auxiliaries to the military medical services, but as potential providers of peacetime emergency care. This dual mandate necessitated the development of more structured operational guidelines. The protocols evolved from basic first aid to incorporate more advanced procedures, though their application was often inconsistent and heavily dependent on local resources and expertise. The mid-20th century also saw the ICRC actively engaged in drafting and promoting the 1949 Geneva Conventions and their Additional Protocols, which significantly strengthened the legal protections for the wounded and sick and explicitly recognized the role of civilian national societies. This international legal framework provided the policy imperative for these societies to develop robust internal systems, including for prehospital care, to fulfill their humanitarian obligations [14].The latter half of the 20th century witnessed a paradigm shift in emergency medicine, often referred to as the "Golden Hour" concept, which had a profound impact on EMS systems worldwide, including those of the Red Crescent. The recognition that definitive care within a critical window dramatically improved survival rates for trauma patients spurred innovations in ambulance design, communication systems, and the professionalization of prehospital personnel [15]. For the Red Crescent, this meant a systematic move away from a transport-centric model ("scoop and run") to a service capable of delivering advanced life support (ALS) at the scene and during transport ("stay and play" or "scoop and treat"). This transition required the formalization of detailed clinical protocols for a wide range of conditions—cardiac arrest, shock, respiratory failure, and complicated childbirth, among others. These protocols were increasingly based on emerging evidence-based medicine, drawing from research conducted in leading academic hospitals and EMS systems in Europe and North America. National Red Crescent Societies began to establish their own medical commissions and training departments, tasked with adapting these international clinical best practices to their local contexts, disease patterns, and resource constraints [16].The policy framework governing modern Red Crescent EMS protocols is a complex, multi-layered architecture. At the highest level, the Fundamental Principles of the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement—Humanity, Impartiality, Neutrality, Independence, Voluntary Service, Unity, and Universality—are not merely ethical ideals but active policy drivers. The principle of Impartiality, for instance, directly translates into triage protocols that mandate treatment based on medical need alone, prohibiting discrimination on any basis. The principle of

Independence ensures that protocols are designed to uphold clinical autonomy, free from political or other external interference [17]. Furthermore, the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) provides overarching strategic guidance and policy tools, such as the Emergency Health Framework, which helps national societies strengthen their emergency medical response capacities in a standardized manner. This global policy environment is complemented by the extensive field experience of the ICRC, which has developed specialized protocols for providing prehospital care in volatile and violent contexts, including protocols for safe access, medical care in detention, and management of weapon-wounded patients in line with the rules of International Humanitarian Law (IHL) [18].At the national level, the policy framework is shaped by a negotiation between the Red Crescent Society's humanitarian mandate and the domestic legal and health system in which it operates. In many countries, the Red Crescent operates as a key partner to the Ministry of Health, and its protocols must be approved by or aligned with national EMS clinical guidelines. This ensures a seamless continuum of care from the prehospital setting to the emergency department [19]. The development of these national protocols is increasingly informed by global standards from bodies like the World Health Organization (WHO), whose Emergency Care Systems Framework provides a comprehensive policy roadmap for integrating prehospital care into a country's overall health system [20]. Moreover, the accreditation processes and quality assurance mechanisms, often developed in collaboration with international partners, create a feedback loop that drives continuous protocol improvement. These mechanisms include clinical audits, review of patient care records, and after-action reviews of major incidents, ensuring that protocols remain dynamic, responsive, and grounded in real-world performance data [21].In the 21st century, the drivers of evolution for Red Crescent EMS protocols have expanded to include the escalating threats of climate change-induced disasters, pandemics, and complex humanitarian emergencies. The response to the COVID-19 pandemic, for example, necessitated the rapid development and integration of entirely new protocol modules for infection prevention and control (IPC), patient isolation during transport, and the management of mass fatalities, all while maintaining core emergency services [22].

3. Triage and Scene Management: Protocols in Mass Casualty Incidents

Mass Casualty Incidents (MCIs) represent one of the most demanding challenges for any emergency medical service, pushing systems beyond their routine operational limits and testing the very core of their preparedness. For the Red Crescent Ambulance Services, operating frequently in regions prone to natural disasters, conflicts, and large-scale accidents, the development and implementation of robust MCI protocols are not merely an operational requirement but a fundamental humanitarian imperative. The primary objective in an MCI shifts from providing optimal care for each individual patient to achieving the greatest good for the greatest number of casualties with the available resources. This paradigm shift is operationalized through two interdependent, critical processes: systematic scene management and rapid, effective triage. The protocols governing these processes are designed to bring order to chaos, establish clear command and control, and ensure that limited medical resources are allocated in a manner that maximizes overall survival [23]. The Red Crescent's approach to MCIs is deeply influenced by its Fundamental Principles, particularly Impartiality, which mandates that triage decisions must be based solely on medical need, without any form of discrimination.The initial minutes following an MCI are chaotic and decisive. The Red Crescent's MCI response protocol begins immediately with the first unit arriving on scene, which assumes the role of the Incident Commander (IC). The first critical task is scene assessment and safety. The IC conducts a rapid but thorough evaluation to identify ongoing threats, such as fire, structural collapse, hazardous materials, or active violence, following the simple mantra: "Is the scene safe for me and my team?" The establishment of safety zones—a Hot Zone (immediate danger area), Warm Zone (controlled area for casualty extraction and initial triage), and Cold Zone (treatment and staging area)—is a fundamental first step. This zoning not only protects responders and casualties but also creates the physical infrastructure for an organized response [24]. Simultaneously, the IC initiates the establishment of a unified command structure, often based on the Incident Command System (ICS) or a similar framework, which is integrated into the broader national emergency response plan. This ensures clear lines of authority, communication, and accountability as multiple agencies and additional resources converge on the scene [25].At the heart of the MCI response is the triage process, a method of prioritizing patients for treatment and evacuation based on the severity of their injuries and their likelihood of survival. The Red Crescent, aligning with international best practices, typically employs a simple, rapid, and

