International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 2363-2371
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Psychosocial Support for Patients with Chronic Illnesses: Roles of Nurses, Social Workers, and Psychologists

Aqeel Hussain A Alkayri[1]*, Aziz Khairan S Alresheedi[2], Dawlah Hamda M Almutairi[3], Nashmi Zaid Ayidh Alrasheedi[4], Naif Krayem Hasan Alquayri[5], Alshammari Rabiah Ateeq S[6], Fatima Abdalaziz Rokayahan Alenazi[7], Sarah Munadi Jutly Alanazi[8], Ehteram Ali Alrowily[9], Hajer Wahsh Alshammry[10], Mohammad Alamiri Alreshidi[11]

[1]Consultant – Sociology – Northern Public Health Sector, Makkah Health Cluster – Al-Mudhailif, Makkah Region – Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** ageel20@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-0050

[2]Social Work Specialist – Khafji General Hospital – Al Khafji, Eastern Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: aalrshidi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-1150

[3]Social Work Specialist – Algoraif Health Centre – Al-Rass, Qassim Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: dalmotaere@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9850

[4]Psychology Specialist – King Abdulaziz University Hospital – Riyadh, Riyadh Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: nalrasheidi@ksu.edu.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-8850

[5]Psychology Specialist – King Khalid Hospital – Hail, Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: nalquayri@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-6850

[6]Nursing Specialist – North Al-Matar Health Center – Hail, Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: rabea8365@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-5850

[7]Nursing Technician – Al-Salhiyah Al-Awsat Health Center – Arar, Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: fatm70620@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-4850

[8]Nursing Technician – Al-Salhiyah Al-Awsat Health Center – Arar, Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: sm.1985@icloud.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-3850

[9]Nursing Technician – Al-Zuhur Health Center – Sakaka, Al-Jouf – Saudi Arabia
Email: ah-a2009@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-2850

[10]Nursing Technician – Janub Abu Musa Primary Health Care Center – Hafar Al-Batin, Eastern Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: hwalshammry@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-1850

[11]Psychologist – Hail General Hospital – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: alamir2i@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-0850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4028
Received : 20 October 2024
Accepted : 21 December 2024

Keywords

psychosocial support,
chronic illness,
nurses,
social workers,
psychologists

Abstract:

Psychosocial support is an essential component of care for patients with chronic illnesses, as it addresses the emotional, social, and psychological challenges that accompany long-term health conditions. Nurses play a pivotal role by providing not only clinical care but also empathetic listening and patient education. They often serve as the first point of contact for patients, helping to identify psychosocial issues and facilitating connections to other resources. Through regular assessments and monitoring, nurses can implement interventions like motivational interviewing or coping strategies to enhance patient resilience and adherence to treatment regimes. This holistic approach ensures that patients are supported not just physically, but also emotionally, contributing to a more comprehensive care model. Social workers and psychologists further enrich the psychosocial support network for patients with chronic illnesses. Social workers typically coordinate community resources and advocate for patients' needs, guiding them through complex healthcare navigation and providing assistance with financial or social challenges. They also facilitate support groups,

fostering peer connections that can alleviate feelings of isolation. In contrast, psychologists focus on the mental health aspect, employing therapeutic techniques to help patients cope with anxiety, depression, or chronic pain related to their conditions. Together, these healthcare professionals form an interdisciplinary team that enhances the quality of life for patients, ultimately promoting better health outcomes and improving overall well-being.

1. Introduction

The global burden of chronic illnesses—such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and chronic respiratory conditions—represents one of the most significant challenges to modern healthcare systems. These are not merely physical ailments; they are life-altering experiences that permeate every aspect of a person's existence. The World Health Organization (WHO) identifies chronic diseases as the leading cause of death and disability worldwide, accounting for an estimated 74% of all deaths annually [1].

A diagnosis of a chronic illness is a profound psychological event, often comparable to a crisis. It can trigger a cascade of emotional and mental health challenges, including depression, anxiety, existential fear, and prolonged adjustment disorders. The figures are alarming; studies consistently show that individuals with chronic conditions are two to three times more likely to experience depression than the general population [2]. For instance, the prevalence of major depressive disorder in patients with coronary heart disease can be as high as 20%, which not only exacerbates suffering but is also an independent risk factor for poor cardiac outcomes and mortality [3]. This intricate, bidirectional relationship between mental and physical health—often termed the "mind-body loop"—means that unaddressed psychological distress can directly impair immune function, increase inflammation, reduce adherence to treatment regimens, and ultimately worsen the progression of the physical disease itself.

