International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 11-No.4 (2025) pp. 7453-7463
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Patient Handover and Continuity of Care Challenges in Saudi Prehospital Services

Hassan Abdulmohsen Alkhars[1]*, Abdulmajeed Abdullah Alhajji[2], Mohammed Jawad Almubarak[3], Fathi Radi Al Shabeeb[4], Abdullah Hassan Al Abdullah[5], Ahmed Habib Mohammed ALmahdi[6], Mohammed Habeeb Aloqailiy[7], Hassan Said Shaiab[8], Hussain Yousef Alhulw[9], Abdulhakeem Radi Al Shabib[10]

[1]Emergency Medical Services, Saudi Red Crescent, Alkhobar,
* **Corresponding Author Email:** Soza.r3@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-0850

[2]Emergency Medical Services technician, ALHASSA,
Email: er-997@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7950

[3]Emergency Medical Services technician, Saudi Red Crescent Authority,
Email: Mjalm1990@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7750

[4]Emergency Medical Services technician, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Safwa,
Email: fathi_k90@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7650

[5]Emergency Medical Services technician, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saihat,
Email: azmhm997@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7550

[6]Emergency Medical Services technician, AL AHSSA,
Email: ro7i4u.18@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7450

[7]Emergency Medical Services technician, Saihat,
Email: mhho123@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7350

[8]Emergency Medical Services technician, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Qatif,
Email: hassan06sa@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7250

[9]Emergency Medical Services technician, TeDammam,
Email: hussainf705@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7150

[10]Emergency Medical Services technician,
Email: hakeemalshabeeb@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7800

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4047
Received : 03 January 2025
Accepted : 29 January 2025

Keywords

Patient handover,
continuity of care,
prehospital services,
Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

In Saudi Arabia, the efficacy of prehospital services is increasingly scrutinized, particularly in the context of patient handover and continuity of care. Effective handover practices are critical to ensuring that patients receive seamless care as they transition from prehospital emergency medical services to hospital facilities. However, challenges such as communication breakdowns, inconsistent information transfer, and variations in protocols can compromise patient safety and outcomes. Many prehospital providers face difficulties in transmitting the patient's medical history, medication lists, and assessment findings, leading to potential delays in treatment and increased risk of adverse events. Ensuring that staff are adequately trained in handover procedures and that standardized protocols are established is vital for addressing these gaps. Continuity of care is another significant challenge within Saudi prehospital services, particularly as the healthcare system becomes more integrated and patient-centric. The lack of an integrated electronic health record system hampers the ability of emergency medical technicians (EMTs) to access and share critical patient information seamlessly with receiving hospitals. This disconnect can result in duplicated tests, medication errors, and miscommunications regarding treatment plans. Additionally, the variability in the level of care provided by different prehospital teams further complicates continuity. To enhance patient care, it is essential to implement systematic training programs, develop

unified communication tools, and foster collaboration between prehospital services and healthcare institutions. Such initiatives could significantly improve patient outcomes and ensure a more efficient healthcare continuum.