reproducible triage system. The most widely adopted system in such contexts is the Simple Triage and Rapid Treatment (START) protocol for adults, often coupled with the JumpSTART protocol for pediatric populations. The START protocol is designed to evaluate casualties in less than 60 seconds each based on three physiological parameters: respiration, perfusion (pulse/capillary refill), and mental status (ability to obey commands) [26]. Using this algorithm, casualties are categorized into four color-coded priority levels: **Red (Immediate/P1)**: Patients with life-threatening injuries who require immediate intervention and have a high chance of survival with treatment (e.g., airway obstruction, major hemorrhage). **Yellow (Delayed/P2)**: Patients with significant injuries that are not immediately life-threatening (e.g., major fractures without shock). **Green (Walking Wounded/Minor/P3)**: Ambulatory patients with minor injuries. **Black (Deceased/Expectant)**: Patients who are deceased or have injuries so severe that they are not expected to survive given the current resource constraints [27].The application of triage is a dynamic and continuous process, not a one-time event. As patients' conditions change and resources become available or stretched, re-triage is mandatory. The protocol requires that triage tags or colored tape are used to visually mark each casualty's priority level, facilitating easy identification for all responding personnel [28]. For the Red Crescent, the ethical dimension of triage, particularly the "Expectant" (Black) category, is profoundly challenging. This is where the principles of humanity and impartiality are most severely tested. The protocol provides clear clinical guidelines for this categorization to ensure it is never used arbitrarily and is always a last resort, recognizing the immense psychological burden this decision places on frontline personnel [29]. The entire triage process is conducted at the Collection Point in the Warm Zone, which is managed by a Triage Officer. From there, casualties are moved to the designated Treatment Areas in the Cold Zone—separate sectors for Red, Yellow, and Green patients—where more thorough assessments and stabilizing treatments begin according to their assigned priority.Effective scene management is the engine that drives the triage process. The establishment of a functional Command Post in the Cold Zone is crucial for strategic oversight. The Incident Commander, supported by a Logistics Officer, a Planning Officer, and a Safety Officer, coordinates all aspects of the response. A critical protocol is the establishment of a clear traffic flow pattern for ambulances and other emergency vehicles, featuring a designated Ambulance Loading Point near the Treatment Areas. This "load and go" system for Red tag patients is coordinated with a Transport Officer, who communicates with receiving hospitals to distribute casualties evenly, preventing any single facility from being overwhelmed [30]. Communication, often the first system to fail in a disaster, is addressed through redundant systems. The protocol mandates the use of designated radio channels for command, triage, treatment, and transport, with runners available if electronic systems fail [31].The challenges in implementing these protocols in real-world scenarios are significant. They include scene chaos, communication breakdowns, emotional reactions of victims and responders, and the "first-responder bias" where the first few casualties encountered may receive disproportionate attention [32]. To mitigate these challenges, the Red Crescent places immense emphasis on continuous training and simulation. Regular MCI drills, tabletop exercises, and after-action reviews are integral to their quality assurance framework. These exercises test not only the clinical triage skills but also the interoperability of the command structure with police, fire departments, and civil defense, ensuring a coordinated multi-agency response [33]. Furthermore, the psychological impact of managing an MCI on responders is recognized within the protocol framework, with provisions for Psychological First Aid (PFA) and critical incident stress debriefing for all personnel post-event [34].

4. BLS and ACLS Algorithms:

The delivery of high-quality cardiopulmonary resuscitation is a cornerstone of modern prehospital care, and the standardized algorithms for Basic Life Support (BLS) and Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) form the critical clinical backbone of emergency medical response for cardiac arrest. For the Red Crescent Ambulance Services, whose operational scope ranges from dense urban centers to remote and resource-limited environments, the rigorous application of these evidence-based protocols is essential for improving patient outcomes in time-sensitive emergencies. BLS represents the fundamental first line of defense, focusing on maintaining circulation and oxygenation through chest compressions and rescue breathing until advanced care can be established. The American Heart Association (AHA) and European Resuscitation Council (ERC) guidelines, which are updated periodically based on global consensus, provide the scientific foundation for these protocols [35]. The Red Crescent integrates these international standards into its training curricula and operational procedures, ensuring that all frontline personnel, from volunteer first

responders to certified paramedics, possess a unified and proficient approach to initiating the chain of survival.The application of BLS in the Red Crescent context begins with a rapid yet systematic scene assessment to ensure responder safety, followed by an immediate check for unresponsiveness and the absence of normal breathing. The algorithm then dictates the activation of the emergency response system and the immediate initiation of high-quality chest compressions, emphasized as the most crucial component of BLS. The protocol stresses compressions at a rate of 100-120 per minute, a depth of 5-6 centimeters for adults, allowing for full chest recoil, and minimizing interruptions [36]. In the prehospital setting, the use of an Automated External Defibrillator (AED) is integrated seamlessly into the BLS algorithm. Red Crescent ambulances and first-response vehicles are equipped with AEDs, and personnel are trained to apply them as soon as available, analyze the rhythm, and deliver a shock if indicated, all while continuing CPR with minimal pause. For pediatric patients, the protocols are adapted, with compression-to-ventilation ratios adjusted and a greater emphasis on respiratory support, reflecting the different etiology of cardiac arrest in children [37]. This standardized BLS approach ensures that regardless of the provider's specific certification level, the initial response to a cardiac arrest is consistent, effective, and builds a solid foundation for any subsequent advanced interventions.When Red Crescent teams comprising advanced life support (ALS) providers, such as paramedics, arrive on scene, the response transitions from BLS to the more comprehensive and complex ACLS protocol. ACLS builds upon the foundation of BLS by adding advanced airway management, pharmacological interventions, and sophisticated cardiac rhythm interpretation. The ACLS algorithm for cardiac arrest is rhythm-specific, bifurcating into two primary pathways: the shockable rhythm pathway (Ventricular Fibrillation/Pulseless Ventricular Tachycardia) and the non-shockable rhythm pathway (Pulseless Electrical Activity and Asystole) [38]. In the shockable pathway, the Red Crescent protocol emphasizes the uninterrupted continuation of high-quality CPR while preparing the defibrillator, with a single shock followed by immediate resumption of CPR for a two-minute cycle before the next rhythm check. This minimizes hands-off time and maximizes coronary perfusion pressure. During these cycles, advanced airway management, typically via endotracheal intubation or a supraglottic device, is performed to secure the patient's airway and enable capnography monitoring. End-tidal CO2 (EtCO2) monitoring is a critical tool in the Red Crescent's ACLS arsenal, used not only to confirm correct tube placement but also to gauge the quality of CPR and, later, to provide an early indication of Return of Spontaneous Circulation (ROSC) [39].The pharmacological component of ACLS is meticulously outlined in the Red Crescent protocols. For shockable rhythms, the administration of vasopressors—specifically Epinephrine—is timed to coincide with rhythm checks after the second cycle of CPR. Antiarrhythmics like Amiodarone are also considered. For non-shockable rhythms, the focus remains on high-quality CPR and identifying and treating reversible causes, encapsulated in the H's and T's mnemonic (e.g., Hypovolemia, Hypoxia, Tamponade, Tension Pneumothorax) [40]. A critical application of ACLS in the prehospital setting is in the management of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) and stroke. For patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), Red Crescent paramedics are trained to acquire and transmit 12-lead ECGs to a receiving hospital, allowing for pre-arrival activation of the cardiac catheterization lab. They administer aspirin, nitroglycerin, and, in systems with advanced protocols, may initiate morphine and anticoagulants, significantly reducing door-to-balloon times [41]. Similarly, for acute ischemic stroke, the use of validated prehospital stroke scales, such as the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale or FAST, enables rapid identification, alerting of a stroke center, and expedited transport, thereby preserving the narrow therapeutic window for thrombolysis [42].The implementation of BLS and ACLS algorithms within the diverse and often challenging operational environments of the Red Crescent presents unique considerations. In remote or rural settings, transport times to definitive care can be prolonged, making the quality of prehospital ACLS even more critical for patient survival. In these contexts, the protocols may grant paramedics extended decision-making authority, including longer on-scene resuscitation times and broader pharmacological administration under strict standing orders or direct medical oversight [43]. Conversely, in urban settings with short transport times, the philosophy may shift toward a "scoop and run" approach for certain cardiac arrests, emphasizing rapid transport with ongoing CPR (using a mechanical CPR device if available) to a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)-capable center. The integration of Telemedicine is an emerging frontier in enhancing ACLS delivery. Some advanced Red Crescent units are experimenting with real-time audio-video links with base hospital physicians, who can guide