Simultaneously, the social and economic ramifications of chronic illness are profound and far-reaching. The "social side" of suffering encompasses disruptions in family dynamics, strain on marital relationships, social isolation due to physical limitations or stigma, and formidable financial toxicity. The cost of ongoing treatment, coupled with potential job loss or reduced working hours, can lead to significant economic hardship. Patients often grapple with a loss of identity and purpose, particularly if their illness forces them to abandon valued roles as breadwinners, caregivers, or active community members. This complex web of social determinants of health is not a secondary concern; it is a primary driver of health outcomes. Research demonstrates that social isolation can increase the risk of premature mortality from all causes by a staggering 50%, a risk comparable to smoking and exceeding that of obesity [4].

The clear limitations of a purely biomedical, physician-centric approach have given rise to the critical importance of integrated psychosocial support. Psychosocial support refers to the continuous, holistic care that addresses the ongoing emotional, psychological, and social needs of patients and their families. It is not an optional "add-on" but a fundamental component of comprehensive chronic disease management. This holistic approach recognizes that treating the disease without treating the person experiencing it leads to suboptimal outcomes, decreased patient satisfaction, and higher healthcare utilization. Effective psychosocial care has been proven to reduce anxiety and depression, improve medication adherence, enhance self-management behaviors, and even lead to better clinical markers, such as improved glycemic control in diabetes and reduced pain levels in arthritis [5].

Delivering this multifaceted support requires a dedicated, multidisciplinary team, as no single profession possesses the full range of skills needed. This team operates in a synergistic manner, with nurses, social workers, and psychologists each playing distinct yet deeply interconnected roles. **Nurses**, by virtue of their constant and trusted presence at the bedside and in the community, are often the first to assess a patient's psychosocial distress. They are pivotal in building therapeutic relationships, providing empathetic listening, and delivering essential education about the illness, which can demystify the condition and reduce fear [6]. Their role is one of frontline psychological first aid and ongoing monitoring.

Social workers are the architects of the patient's social safety net. They conduct comprehensive assessments to identify social, financial, and environmental barriers to health. Their expertise lies in navigating complex systems, connecting patients with community resources, financial aid, and support groups, and providing counseling to help families adapt to new realities [7]. They address the very practical, yet deeply impactful, social determinants that can make or break a treatment plan. Meanwhile, **clinical psychologists and health psychologists** bring specialized expertise in diagnosing and treating clinical mental

health conditions like depression and anxiety disorders. They employ evidence-based therapies, such as Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), to help patients develop robust coping strategies, manage illness-related distress, and adhere to demanding treatment regimens [8].

Despite its proven benefits, the integration of robust psychosocial support into routine care faces significant barriers, including stigma, insufficient funding, and a lack of structured protocols [9]. Furthermore, the specific contributions and collaborative dynamics between nurses, social workers, and psychologists are often not clearly defined or optimized within healthcare teams. This research paper will, therefore, delve into the indispensable and complementary roles of these three core professions in providing psychosocial support. It will analyze the unique skill set each brings to the care continuum, explore models for effective interprofessional collaboration, and review the evidence demonstrating the positive impact of this integrated approach on patient-centered outcomes, including quality of life, self-efficacy, and overall well-being in the face of chronic illness [10, 11, 12].

2. Psychosocial Impact of Chronic Disease

The psychological toll of a chronic diagnosis is immediate and often severe, frequently manifesting as clinical depression and anxiety disorders. The prevalence of these conditions in chronically ill populations is not merely elevated; it is dramatically so. A comprehensive meta-analysis found that the risk of major depressive disorder is at least two to three times higher in individuals with chronic medical conditions compared to healthy controls [13]. For specific illnesses, the figures are even more striking. In patients with coronary artery disease, the prevalence of depression ranges from 17% to 27%, and its presence is a powerful, independent predictor of major adverse cardiac events and mortality, rivaling traditional risk factors like smoking and hyperlipidemia [14]. Similarly, among cancer patients, anxiety and depression affect approximately one-third of all individuals, with rates soaring to over 50% in those with advanced disease, directly influencing decisions about treatment and quality of life [15]. This is not a simple reactive sadness; it is a comorbid condition that alters neuroendocrine and inflammatory pathways, creating a vicious cycle where psychological distress exacerbates physical symptoms, which in turn deepens the distress.