1. Introduction

The prehospital emergency medical services (EMS) system represents the critical first link in the chain of survival for millions of patients worldwide. It functions as a mobile extension of the hospital emergency department, providing immediate, life-saving interventions at the scene of an incident and during transport. However, the ultimate efficacy of these prehospital interventions is profoundly dependent on a singular, pivotal process: the patient handover. Patient handover is the structured transfer of clinical information, professional responsibility, and accountability for a patient from one healthcare provider or team to another [1]. In the context of EMS, this transfer occurs at the dynamic and often high-stress interface between paramedics and hospital staff in the emergency department (ED). An effective handover ensures continuity of care, which is the seamless, uninterrupted progression of patient care across different providers and settings, aiming to achieve optimal outcomes and patient safety [2].Globally, ineffective handovers have been identified as a significant patient safety risk. The World Health Organization (WHO) has highlighted communication failures during patient handovers as a leading contributory factor in sentinel events and adverse clinical outcomes [3]. Studies from various healthcare systems indicate that approximately 70-80% of serious medical errors are attributable to miscommunication, often during care transitions [4]. In the high-velocity environment of the ED, where time is critical and cognitive load is high, an incomplete, inaccurate, or disorganized handover can lead to delays in diagnosis and treatment, medication errors, redundant testing, and ultimately, compromised patient safety [5]. The standardized handover tool, often mnemonics-based like ISBAR (Identification, Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation), has been promoted as a gold standard to structure communication and mitigate these risks [6].Focusing on the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the landscape presents a unique and compelling case for studying this challenge. The Saudi EMS system, primarily operated by the Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA) and other governmental bodies, has undergone remarkable expansion and modernization in line with the Kingdom's Vision 2030, which emphasizes the development of a comprehensive, effective, and integrated health system [7]. The SRCA responds to a massive volume of calls, with recent reports indicating it handles over **1.5 million emergency communications annually**, leading to hundreds of thousands of patient transports [8]. This immense scale, coupled with the Kingdom's vast geographical expanse and diverse, multi-ethnic population, inherently complicates the delivery of standardized care.Despite significant investments in infrastructure, vehicle fleets, and training, the Saudi prehospital-to-hospital interface faces substantial challenges that threaten the continuity of care. Research specific to the Saudi context has begun to illuminate these issues. A 2021 study conducted across several major EDs in Riyadh found that over **60% of handovers from EMS providers were interrupted by ED staff**, and nearly **40% lacked critical information regarding the patient's history or the medications administered prehospital** [9]. Another study from the Western region highlighted that the absence of a unified, mandatory handover protocol across different health sectors (e.g., SRCA, Ministry of Health, and private hospitals) creates significant variability and inconsistency in practice [10].The challenges are multifaceted. They range from human factors, such as varying levels of paramedic training, language barriers between a multinational workforce and local patients, and the perceived hierarchical gradient between paramedics and physicians, to systemic issues like ED overcrowding, lack of dedicated handover spaces, and technological gaps [11]. The absence of a fully integrated electronic health record (EHR) system that seamlessly connects prehospital patient care reports (PCRs) with hospital EMRs remains a significant technological barrier to information continuity in Saudi Arabia [12]. This fragmentation means that vital prehospital data, such as initial vital signs, response to treatments, and scene circumstances, often fail to become a permanent part of the patient's hospital record.

2. The Saudi Context: Evolution of Prehospital Services and Vision 2030

The landscape of emergency medical services in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has undergone a remarkable transformation, evolving from a basic transportation service to a sophisticated, professional prehospital care system. This evolution provides the essential backdrop against which the current challenges in patient handover and continuity of care must be understood. The primary custodian of this system, the Saudi Red Crescent