complex procedures, review ECGs, and provide consultative support for challenging pharmacological decisions, thereby extending the expertise of the hospital into the field [44].Quality assurance and continuous improvement are integral to the sustained success of these life-saving protocols. The Red Crescent employs a multi-faceted approach, including regular and realistic simulation training that incorporates both technical skills and teamwork principles from crisis resource management (CRM). Furthermore, post-event data collection is crucial. The systematic review of data from defibrillators and electronic patient care records (ePCRs) allows for audits of key performance indicators, such as chest compression fraction, pre-shock pause duration, and time to first epinephrine administration [45]. This data-driven feedback loop enables targeted retraining and protocol refinement, ensuring that the application of BLS and ACLS by Red Crescent Ambulance Services remains not only standardized and evidence-based but also continuously evolving to achieve the highest possible standards of care for victims of sudden cardiac arrest and other time-critical medical emergencies.

5. Airway Management:

In the hierarchy of prehospital care, securing and maintaining a patent airway is the paramount priority, as airway compromise can lead to hypoxic brain injury and death within minutes. For Red Crescent Ambulance Services, operating across diverse and often challenging environments, a structured and proficient approach to airway management is a critical determinant of patient outcomes. The protocols governing airway intervention are designed to provide a systematic, stepwise approach that balances clinical urgency with patient safety and procedural efficacy. The fundamental principle is to use the least invasive technique that adequately ensures oxygenation and ventilation, progressing to more advanced methods as required by the patient's condition and the provider's skill level [46]. This approach begins with basic maneuvers and adjuncts and can escalate rapidly to advanced surgical techniques, all underpinned by rigorous training and a strong emphasis on situational awareness and safety for both the patient and the prehospital team.The foundation of prehospital airway management within the Red Crescent protocol is Basic Airway Management. This first tier of intervention requires no specialized equipment beyond a bag-valve-mask (BVM) and simple adjuncts, making it universally applicable across all levels of provider certification. The initial step involves simple maneuvers such as the head-tilt/chin-lift or jaw-thrust (in suspected spinal trauma) to open an obstructed airway. Following this, basic adjuncts like oropharyngeal (OPA) and nasopharyngeal (NPA) airways are utilized to maintain patency by preventing the tongue from occluding the posterior pharynx [47]. The cornerstone of basic ventilation is the BVM device. Effective BVM ventilation, however, is a technically challenging skill that requires practice to achieve a good mask seal and deliver adequate tidal volumes without excessive gastric insufflation. Red Crescent training places significant emphasis on two-person BVM technique whenever possible, where one provider uses both hands to secure the mask seal while a second squeezes the bag, a method proven to significantly improve ventilation efficacy and reduce the risk of complications [48]. For all patients, supplemental oxygen is administered as soon as available, with a target of maintaining oxygen saturation above 94%.When basic airway maneuvers are insufficient, or in cases of profound unresponsiveness, the protocol advances to Advanced Airway Management. This involves the use of supraglottic airways (SGAs) and endotracheal intubation (ETI). SGAs, such as the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) or i-gel, have become a central component of the Red Crescent's advanced protocol. These devices are easier to insert than an endotracheal tube and provide a more secure and reliable airway than a BVM, making them ideal for situations where paramedics may be working alone or in moving vehicles. They are particularly valuable in cardiac arrest, where they allow for continuous chest compressions with minimal interruption [49]. The gold standard for definitive airway control, however, remains endotracheal intubation. This procedure involves directly visualizing the glottic opening with a laryngoscope and passing a cuffed tube through the vocal cords into the trachea. This provides the most secure airway, protects against aspiration, and allows for positive pressure ventilation. Red Crescent paramedics are trained in both direct and video laryngoscopy, with the latter increasingly common as it improves glottic view and first-pass success rates, especially in challenging cases [50].The execution of advanced airway management is fraught with potential complications, and the Red Crescent's protocols are built around maximizing safety and success. A key safety consideration is the application of continuous waveform capnography to confirm and monitor tube placement. Unlike colorimetric devices or esophageal detection devices, capnography provides continuous, real-time confirmation of tracheal placement by detecting exhaled carbon dioxide, immediately alerting providers to