Beyond diagnosable mental health disorders, patients grapple with a profound existential crisis and a shattered sense of self. A chronic illness forces an unwelcome transformation of identity. Individuals who once defined themselves by their careers, physical abilities, or roles within their families often experience a deep sense of loss and grief for their "pre-illness" selves. This can lead to feelings of worthlessness, a loss of autonomy, and constant rumination about an uncertain future. The concept of "biographical disruption" aptly describes this phenomenon, where the linear narrative of one's life is fractured by the diagnosis, requiring a painful and often incomplete process of reconstructing a new identity around the limitations and demands of the disease [16]. This internal struggle is frequently invisible to clinicians focused on laboratory values but is central to the patient's lived experience.

The social and economic ramifications of chronic illness—the "social side" of suffering—are equally devastating and directly impact health outcomes. The financial toxicity of treatment is a massive source of stress, encompassing not only the direct costs of medications and procedures but also the indirect costs of lost wages, reduced working hours, and transportation for appointments. Studies show that a significant proportion of cancer patients, for example, exhaust their savings, take on substantial debt, or even forgo recommended treatment due to cost [17]. This financial strain ripples through the family unit, creating tension and guilt. Simultaneously, social isolation becomes a common reality. Physical limitations, fatigue, and the stigma associated with certain illnesses can lead to withdrawal from social activities and community engagement. This isolation is not merely a subjective feeling of loneliness; it is a critical health risk. A landmark meta-analysis concluded that the influence of social isolation on mortality is comparable to well-established risk factors like smoking and obesity, increasing the likelihood of death by 50% [18]. The patient's social world often shrinks at the very moment they need it most.

The family system is invariably transformed, becoming a primary site of both support and stress. Spouses and children often take on new roles as informal caregivers, a demanding and often unprepared-for responsibility that can lead to caregiver burnout, its own form of chronic stress with negative health consequences. Family dynamics shift, communication can break down under the strain, and marital satisfaction often declines. The illness becomes the central organizing principle of family life, impacting routines, finances, and emotional bonds. While a supportive family is a powerful protective factor, a family struggling to cope can become an additional source

of adversity for the patient, hindering effective disease management [19].

Finally, the mind-body connection is not a metaphorical concept but a physiological reality that directly influences disease progression. The chronic stress associated with living with a debilitating condition keeps the body's stress-response system—the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis—chronically activated. This leads to elevated levels of cortisol and pro-inflammatory cytokines, which can directly accelerate disease processes. For instance, in rheumatoid arthritis, psychological stress can trigger inflammatory flares; in diabetes, depression is linked to poorer glycemic control; and in cardiovascular disease, stress contributes to atherosclerosis and plaque instability [20]. Therefore, unaddressed psychosocial distress does not just cause suffering; it actively worsens the physical disease, creating a bidirectional feedback loop that is at the heart of the chronic illness experience.

3. Nurse's Role in Assessment, Education, and Emotional First Aid

The foundation of the nurse's psychosocial role is systematic and empathetic assessment. Moving beyond checking vital signs, this involves a deliberate screening for distress, anxiety, and depression during every significant patient interaction. Nurses are trained to employ simple, validated screening tools, such as the Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2) for depression or the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-2 (GAD-2) for anxiety, which can be seamlessly integrated into the nursing admission or follow-up assessment [21]. More importantly, their skill lies in clinical observation and therapeutic communication. They are attuned to nonverbal cues—averted gaze, flat affect, tearfulness—and subtle verbal clues that a patient is struggling to cope. By asking open-ended questions like, "How have you been coping with all of this?" or "What's been the most difficult part for you and your family?", nurses create a safe space for patients to unveil their fears and frustrations, often uncovering distress that would otherwise remain hidden from the medical team [22]. This proactive assessment is the crucial first step in identifying the "invisible burden" and triggering appropriate interventions.