Authority (SRCA), has experienced significant growth in both infrastructure and capacity. Established in 1934, the SRCA has expanded its operations to cover the vast expanse of the Kingdom, operating hundreds of stations and deploying thousands of ambulances and trained personnel, including paramedics, emergency medical technicians, and first responders [12]. The scale of its operations is immense, with recent annual reports indicating that the SRCA responds to over **1.8 million emergency calls**, leading to the transportation of hundreds of thousands of patients to healthcare facilities across the nation [13]. This massive volume of patient interactions underscores the critical importance of ensuring that each transition of care is executed flawlessly.The development of the Saudi EMS system cannot be divorced from the overarching national vision for the future. Saudi Vision 2030, the Kingdom's ambitious blueprint for economic and social reform, places a strong emphasis on enhancing the quality, efficiency, and integration of healthcare services. The Health Sector Transformation Program (HSTP), a key pillar of Vision 2030, explicitly aims to "improve the quality and efficiency of health services" and "promote the integration of healthcare services" [7]. This directive has profound implications for the prehospital sector. It calls for a move beyond mere response and transport metrics toward a model where EMS is a fully integrated component of the healthcare continuum. The handover process is the physical and informational manifestation of this integration. Therefore, any deficiencies in this process represent a direct obstacle to achieving the integrated, patient-centric care model envisioned by the Kingdom's leadership. The current state of the handover, therefore, is not just an operational issue but a strategic one, directly tied to national health policy objectives.Despite this strategic impetus and significant investment, the Saudi prehospital system operates within a complex and challenging environment that inherently complicates the handover process. The Kingdom's vast geographical territory presents a unique set of logistical hurdles. Transport times from remote or rural areas to specialized tertiary care centers can be extensive, during which a patient's condition may evolve, making the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the initial handover information even more critical [14]. Furthermore, the demographic landscape of Saudi Arabia, characterized by a large expatriate population and a multilingual society, introduces significant communication challenges. Paramedics, who may themselves be part of a multinational workforce, often face language barriers when communicating with patients and their families at the scene, which can lead to gaps in the initial history-taking. These gaps are then carried forward and can be exacerbated during the handover to the predominantly Arabic-speaking ED staff, or vice-versa, leading to critical information being lost in translation [15].Another defining characteristic of the Saudi healthcare ecosystem is its fragmentation across multiple providers, including the Ministry of Health (MOH), other governmental agencies (e.g., security forces medical services), and a growing private sector. This fragmentation often results in a lack of standardized protocols and operational procedures across different health institutions. A paramedic from the SRCA may deliver a patient to an MOH hospital, a private hospital, or a specialist cardiac center, each potentially having different expectations, documentation systems, and informal "cultures" of receiving handovers. The absence of a nationally mandated, standardized handover protocol applicable across all these entities creates a environment of variability and inconsistency [10]. This lack of standardization means that the quality of the handover can depend more on the individual experience and initiative of the paramedic and the receiving nurse or physician than on a reliable, system-wide process.Compounding these systemic issues is the technological gap that persists in health information systems. While hospitals have increasingly adopted Electronic Medical Records (EMRs), the prehospital sector often still relies on paper-based Patient Care Reports (PCRs) or standalone digital systems that do not interface with hospital EMRs [16]. This lack of interoperability is a critical failure point for continuity of care. The vital clinical data captured by paramedics at the scene and during transport—including initial vital signs, Glasgow Coma Scale scores, administered medications, and response to treatments—remains siloed in a paper form that may not be efficiently scanned or uploaded into the patient's permanent hospital record. This forces the handover to bear the entire burden of information transfer, making it vulnerable to the cognitive limitations and time pressures of both the giver and receiver of information. Even when the information is verbally communicated accurately, the lack of integrated data means it cannot be easily verified or referenced later by the inpatient team, leading to potential discrepancies and repeated questions for the patient or family.Professional dynamics and educational disparities also play a significant role in the Saudi context. The field of paramedicine is still developing its professional identity and stature within the broader healthcare hierarchy in the Kingdom. Studies have indicated that a perceived hierarchical gradient between paramedics (often

viewed as technicians) and hospital physicians can sometimes lead to dismissive attitudes during handover, including frequent interruptions or a reluctance to listen to the paramedic's assessment and recommendations [17, 18]. This can demoralize EMS providers and discourage them from providing a comprehensive narrative. Moreover, while training programs for paramedics have improved, there can be variability in the depth of education regarding communication skills and the specific principles of effective clinical handover. Without consistent and reinforced training on tools like ISBAR, handovers may default to a disorganized "data-dump" of facts rather than a structured, clinical story that highlights key concerns and required actions [19].