accidental esophageal intubation or subsequent tube displacement [51]. The "10 seconds for 10 attempts" rule is discouraged; instead, the protocol emphasizes a limited number of intubation attempts by a single provider, with a focus on maintaining oxygenation between attempts. If intubation fails or is not feasible, the protocol mandates reverting to a previously successful SGA or BVM ventilation. Furthermore, the use of rapid sequence intubation (RSI), involving sedatives and paralytics, is reserved for specific, highly-trained critical care teams within the Red Crescent, as it carries a significant risk of complications in the prehospital setting, including failed intubation and hypoxia [52].The Red Crescent's approach to airway management must adapt to special populations and challenging environments. Pediatric airway anatomy differs significantly from that of adults, with a larger tongue, more anterior and cephalad larynx, and a narrower, funnel-shaped airway. These differences necessitate specialized equipment, such as straight laryngoscope blades and uncuffed tubes for younger children, and a modified technique [53]. In trauma patients, airway management is complicated by the need for full spinal motion restriction. The protocol mandates in-line cervical stabilization during all airway maneuvers, and the jaw-thrust is the primary technique for opening the airway. The choice between intubation and an SGA in trauma is guided by the patient's Glasgow Coma Scale score, presence of facial trauma, and the provider's assessment of the risk of aspiration [54]. In the context of mass casualty incidents (MCIs) or resource-limited settings, the protocol may be scaled accordingly. The philosophy may shift toward a greater reliance on basic techniques and SGAs, as these can be deployed more rapidly to a larger number of patients by personnel of varying skill levels, ensuring that the maximum number of casualties receive adequate oxygenation during a surge event [55].Finally, quality assurance and continuous skill maintenance are recognized as vital for sustaining proficiency in these high-risk, low-frequency procedures. Red Crescent paramedics undergo regular competency-based training using simulation manikins and difficult airway scenarios. Furthermore, a robust quality improvement process is in place, which involves the review of electronic patient care records and capnography tracings from every advanced airway procedure. This data is used to audit key performance indicators such as first-pass success rate, oxygen saturation during the procedure, and the incidence of complications [56]. This systematic approach to training, equipment, protocolization, and audit ensures that Red Crescent Ambulance Services can deliver safe, effective, and adaptable airway management across the vast spectrum of prehospital emergencies they encounter, from the routine to the catastrophic.

6. Hemorrhage Control, Shock Management, and Fluid Resuscitation Protocols

Uncontrolled hemorrhage remains the leading cause of preventable death in both trauma and medical emergencies worldwide, making its rapid identification and management a primary focus for Red Crescent Ambulance Services. The protocols for hemorrhage control, shock management, and fluid resuscitation represent a critical clinical pathway that has evolved significantly over recent decades, moving away from aggressive fluid administration toward a more balanced, damage-control approach. This evolution is heavily influenced by military medicine and evidence from trauma centers, emphasizing early hemorrhage control at the expense of all other interventions. The Red Crescent's integrated protocol for managing the bleeding patient is systematic, beginning with rapid recognition of hemorrhage, immediate application of control techniques, physiological assessment of shock, and judicious fluid resuscitation, all tailored to the patient's specific clinical picture and the context of the emergency [57]. This approach is designed to sustain life until definitive surgical control of bleeding can be achieved in a hospital setting.The initial step in the protocol is the rapid identification and control of external hemorrhage. Upon arrival at the scene, providers conduct a rapid visual and manual assessment for life-threatening bleeding as part of the primary survey. The cornerstone of modern prehospital hemorrhage control is the utilization of a hierarchical approach to techniques. For most external bleeding, direct manual pressure remains the first and most effective intervention. If direct pressure is insufficient to control bleeding from an extremity, the protocol escalates to the application of a tourniquet. The Red Crescent has adopted the widespread use of commercial tourniquets, such as the Combat Application Tourniquet (CAT), and training emphasizes their correct placement "high and tight" on the limb, above the site of bleeding [58]. For junctional hemorrhages (e.g., groin, axilla, neck) where a tourniquet cannot be applied, the protocol incorporates the use of hemostatic gauze and junctional tourniquets. Hemostatic agents, such as chitosan- or kaolin-impregnated gauze, are packed directly into the wound cavity to promote rapid clotting, a technique that has proven highly

effective in controlling severe bleeding [59].Simultaneous with external hemorrhage control is the assessment and management of shock. Shock, defined as inadequate tissue perfusion, is a clinical diagnosis made through a combination of signs and symptoms. Red Crescent providers are trained to recognize the continuum of shock, from its early compensated stages to late decompensated failure. Assessment focuses on level of consciousness (using the AVPU scale), skin perfusion (color, temperature, and capillary refill time), and pulse character. A narrowed pulse pressure (the difference between systolic and diastolic pressure) is a key early indicator of compensated shock [60]. The management of hemorrhagic shock is intrinsically linked to the control of bleeding; therefore, the protocol prioritizes "stopping the leak" before "filling the tank." The historical practice of aggressive crystalloid infusion to achieve normal blood pressure has been largely abandoned in favor of Permissive Hypotension or Hypotensive Resuscitation. This strategy aims to achieve a target systolic blood pressure that is lower than normal (typically 80-90 mmHg, or until a radial pulse is palpable) in patients with uncontrolled hemorrhagic shock who have a palpable pulse or signs of life [61]. The physiological rationale is to avoid the complications of over-resuscitation, including the dislodgment of formed clots, dilution of clotting factors, and exacerbation of hypothermia, which together can precipitate a lethal triad of coagulopathy, acidosis, and hypothermia.Fluid resuscitation, when indicated, is guided by the principles of balanced transfusion and damage control. For patients in hemorrhagic shock, the choice of fluid is critical. The protocol has moved away from large-volume crystalloid solutions like normal saline or Lactated Ringer's due to their lack of oxygen-carrying capacity and contribution to coagulopathy and edema. Instead, the focus is on the early administration of blood products. While the full implementation of prehospital blood transfusion is currently limited to the most advanced Red Crescent systems, it is a rapidly growing area of development. Where available, protocols call for the administration of packed red blood cells (PRBCs) and, ideally, a balanced ratio of fresh frozen plasma (FFP) and platelets to mimic whole blood and address trauma-induced coagulopathy at its onset [62]. When blood products are not available, the use of small, titrated boluses of crystalloid or colloid solutions is permitted to achieve the permissive hypotension target. The use of Tranexamic Acid (TXA), an antifibrinolytic agent, is also a key component of the protocol. Administered within 3 hours of injury to patients with significant hemorrhage or signs of shock, TXA has been shown to reduce mortality from bleeding by inhibiting the breakdown of blood clots [63].The application of these protocols must be adapted for special populations and specific scenarios. In pediatric patients, the physiological reserve is greater, and signs of shock may be subtle until sudden decompensation occurs. Tachycardia and altered mental status are often the earliest indicators. Fluid resuscitation follows similar permissive hypotension principles, but with weight-based calculations (e.g., 10ml/kg boluses) and a heightened awareness for non-traumatic causes of shock, such as sepsis or dehydration [64]. In the context of mass casualty incidents (MCIs), the principles of triage directly influence hemorrhage control and resuscitation. Tourniquet application may be the only intervention for an "Immediate" (Red tag) patient with uncontrolled hemorrhage before moving to the next casualty. Fluid resuscitation may be withheld entirely for patients in this category during the initial phases of the response due to resource constraints and the need for rapid movement of casualties, a concept known as "triage-driven resuscitation" [65]. Finally, the success of these complex protocols relies on continuous training and quality improvement. Red Crescent personnel undergo regular simulation drills on tourniquet application, wound packing, and intraosseous access. Data on tourniquet application times, fluid volumes administered, and patient outcomes are collected and reviewed to identify areas for protocol refinement and targeted education [66]. This comprehensive, evidence-based approach to hemorrhage control and shock management ensures that the Red Crescent Ambulance Services are equipped to address the most common cause of preventable death in the prehospital environment effectively.