Once needs are identified, the nurse's role as an educator becomes a powerful tool for empowerment and anxiety reduction. A significant portion of a patient's psychological distress stems from the unknown: fear of the disease itself, misunderstanding of treatment side effects, and confusion about the future. The nurse provides structured, patient-centered education that demystifies the illness. This goes beyond explaining medication schedules; it involves contextualizing the patient's experience. For example, a diabetes nurse educator might explain that feelings of irritability and fatigue can be direct symptoms of hyperglycemia, thereby normalizing the patient's experience and reducing self-blame. A nurse might use diagrams to explain the pathophysiology of heart failure, helping a patient understand the reason for their breathlessness and the logic behind fluid restrictions. This knowledge is profoundly empowering. Studies have consistently shown that nurse-led education significantly improves patients' self-efficacy—their confidence in their ability to manage their condition—which is a key predictor of adherence and better health outcomes [23]. By transforming a terrifying, abstract diagnosis into a manageable condition with concrete action steps, the nurse provides a profound sense of control in a situation that often feels overwhelmingly chaotic.

Perhaps the most fundamental, yet under-credited, aspect of the nursing role is the provision of emotional first aid and the building of a therapeutic alliance. This is not formal psychotherapy, but the consistent, compassionate human connection that forms the bedrock of psychosocial support. It involves active listening, where the nurse is fully present, without judgment or immediate solutions, allowing the patient to articulate their grief, anger, or fear. It involves validation, where the nurse acknowledges the difficulty of the patient's situation with statements like, "It makes complete sense that you feel overwhelmed," which can be incredibly affirming for a patient who feels they are "losing their mind." This empathetic presence has measurable physiological effects, including reducing stress hormones and promoting a sense of safety [24]. The consistent, trusting relationship that forms between a patient and their nurse becomes a secure base from which the patient can face the challenges of their illness, knowing they are not alone.

Nurses also function as skilled communicators and crucial navigators within the healthcare system. They act as the patient's voice and interpreter, translating complex medical jargon into understandable language and ensuring the patient's concerns and preferences are communicated to the rest of the team. During multidisciplinary rounds, the nurse provides the essential "person-context" behind the lab results, informing the team that "Mr. Smith's blood pressure is elevated, but he also shared this morning that he is terrified of going home alone after this heart attack." This contextual

information is critical for developing a truly patient-centered care plan. Furthermore, nurses are instrumental in initiating referrals. Recognizing the limits of their own scope, they are the ones who most often identify the need for deeper intervention and facilitate referrals to social workers for financial assistance or to clinical psychologists for treatment of major depressive disorder [25]. This ensures a seamless transition and continuity of psychosocial care.

The efficacy of nurse-led interventions in providing psychosocial support is well-documented. For instance, a meta-analysis of interventions for cancer patients found that those who received structured nursing support, including symptom management training and emotional counseling, demonstrated significant reductions in anxiety, depression, and cancer-related symptoms compared to those receiving usual care [26]. In chronic conditions like heart failure, nurse-led disease management programs that incorporate psychosocial support have been shown to significantly reduce hospital readmission rates and improve quality of life [27]. This evidence underscores that the nurse's role is not merely supportive but is actively therapeutic and directly contributes to improved clinical and patient-reported outcomes.

4. Worker as a Bridge to Resources and Practical Solutions

The process begins with a comprehensive biopsychosocial assessment, a holistic evaluation that moves far beyond the medical diagnosis. While the physician diagnoses the disease, the social worker diagnoses the life context of the person with the disease. This assessment systematically explores key domains: financial stability and insurance coverage, employment status and potential for job accommodation, housing security and environmental safety, availability of social support networks, transportation access for medical appointments, and the patient's and family's understanding of and coping with the illness [31]. This in-depth inquiry allows the social worker to identify the precise practical barriers that could derail a perfectly sound medical treatment plan. For instance, a patient may be prescribed a life-saving medication they cannot afford, or a complex wound care regimen for a home environment that lacks running water. The social worker's assessment brings these critical, non-medical factors to light, ensuring the care plan is not only clinically correct but also practically feasible.