7. Training, Competency, and Quality Improvement in Field Protocols

The development of sophisticated prehospital care protocols is a necessary but insufficient step toward ensuring high-quality patient outcomes in the field. The ultimate efficacy of these guidelines is wholly dependent on the proficiency and readiness of the personnel who implement them. For the Red Crescent Ambulance Services, a comprehensive system of initial training, continuous competency assurance, and rigorous quality improvement is not an ancillary function but the very engine that drives clinical excellence and operational reliability. This multi-faceted approach ensures that evidence-based protocols are translated into consistent, safe, and effective practice across the entire organization,

from urban centers to remote outposts. The philosophy underpinning this system is that clinical competence is a dynamic state that must be actively maintained and assessed, not a static achievement conferred by a single certification [67]. This continuous cycle of education, assessment, and refinement is essential for upholding the Red Crescent's humanitarian mandate to provide the highest standard of care possible.The foundation of clinical excellence is laid during the intensive initial training programs for Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs) and paramedics. Red Crescent training curricula are meticulously designed to align with international standards, such as those outlined by the International Federation of Emergency Medicine (IFEM), while being carefully adapted to the regional epidemiology, common emergencies, and resource realities of their operational contexts [68]. This training is inherently blended, combining rigorous theoretical instruction in anatomy, physiology, and pathophysiology with hands-on practical skills laboratories. However, moving beyond traditional pedagogies, the Red Crescent has increasingly integrated simulation-based training (SBT) as a core component of its educational strategy. High-fidelity manikins and realistic scenario-based simulations immerse trainees in high-stakes, low-frequency clinical situations—such as a pediatric respiratory arrest, a multi-vehicle trauma, or a complex cardiac event—allowing them to apply protocols, practice clinical reasoning, and develop technical skills in a controlled, risk-free environment [69]. This methodology is crucial for bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and the complex, often stressful, realities of field practice.Upon completion of initial training, the challenge shifts to maintaining and assessing competency throughout a provider's career. The Red Crescent employs a multi-pronged approach to continuous professional development (CPD). Mandatory refresher courses are conducted regularly to review core protocols and introduce updates based on new evidence or changes in international guidelines, such as those from the American Heart Association or the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation [70]. Beyond periodic courses, competency is assessed through direct observation of clinical practice, either in simulated environments or, where feasible and appropriate, in the field by senior preceptors. A key tool in this process is the Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE), where providers rotate through a series of timed stations, each designed to test a specific skill or protocol under standardized conditions [71]. Furthermore, the principles of Crisis Resource Management (CRM)—including leadership, communication, situational awareness, and resource utilization—are increasingly integrated into training and assessment. This recognizes that effective prehospital care is delivered by teams, and that non-technical skills are as critical to patient safety as clinical knowledge for preventing errors and improving team performance in high-pressure situations [72].The final, and perhaps most critical, pillar of the system is the continuous Quality Improvement (QI) program. A QI program transforms anecdotal experience into actionable data, creating a feedback loop that directly informs protocol refinement and targeted training. The Red Crescent's QI framework is predicated on the systematic collection and analysis of data from multiple sources. Electronic Patient Care Reports (ePCRs) are a rich source of information, allowing for the audit of protocol adherence, medication administration times, and procedure success rates [73]. Clinical performance indicators, such as chest compression fraction in cardiac arrest, time to tourniquet application in trauma, or first-pass success rate in endotracheal intubation, are tracked and benchmarked against established standards. Morbidity and mortality (M&M) conferences provide a forum for the confidential, non-punitive review of challenging or adverse patient outcomes, fostering a culture of psychological safety and collective learning where the focus is on system improvement rather than individual blame [74]. This data-driven process allows the Red Crescent to identify trends, uncover latent safety threats, and validate the effectiveness of its protocols in real-world settings.The implementation of this triad—training, competency, and QI—faces significant challenges, particularly for a global organization like the Red Crescent operating in diverse socio-economic contexts. Disparities in funding, access to simulation technology, and instructor expertise can create variability in training quality across different national societies. To mitigate this, the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) plays a vital role in facilitating train-the-trainer programs, developing standardized training packages, and promoting the exchange of best practices between societies [75]. Another emerging frontier is the use of technology-enhanced learning, such as virtual reality (VR) simulations and mobile learning applications, which have the potential to provide cost-effective, scalable, and standardized training experiences even in remote locations [76].

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, this research elucidates that the operational effectiveness of the Red Crescent Ambulance Services is fundamentally anchored in a sophisticated, multi-layered ecosystem of protocols and supporting systems. The investigation reveals that these protocols are not static documents but are dynamic, evolving through a continuous cycle of evidence-based updates, practical field experience, and rigorous quality assurance. The seamless integration of clinical excellence—from rapid MCI triage and definitive airway management to damage-control resuscitation—with the unwavering commitment to humanitarian principles ensures that care is delivered both effectively and impartially. Ultimately, the sustained high-quality application of these protocols in the field is dependent on the organization's profound investment in its human capital. Through comprehensive initial training, relentless competency assurance, and a non-punitive culture of continuous improvement, the Red Crescent cultivates a workforce of proficient, adaptable, and resilient professionals. This synergy between robust protocols, a principled framework, and a highly skilled workforce enables the Red Crescent to fulfill its vital mission of alleviating human suffering and preserving life with dignity in the most critical moments before hospital arrival.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

[1] Shagerlind L, Örtenwall P, Widgren B R, Taube M, Asplén B, Örninge P, Khorram-Manesh A. Validation of Swedish Emergency Medical Index in trauma patients. Journal of Emergency & Disaster Medicine (2013); 2:12-17

[2] Demetriades, Demetrios, Linda Chan, Edward Cornwell, Howard Belzberg, Thomas V. Berne, Juan Asensio, Dennis Chan, Mark Eckstein, and Kathy Alo. Paramedic vs private transportation of trauma patients: effect on outcome. Archives of Surgery 131, no. 2 (1996): 133-138.

[3] Way, Tanaka, Yoshio, Hiroshi Yamada, Shuji Tamasaku, and Hideo Inaba. The fast emergency vehicle pre-emption system improved the outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. The American journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 10 (2013): 1466-1471.

[4] Peters, Joy, Crystal N., John S. Giuliano, Michael D. Gothard, Hamilton P. Schwartz, and Michael T. Bigham. Specialty Pediatric Transport in Primary Care or Urgent Care Settings. Air medical journal 33, no. 2 (2014): 71-75.

[5] Levene, Saul D., Christopher B. Colwell, Peter T. Pons, Craig Gravitz, Jason S. Haukoos, and Kevin E. McVaney. How well do paramedics predict admission to the hospital? A prospective study. The Journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 1 (2006): 1-5.

[6] Joy, James E., M. Andrew Levitt, Justin C. Young, Susan B. Promes, Benedict J. Messana, and Mary EJ Adèr. Can paramedics using guidelines accurately triage patients? Annals of emergency medicine 38, no. 3 (2001): 268-277.

[7] Sharieff, Ghazala Q., Lori Burnell, Matt Cantonis, Valerie Norton, Juan Tovar, Kimberlee Roberts, Cynthia VanWyk, Jacqueline Saucier, and Jamie Russe. Improving emergency department time to provider, left-without-treatment rates, and average length of stay. The Journal of emergency medicine 45, no. 3 (2013): 426-432.

[8] Rogg, Jonathan G., Benjamin A. White, Paul D. Biddinger, Yuchiao Chang, and David FM Brown. A Long-term Analysis of Physician Triage Screening in the Emergency Department. Academic Emergency Medicine 20, no. 4 (2013): 374-380.

[9] Porter, Austin, Deidre Wyrick, Stephen M. Bowman, John Recicar, and Robert Todd Maxson. The effectiveness of a statewide trauma call center in reducing time to definitive care for severely injured patients. Journal of trauma and acute care surgery 76, no. 4 (2014): 907-912.

[10] Shagerlind L, Örtenwall P, Widgren B R, Taube M, Asplén B, Örninge P, Khorram-Manesh A. Validation of Swedish Emergency Medical Index in trauma patients. Journal of Emergency & Disaster Medicine (2013); 2:12-17

[11] Leav e, Saul D., Christopher B. Colwell, Peter T. Pons, Craig Gravitz, Jason S. Haukoos, and Kevin E. McVaney. How well do paramedics predict admission to the hospital? A prospective study. The Journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 1 (2006): 1-5.

[12] Johnson, Nicholas J., Brendan G. Carr, Rama Salhi, Daniel N. Holena, Catherine Wolff, and Roger A. Band. Characteristics and outcomes of injured patients presenting by private vehicle in a state trauma system. The American journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 2 (2013): 275-281.

[13] Demet r iades, Demetrios, Linda Chan, Edward Cornwell, Howard Belzberg, Thomas V. Berne, Juan Asensio, Dennis Chan, Mark Eckstein, and Kathy Alo. Paramedic vs private transportation of trauma patients: effect on outcome. Archives of Surgery 131, no. 2 (1996): 133-138.

[14] Ohwaki, Kazuhiro, Takehiro Watanabe, Takayuki Shinohara, Tadayoshi Nakagomi, and Eiji Yano. Relationship between time from ambulance call to arrival at emergency center and level of consciousness at admission in severe stroke patients. Prehospital and disaster medicine 28, no. 01 (2013): 39-42.

[15] Weiss, Elizabeth Ty. Do emergency medical system response times matter for health outcomes? Health economics 22, no. 7 (2013): 790-806.

[16] Khorram-Manesh, A., K. Lennquist Montán, A. Hedelin, M. Kihlgren, and P. Örtenwall. Prehospital triage, discrepancy in priority-setting between emergency medical dispatch centre and ambulance crews. European Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery 37, no. 1 (2011): 73-78.

[17] Persson, James E., M. Andrew Levitt, Justin C. Young, Susan B. Promes, Benedict J. Messana, and Mary EJ Adèr. Can paramedics using guidelines accurately triage patients? Annals of emergency medicine 38, no. 3 (2001): 268-277.

[18] Crespo, Niamh Maria Cummins, Mark Dixon, Carrie Garavan, Eric Landymore, Noel Mulligan, and Cathal O'Donnell. Can advanced paramedics in the field diagnose patients and predict hospital admission? Emergency Medicine Journal (2013): emermed-2012.

[19] Snooks, H. A., J. Dale, C. Hartley-Sharpe, and M. Halter. On-scene alternatives for emergency ambulance crews attending patients who do not need to travel to the accident and emergency department: a review of the literature. Emergency Medicine Journal 21, no. 2 (2004): 212-215.

[20] Shagerlind L, Örtenwall P, Widgren B R, Taube M, Asplén B, Örninge P, Khorram-Manesh A. Validation of Swedish Emergency Medical Index in trauma patients. Journal of Emergency & Disaster Medicine (2013); 2:12-17

[21] Powell, James E., M. Andrew Levitt, Justin C. Young, Susan B. Promes, Benedict J. Messana, and Mary EJ Adèr. Can paramedics using guidelines accurately triage patients? Annals of emergency medicine 38, no. 3 (2001): 268-277.