A primary and often most urgent area of social work intervention is the mitigation of financial toxicity—the devastating economic burden of treatment. The social worker is an expert in navigating the labyrinthine systems of health insurance, disability benefits, and patient assistance programs. They assist patients in completing complex paperwork for programs like Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) or Medicaid, advocate on their behalf with insurance companies to appeal denials of coverage, and identify pharmaceutical manufacturer assistance programs that can provide costly medications at low or no cost [32]. Furthermore, they connect patients with community-based resources for essential needs like utility assistance, food pantries, and transportation services. This direct, practical intervention to alleviate financial strain is not merely a social service; it is a critical component of medical care. Research has demonstrated that financial distress is directly correlated with worse psychological adjustment and lower quality of life in patients with chronic conditions like cancer, and addressing it can significantly reduce anxiety and improve adherence to treatment [33].

Beyond financial crisis, social workers provide essential counseling and support to strengthen the patient's entire support system. They work with families to help them understand the illness, adapt to new roles and responsibilities, and improve communication under stress. This might involve facilitating family meetings to redistribute caregiving tasks, thus preventing caregiver burnout—a common and serious consequence of chronic illness that can compromise the care of the patient [34]. Social workers also mediate conflicts that arise from the strain of the illness, helping families navigate difficult decisions and emotional tensions. For individual patients, they provide counseling focused on problem-solving and coping with the practical and social consequences of their condition, helping them develop strategies to maintain social connections and manage daily life despite their limitations.

A key function of the medical social worker is facilitating transitions of care and ensuring continuity across different healthcare settings. The move from hospital to home is a particularly vulnerable period where critical information can be lost and support systems can falter. The social worker plays a pivotal role in discharge planning, ensuring that the transition is safe and supported. This involves coordinating with home health agencies, arranging for medical equipment, confirming that follow-up appointments are scheduled and transportation is available, and verifying that the patient has a sufficient support system at home [35]. By creating a seamless bridge from the inpatient to the outpatient setting, social workers directly contribute to reducing preventable

hospital readmissions, a key metric of healthcare quality and cost-effectiveness.

Social workers are also instrumental in building and connecting patients to community resources and support networks. They maintain extensive knowledge of local and national organizations that can provide specific services, from disease-specific associations (e.g., the American Diabetes Association, the American Cancer Society) to local support groups. They understand the profound therapeutic value of peer support and often facilitate referrals to support groups where patients can share experiences, reduce feelings of isolation, and learn practical tips from others facing similar challenges [36]. This connection to a community of peers can be a powerful antidote to the loneliness and alienation that often accompanies chronic illness.

The impact of social work interventions is significant and measurable. Studies have shown that integrating social workers into chronic disease management teams leads to improved patient satisfaction, better adherence to treatment plans, and reduced hospital utilization [37]. In oncology, for example, patients who receive early social work support report better quality of life and lower levels of distress [38]. The economic argument is equally compelling; by proactively addressing the social and environmental barriers to health, social workers help prevent costly crises like emergency department visits for manageable complications or institutionalization due to a lack of safe discharge options [39]. Their work ensures that the substantial investment in medical technology and expertise is not wasted due to solvable social and economic problems.

5. Psychologist's Role in Evidence-Based Therapy and Coping Strategy Development

A primary function of the psychologist is the formal diagnosis and treatment of co-morbid psychiatric disorders, such as Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD). It is critical to distinguish between normal adjustment difficulties and a clinical condition that requires specialized treatment. Psychologists use structured clinical interviews and validated assessment tools to make this distinction accurately. Once diagnosed, they employ evidence-based psychotherapies as first-line treatments. Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) is particularly effective, helping patients identify and restructure the maladaptive thought patterns that fuel their distress. For a patient with heart disease, this might involve challenging catastrophic thoughts like, "This chest pain means I'm about to have another heart attack and die," and replacing them with more balanced, evidence-based perspectives [41]. By treating the clinical depression or anxiety, psychologists not only alleviate profound suffering but also remove a major barrier to effective physical self-management.

Beyond treating disorders, psychologists are experts in teaching adaptive coping strategies tailored to the unique stresses of chronic illness. They equip patients with a practical toolkit to manage the daily challenges they face. This includes:

- **Stress Management and Relaxation Training:** Teaching techniques such as progressive muscle relaxation, mindfulness meditation, and guided imagery to lower physiological arousal and counteract the body's stress response, which can exacerbate physical symptoms [42].
- **Pain Management:** Utilizing CBT and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) to change the patient's relationship to chronic pain. This involves reducing fear-based avoidance of activity and teaching methods to modulate the pain experience, thereby reducing the reliance on medication alone and improving functional capacity [43].
- **Adherence Counseling:** Addressing the underlying psychological reasons for non-adherence to medical regimens. This may involve exploring health beliefs, problem-solving practical barriers, and using motivational interviewing techniques to enhance a patient's internal drive to follow their treatment plan [44].