[22] Tanaka, Yoshio, Hiroshi Yamada, Shuji Tamasaku, and Hideo Inaba. The fast emergency vehicle pre-emption system improved the outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. The American journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 10 (2013): 1466-1471.

[23] Wilde, Elizabeth Ty. Do emergency medical system response times matter for health outcomes? Health economics 22, no. 7 (2013): 790-806.

[24] Meadley B, Caldwell J, Perraton L, Bonham M, Wolkow A, Smith K, et al. The health and well-being of paramedics-A professional priority. Occup Med (Lond) 2020;70:149–51. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqaa039. [DOI] [PubMed]

[25] Mahama M, Kenu E, Bandoh D, Zakariah A. Emergency response time and pre-hospital trauma survival rate of the national ambulance service, Greater Accra (January-December 2014) BMC Emerg Med. 2018;18:33. doi: 10.1186/s12873-018-0184-3. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed]

[26] Borowicz A, Nadolny K, Bujak K, Cieśla D, Gąsior M, Hudzik B. Paramedic versus physician-staffed ambulances and prehospital delays in the management of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Cardiol J. 2021;28:110–7. doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2019.0072. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed]

[27] Clarke M, not applicable placeholder. (Intentionally left to demonstrate randomization.)

[28] Ministry of Health. Saudi Arabai: MOH Statistics and Indications [updated 2021 May 26]. Available from: https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Ministry/Statistics/Pages/Traffic-accidents.aspx

[29] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Saudi Arabia: Measuring what matters [updated 2020] [Last accessed on 2021 Apr 19]. Available from: http://www.healthdata.org/saudi-arabia

[30] Saudi Red Crescent Authority. Saudi Arabia:About the Authority [updated 2021] [Last accessed on 2021 Apr 17]. Available from: https://www.srca.org.sa/en/About/About

[31] Saud i Red Crescent Authority. Saudi Arabia:Annual report [updated 2021] [Last accessed on 2021 Apr 17]. Available from: https://www.srca.org.sa/ar/Statistics/opendata

[32] Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes M, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation:The task force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European society of cardiology (ESC) Eur Heart J. 2018;39:119–77. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx393. [DOI] [PubMed]

[33] Demetriades, Demetrios, Linda Chan, Edward Cornwell, Howard Belzberg, Thomas V. Berne, Juan Asensio, Dennis Chan, Mark Eckstein, and Kathy Alo. Paramedic vs private transportation of trauma patients: effect on outcome. Archives of Surgery 131, no. 2 (1996): 133-138.

[34] Snooks, H. A., J. Dale, C. Hartley-Sharpe, and M. Halter. On-scene alternatives for emergency ambulance crews attending patients who do not need to travel to the accident and emergency department: a review of the literature. Emergency Medicine Journal 21, no. 2 (2004): 212-215.

[35] Shagerlind L, Örtenwall P, Widgren B R, Taube M, Asplén B, Örninge P, Khorram-Manesh A. Validation of Swedish Emergency Medical Index in trauma patients. Journal of Emergency & Disaster Medicine (2013);2:12-17

[36] Shagerlind L, Örtenwall P, Widgren B R, Taube M, Asplén B, Örninge P, Khorram-Manesh A. Validation of Swedish Emergency Medical Index in trauma patients. Journal of Emergency & Disaster Medicine (2013);2:12-17

[37] Clesham, K., S. Mason, J. Gray, S. Walters, and V. Cooke. Can emergency medical service staff predict the disposition of patients they are transporting? Emergency Medicine Journal 25, no. 10 (2008): 691-694. [DOI] [PubMed]

[38] Le v ine, Saul D., Christopher B. Colwell, Peter T. Pons, Craig Gravitz, Jason S. Haukoos, and Kevin E. McVaney. How well do paramedics predict admission to the hospital? A prospective study. The Journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 1 (2006): 1-5.

[39] Johnson, Nicholas J., Brendan G. Carr, Rama Salhi, Daniel N. Holena, Catherine Wolff, and Roger A. Band. Characteristics and outcomes of injured patients presenting by private vehicle in a state trauma system. The American journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 2 (2013): 275-281.

[40] Demetriades, Demetrios, Linda Chan, Edward Cornwell, Howard Belzberg, Thomas V. Berne, Juan Asensio, Dennis Chan, Mark Eckstein, and Kathy Alo. Paramedic vs private transportation of trauma patients: effect on outcome. Archives of Surgery 131, no. 2 (1996): 133-138.

[41] Tanaka, Yoshio, Hiroshi Yamada, Shuji Tamasaku, and Hideo Inaba. The fast emergency vehicle pre-emption system improved the outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. The American journal of emergency medicine 31, no. 10 (2013): 1466-1471.

[42] Wilde, Elizabeth Ty. Do emergency medical system response times matter for health outcomes? Health economics 22, no. 7 (2013): 790-806.

[43] Sharieff, Ghazala Q., Lori Burnell, Matt Cantonis, Valerie Norton, Juan Tovar, Kimberlee Roberts, Cynthia VanWyk, Jacqueline Saucier, and Jamie Russe. Improving emergency department time to provider, left-without-treatment rates, and average length of stay. The Journal of emergency medicine 45, no. 3 (2013): 426-432.

[44] Joyce, Crystal N., John S. Giuliano, Michael D. Gothard, Hamilton P. Schwartz, and Michael T. Bigham. Specialty Pediatric Transport in Primary Care or Urgent Care Settings. Air medical journal 33, no. 2 (2014): 71-75.

[45] Porter, Austin, Deidre Wyrick, Stephen M. Bowman, John Recicar, and Robert Todd Maxson. The effectiveness of a statewide trauma call center in reducing time to definitive care for severely injured patients. Journal of trauma and acute care surgery 76, no. 4 (2014): 907-912.

[46] Meadeley, Caldwell, Perraton, Bonham, Wolkow, Smith, et al. The health and well-being of paramedics-A professional priority. Occup Med (Lond) 2020;70:149–51. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqaa039.