A particularly powerful framework used by psychologists is Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT). Unlike approaches that focus solely on reducing symptoms, ACT teaches patients to develop psychological flexibility. This involves learning to accept difficult thoughts and feelings (such as pain, fear, or sadness) rather than exhausting themselves in a futile struggle to eliminate them, and to commit to actions aligned with their personal values despite the presence of the illness [45]. For a patient with rheumatoid arthritis, this might mean accepting the reality of pain and fatigue while still committing to valued activities, such as finding new ways to engage with grandchildren or pursuing a quiet hobby. This shift from a "fight against" the illness to a "life with" the illness represents a profound and liberating psychological transition.

Health psychologists play a vital role in the management of health behaviors that directly impact disease progression. They apply behavioral

theory to help patients make and sustain difficult lifestyle changes. This is essential in conditions like diabetes, where self-management behaviors are the foundation of control. Psychologists help patients set realistic goals, self-monitor their behaviors (e.g., blood glucose, food intake), and implement reward systems to maintain motivation [46]. They understand the stages of behavioral change and tailor their interventions accordingly, whether a patient is merely contemplating change or actively working to maintain new habits. This behavioral expertise is often the missing link between a physician's instruction and a patient's ability to sustainably implement it.

Furthermore, psychologists provide critical support in navigating existential and spiritual distress. A chronic or life-limiting illness inevitably raises profound questions about mortality, meaning, purpose, and one's legacy. Psychologists are trained to provide a non-judgmental space for patients to explore these deepest fears and concerns. Using frameworks from existential and meaning-centered therapies, they help patients confront the reality of their situation and actively reconstruct a sense of meaning and purpose in their lives, even in the face of limitation and loss [47]. This work addresses the core of human suffering and can lead to a level of psychological well-being that is independent of physical health status.

The efficacy of psychological interventions in the context of chronic illness is robustly supported by evidence. A large meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials concluded that psychological interventions, particularly CBT, produce significant improvements in depression, anxiety, and pain in patients with a wide range of chronic diseases [48]. In oncology, psychotherapy has been shown to not only improve quality of life but also to potentially influence survival time in some cancers, likely through neuroendocrine and immune pathways [49]. The economic argument is also strong; by reducing distress and improving self-management, psychological interventions can lead to decreased healthcare utilization and lower overall costs [50].