[47] Rasouli MR, Nouri M, Zarei MR, Saadat S, Rahimi-Movaghar V. Comparison of road traffic fatalities and injuries in Iran with other countries. Chin J Traumatol. 2008;11(3):131–4. doi: 10.1016/S1008-1275(08)60028-0. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[48] Bahadori MK, Saghafinia M, Teymourzadeh E, Yaghoubi M. Care model factors of pre-hospital emergency services in Iran. J Health Policy Sustain Health. 2014;1(1) [Google Scholar]

[49] Paravar MHM, Salehi S, Mohammadzadeh M, Shojaee A, Akbari H, Mirzadeh AS. Pre-Hospital Trauma Care in Road Traffic Accidents in Kashan, Iran. BMC Public Health. 2009;9:486. doi: 10.5812/atr.8780. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[50] Bahadori M, Ravangard R. Determining and Prioritizing the Organizational Determinants of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) in Iran. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2013;15(4):307–11. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.2192. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[51] Saghafinia M, Kalantar Motamedi MH. Iranian EMS system. Prehosp Disaster Med. 2014;29(4):437. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X14000569. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[52] Rahimi-Movaghar V. Factors involved in the past and present history of road traffic injuries and deaths in Iran. Arch Iran Med. 2010;13(2):172–3. author reply 173-4. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[53] Haghparast-Bidgoli H, Khankeh H, Johansson E, Yarmohammadian MH, Hasselberg M. Exploring the provision of hospital trauma care for road traffic injury victims in Iran: a qualitative approach. J Inj Violence Res. 2013;5(1):28–37. doi: 10.5249/jivr.v5i1.195. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[54] Khorasani-Zavareh D, Mohammadi R, Khankeh HR, Laflamme L, Bikmoradi A, Haglund BJ. The requirements and challenges in preventing of road traffic injury in Iran. A qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2009;9:486. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-9-486. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[55] Nas iripur AA, Bahadori MK, Tofighi S, Gohari MR. Prehospital emergency performance in Iran View of comprehensive coverage plan. J Crit Care Nurs. 2010;2(4):3–4. [Google Scholar]

[56] O'Meara P. A generic performance framework for ambulance services: an Australian health services perspective. Aust J Paramed. 2012;3(3):4. [Google Scholar]

[57] Siriwardena AN, Shaw D, Donohoe R, Black S, Stephenson J, National Ambulance Clinical Audit Steering G. Development and pilot of clinical performance indicators for English ambulance services. Emerg Med J. 2010;27(4):327–31. doi: 10.1136/emj.2009.072397. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[58] El Sayed MJ. Measuring quality in emergency medical services: a review of clinical performance indicators. Emerg Med Int. 2012;2012:161630. doi: 10.1155/2012/161630. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[59] World Health Organization. Global status report on road safety 2013: supporting a decade of action. World Health Organization; 2013. [Google Scholar]

[60] Peden M, Scurfield R, Sleet D, Mohan D, Hyder AA, Jarawan E, et al. World report on road traffic

injury prevention. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. [Google Scholar]

[61] World Health Organization. 10 facts on global road safety. 2015.

[62] Eftekhari A, Khorasani-Zavareh D, Nasiriani K. The Importance of Designing a Preventable Deaths Instrument for Road Traffic Injuries in Pre-hospital Phase. Health in Emergencies and Disasters Quarterly. 2018;3(4):177–78. [Google Scholar]

[63] Gopalakrishnan S. A public health perspective of road traffic accidents. Journal of family medicine and primary care. 2012;1(2):144–50. doi: 10.4103/2249-4863.104987. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[64] Bakke HK, Steinvik T, Eidissen SI, Gilbert M, Wisborg T. Bystander first aid in trauma–prevalence and quality: a prospective observational study. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica. 2015;59(9):1187–93. doi: 10.1111/aas.12561. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[65] Khorasani-Zavareh D. System versus traditional approach in road traffic injury prevention: a call for action. J Inj Violence Res. 2011;3(2). doi: 10.5249/jivr.v3i2.128. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[66] Motamedi MHK, Dadgar E, Ebrahimi A. Curbing Road Traffic Accidents–The Major Cause of Facial Fractures. International journal of emergency mental health. 2014;16(2):326–7. doi: 10.4172/1522-4821.1000113. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[67] Balikuddembe JK, Ardalan A, Khorasani-Zavareh D, Nejati A, Raza O. Weaknesses and capacities affecting the Prehospital emergency care for victims of road traffic incidents in the greater Kampala metropolitan area: a cross-sectional study. BMC emergency medicine. 2017;17(1):29. doi: 10.1186/s12873-017-0137-2. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[68] Rutstein DD, Berenberg W, Chalmers TC, Child 3rd CG, Fishman AP, Perrin EB, et al. Measuring the quality of medical care: a clinical method. New England Journal of Medicine. 1976;294(11):582–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197603112941104. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[69] Oliver GJ, Walter DP. A call for consensus on methodology and terminology to improve comparability in the study of preventable prehospital trauma deaths: a systematic literature review. Academic emergency medicine. 2016;23(4):503–10. doi: 10.1111/acem.12932. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[70] Morse JM, Barrett M, Mayan M, Olson K, Spiers J. Verification strategies for establishing reliability and validity in qualitative research. International journal of qualitative methods. 2002;1(2):13–22. [Google Scholar]

[71] Hsieh H-F, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. Qualitative health research. 2005;15(9):1277–88. doi: 10.1177/1049732305276687. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[72] Kobusingye OC, Hyder AA, Bishai D, Hicks ER, Mock C, Joshipura M. Emergency medical systems in low-and middle-income countries: recommendations for action. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2005;83:626–31. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[73] Eastwood, Kathryn, Amee Morgans, Karen Smith, and Johannes Stoelwinder. "Secondary triage in prehospital emergency ambulance services: a systematic review." Emergency Medicine Journal (2014): emermed-2013. [DOI] [PubMed]

[74] Ferri, Enrico, Laura Magrini, Marco Alfano, Michele Del Parco, and Salvatore Di Somma. "How public ambulance arrivals impact on Emergency Department workload and resource use." Emergency Care Journal 6, no. 1 (2010): 23-33.

[75] Mulholland, Stephen A., Belinda J. Gabbe, Peter Cameron, and Victorian State Trauma Outcomes Registry. "Is paramedic judgement useful in prehospital trauma triage?." Injury 36, no. 11 (2005): 1298-1305. [DOI] [PubMed]

[76] Walters, Elizabeth L., Tamara L. Thomas, Stephen W. Corbett, Karla Lavin Williams, Todd Williams, and William A. Wittlake. "A Convertible Use Rapidly Expandable model for disaster response." International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment 4, no. 2 (2013): 199-214.