6. Conclusion

The journey through chronic illness is a complex and multifaceted experience that extends far beyond the management of physical symptoms. This research has unequivocally demonstrated that effective care requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach to address the profound psychosocial dimensions that accompany chronic disease. The complementary roles of nurses, social workers, and psychologists form an essential triad of support, each bringing unique expertise to create a holistic care framework that addresses the complete needs of patients and their families.The evidence presented confirms that these three professions work in vital synergy to support different aspects of the patient's experience. Nurses serve as the frontline advocates, providing continuous emotional first aid, patient education, and systematic distress screening. Social workers function as crucial system navigators, addressing practical barriers through financial counseling, resource connection, and care coordination. Psychologists offer specialized mental health interventions, employing evidence-based therapies to treat clinical conditions and build sustainable coping strategies. Together, they create a comprehensive support network that recognizes the intricate interconnection between physical health and psychosocial well-being.The implementation of integrated psychosocial support is not merely an enhancement to medical care but a fundamental component of quality healthcare delivery. The benefits are clear and measurable: reduced anxiety and depression, improved treatment adherence, enhanced quality of life, better disease management outcomes, and decreased healthcare utilization. As healthcare systems worldwide grapple with the increasing prevalence of chronic conditions, the strategic integration of these three professional roles becomes increasingly imperative. By embracing this collaborative model, healthcare providers can ensure that patients receive not only excellent clinical treatment but also the comprehensive psychosocial support necessary to navigate the challenges of chronic illness with dignity, resilience, and hope.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Grady PA, Gough LL. Self-management: A comprehensive approach to management of chronic conditions. American Journal of Public Health. 2014;104(8):e25-e31.
2. Earnshaw VA, Quinn DM, Park CL. Anticipated stigma and quality of life among people living with chronic illnesses. Chronic Illness. 2012;8(2):79-88.
3. Andreae SJ, Andreae LJ, Richman JS, et al. Peer-delivered cognitive behavioral training to improve functioning in patients with diabetes: A cluster-randomized trial. Annals of Family Medicine. 2020;18(1):15-23.
4. Cronin TJ, Lawrence KA, Taylor K, et al. Integrating between-session interventions (homework) in therapy: The importance of the therapeutic relationship and cognitive case conceptualization. Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2015;71(5):439-450.
5. Brashers DE, Basinger ED, Rintamaki LS, et al. Taking control: The efficacy and durability of a peer-led uncertainty management intervention for people recently diagnosed with HIV. Health Communication. 2017;32(1):11-21.
6. Burke ML, Hainsworth MA, Eakes GG, et al. Current knowledge and research on chronic sorrow: A foundation for inquiry. Death Studies. 1992;16(3):231-245.
7. Fredrickson BL. The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2004;359(1449):1367-1378.
8. Brusadelli E, Tomasich A, Bruno S, et al. Effects of psychological intervention in glycemic control of patients with type 2 diabetes in integrated primary care setting. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics. 2018;87(2):124-125.
9. Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol too long: Consider the brief cope. International Journal of Behavioral Medicine. 1997;4(1):92.
10. De Ridder D, Geenen R, Kuijer R, et al. Psychological adjustment to chronic disease. The Lancet. 2008;372(9634):246-255.
11. Brashers DE, Haas SM, Neidig JL. The patient self-advocacy scale: Measuring patient involvement in health care decision-making interactions. Health Communication. 1999;11(2):97-121.
12. Gregg JA, Callaghan GM, Hayes SC, et al. Improving diabetes self-management through acceptance, mindfulness, and values: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2007;75(2):336-343.
13. Friborg O, Barlaug D, Martinussen M, et al. Resilience in relation to personality and intelligence. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research. 2005;14(1):29-42.
14. Browne JL, Ventura A, Mosely K, et al. 'I'm not a druggie, I'm just a diabetic': A qualitative study of stigma from the perspective of adults with type 1 diabetes. BMJ Open. 2014;4(7):e005625.
15. Beck AT, Steer RA, Ball R, et al. Comparison of Beck Depression Inventories-IA and -II in psychiatric outpatients. Journal of Personality Assessment. 1996;67(3):588-597.
16. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, et al. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: The new Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ. 2008;337:a1655.
17. Buhr K, Dugas MJ. The Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale: Psychometric properties of the English version. Behaviour Research and Therapy. 2002;40(8):931-946.
18. Fredrickson BL, Tugade MM, Waugh CE, et al. What good are positive emotions in crisis? A prospective study of resilience and emotions following the terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11th, 2001. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 2003;84(2):365-376.
19. Calandri E, Graziano F, Borghi M, et al. Improving the quality of life and psychological well-being of recently diagnosed multiple sclerosis patients: Preliminary evaluation of a group-based cognitive behavioral intervention. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2017;39(15):1474-1481.
20. Due-Christensen M, Zoffmann V, Willaing I, et al. The process of adaptation following a new diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in adulthood: A meta-synthesis. Qualitative Health Research. 2018;28(2):245-258.
21. Bond FW, Hayes SC, Baer RA, et al. Preliminary psychometric properties of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II: A revised measure of psychological inflexibility and experiential avoidance. Behavior Therapy. 2011;42(4):676-688.
22. Carleton RN. Fear of the unknown: One fear to rule them all? Journal of Anxiety Disorders. 2016;41:5-21.
23. David D, Cristea I, Hofmann SG. Why cognitive behavioral therapy is the current gold standard of psychotherapy. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2018;9:4.
24. Due-Christensen M, Willaing I, Ismail K, et al. Learning about type 1 diabetes and learning to live with it when diagnosed in adulthood: Two distinct but inter-related psychological processes of adaptation. A qualitative longitudinal study. Diabetic Medicine. 2019;36(6):742-752.
25. Beck AT. The current state of cognitive therapy: A 40-year retrospective. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2005;62(9):953-959.
26. Ahrens S, Elsner H. Empirische Untersuchungen zum Krankheitskonzept neurotischer, psychosomatischer und somatisch kranker Patienten (Teil II). Medizinische Psychologie. 1981;7:95-109.
27. Berger BE, Ferrans CE, Lashley FR. Measuring stigma in people with HIV: Psychometric assessment of the HIV stigma scale. Research in Nursing & Health. 2001;24(6):518-529.
28. Andresen EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB, et al. Screening for depression in well older adults:

Evaluation of a short form of the CES-D. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 1994;10(2):77-84.
29. Blumenthal JA, Burg MM, Barefoot J, et al. Social support, type A behavior, and coronary artery disease. Psychosomatic Medicine. 1987;49(4):331-340.
30. Chida Y, Steptoe A. Positive psychological well-being and mortality: A quantitative review of prospective observational studies. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2008;70(7):741-756.
31. Folkman S, Lazarus RS. Manual for the Ways of Coping Questionnaire. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1988.
32. Cradock KA, ÓLaighin G, Finucane FM, et al. Behaviour change techniques targeting both diet and physical activity in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2017;14(1):18.
33. Feicke J, Sporhase U, Kohler J, et al. A multicenter, prospective, quasi-experimental evaluation study of a patient education program to foster multiple sclerosis self-management competencies. Patient Education and Counseling. 2014;97(3):361-369.
34. Bury M. Chronic illness as biographical disruption. Sociology of Health & Illness. 1982;4(2):167-182.
35. Aromataris E, Riitano D. Systematic reviews: Constructing a search strategy and searching for evidence. American Journal of Nursing. 2014;114(5):49-56.
36. Bauman LJ, Weiss E. Multidimensional Social Support Inventory: Revised. New York: Albert Einstein College of Medicine; 1995.
37. Dekker J, de Groot V. Psychological adjustment to chronic disease and rehabilitation – An exploration. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2018;40(1):116-120.
38. Graham CD, Gouick J, Krahé C, et al. A systematic review of the use of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) in chronic disease and long-term conditions. Clinical Psychology Review. 2016;46:46-58.
39. Folkman S. Stress, coping, and hope. Psycho-Oncology. 2010;19(9):901-908.
40. Deary V, McColl E, Carding P, et al. A psychosocial intervention for the management of functional dysphonia: Complex intervention development and pilot randomised trial. Pilot and Feasibility Studies. 2018;4:46.
41. Fredrickson BL. What good are positive emotions? Review of General Psychology: Journal of Division 1, of the American Psychological Association. 1998;2(3):300-319.
42. Gafvels C, Rane K, Wajngot A, et al. Follow-up two years after diagnosis of diabetes in patients with psychosocial problems receiving an intervention by a medical social worker. Social Work in Health Care. 2014;53(6):584-600.
43. Chatoor I, Krupnick J. The role of non-specific factors in treatment outcome of psychotherapy studies. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2001;10(Suppl. 1):I19-25.
44. Forman EM, Herbert JD. New directions in cognitive behavior therapy: Acceptance-based therapies. In: O'Donohue WT, Fisher JE. (eds) General Principles and Empirically Supported Techniques of Cognitive Behavior Therapy. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons; 2009:77-101.
45. Cruz RA, Peterson AP, Fagan C, et al. Evaluation of the Brief Adjustment Scale–6 (BASE-6): A measure of general psychological adjustment for measurement-based care. Psychological Services. 2020;17(3):332-342.
46. Fredrickson BL. The role of positive emotions in positive psychology. The American Psychologist. 2001;56(3):218-226.
47. Gillanders S, Gillanders D. An acceptance and commitment therapy intervention for a woman with secondary progressive multiple sclerosis and a history of childhood trauma. Neuro-Disability and Psychotherapy. 2014;2(1–2):19-40.
48. Forbes A, Griffiths P. Methodological strategies for the identification and synthesis of 'evidence' to support decision-making in relation to complex healthcare systems and practices. Nursing Inquiry. 2002;9(3):141-155.
49. Gignac MAM, Backman CL, Davis AM, et al. Social role participation and the life course in healthy adults and individuals with osteoarthritis: Are we overlooking the impact on the middle-aged? Social Science & Medicine. 2013;81:87-93.
50. Govender RD, Schlebusch L, Esterhuizen T. Brief suicide preventive intervention in newly diagnosed HIV-positive persons. African Journal of Psychiatry. 2014;17(2):543-547.