3. The Saudi Prehospital Landscape:

The backbone of the Saudi prehospital system is the Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA). Established in 1934, the SRCA has evolved from a charitable organization into the principal national provider of prehospital emergency care, operating under the auspices of the Ministry of Health [19]. The SRCA's mandate covers a vast and varied territory, from dense urban centers like Riyadh and Jeddah to remote desert and mountainous regions. This geographical diversity presents a significant logistical challenge, impacting response times and the types of interventions required before reaching a definitive care facility [20]. While the SRCA is the most prominent provider, it is not the only one. Several other governmental entities, including the Ministry of Defense, Ministry of Interior (particularly the Civil Defense), and the National Guard, operate their own parallel EMS systems, primarily serving their respective personnel and families, though they often provide mutual aid [21]. This multi-agency environment, while increasing resource availability, can complicate coordination and standardize protocols across different systems, a factor that can influence the consistency of information presented during handover.The operational workflow of a typical EMS call in Saudi Arabia follows a sequence that is conceptually universal but has distinct local characteristics. It begins with a citizen dialing the unified emergency number (997), which connects them to a central dispatch center. Dispatchers, trained in basic medical interrogation, gather initial information and categorize the call based on urgency. A key feature of the Saudi context is the high volume of road traffic accident (RTA) calls, which constitute a leading cause of trauma-related deaths and injuries, thereby shaping the caseload and experience of paramedic teams [22]. Upon dispatch, a team is sent to the scene. The configuration of this team is a critical component of the system's capacity. Traditionally, the workforce has relied heavily on emergency medical technicians (EMTs) with varying levels of certification. However, there has been a concerted push in recent years to "professionalize" the field by integrating more highly educated and skilled paramedics, both through domestic training programs and the recruitment of expatriate professionals [23]. This creates a workforce with varied educational backgrounds, clinical scopes of practice, and potentially, communication proficiencies, which can directly affect the quality of the handover report.The on-scene patient assessment and intervention phase is where the clinical acumen of the crew is paramount. The scope of practice for Saudi paramedics has been expanding, now often including advanced skills such as intravenous cannulation, drug administration (e.g., for cardiac arrest, pain, or anaphylaxis), and advanced airway management [24]. The clinical information gathered during this phase—vital signs, patient history, mechanism of injury, treatments provided, and patient response—forms the very foundation of the subsequent handover. The ability to systematically and accurately collect this data is a prerequisite for a successful transfer of care. Following stabilization at the scene, the patient is transported to the most appropriate receiving facility. In major cities, this is often a specialized trauma or tertiary care center, while in rural areas, it may be a smaller general hospital [25]. The transport phase is a critical window for preparing the handover information, yet it can also be a period of high cognitive load, especially for critical patients requiring ongoing intervention.Finally, the workflow culminates in the arrival at the Emergency Department (ED). This moment represents the crucial interface between the prehospital and in-hospital domains—the point where continuity of care is most vulnerable. The handover process itself is often conducted under significant pressure. ED staff may be managing multiple simultaneous emergencies, and the ambulance crew may be eager to clear the department to become available for the next call [26]. This high-stress, time-pressured environment is not conducive to a structured, unhurried exchange of complex clinical information. Furthermore, the technological infrastructure supporting this handover is often rudimentary. While some advanced centers may have electronic patient records, the transfer of information from the SRCA to the hospital frequently relies on a paper-based form and a brief verbal synopsis [27]. This

lack of integrated health information systems means that the receiving team has no prior access to the prehospital data, making them entirely dependent on the accuracy and completeness of the paramedic's report [28].

4. Multifaceted Analysis of Handover Challenges

The transition of a patient from the prehospital environment to the hospital emergency department (ED) is a critical juncture where the continuity of care is most vulnerable. In the context of Saudi Arabia's prehospital services, this handover process is frequently disrupted by a constellation of interconnected challenges. These "fracture points" are not isolated incidents but are systemic issues that can be categorized into communication failures, informational deficiencies, systemic and procedural barriers, and human factor limitations. A detailed analysis of these facets reveals the complex nature of ensuring a seamless transfer of responsibility and information.

4.1 Communication Breakdowns and Structural Inefficiencies

The most immediate and observable challenges occur at the level of interpersonal communication. The handover is often an unstructured, hurried verbal report delivered in the high-pressure, chaotic environment of a busy ED. This lack of a standardized communication protocol leads to significant variability in the quality and completeness of the information conveyed. Paramedics may omit critical details or provide information in a disorganized manner, while ED staff, distracted by other duties, may interrupt or fail to listen actively [28]. The absence of a shared mental model for how a handover should be conducted, such as the widely advocated ISBAR (Identification, Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) framework, is a fundamental flaw. Without this structure, the narrative is often fragmented, leading to misunderstandings about the patient's condition, the mechanism of injury, or the prehospital treatments administered and their effects [29]. Furthermore, in a multicultural workforce like Saudi Arabia's, where paramedics and physicians may hail from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds, subtle nuances in language and communication styles can further impede the clear exchange of complex clinical information [30].

4.2 Informational Gaps and Technological Deficits

Closely linked to communication issues are the challenges related to the information itself. The prehospital environment is dynamic and often resource-constrained, which can limit the depth of patient assessment. Critical information, such as a patient's past medical history, current medications, or allergies, is frequently unknown or unverified at the scene. This results in handovers that are inherently incomplete. The technological infrastructure supporting information transfer in Saudi Arabia remains a significant barrier. The reliance on paper-based patient care reports (PCRs) creates a major discontinuity. The physical paper form may be lost, illegible, or simply left with the patient's belongings, rendering it inaccessible to the physician making immediate treatment decisions [31]. The lack of integrated electronic health records (EHRs) that bridge the prehospital and hospital settings means the ED team has no prior access to the data meticulously collected by the paramedics. This technological gap forces an over-reliance on the fallible verbal handover and severs the informational thread of continuity, making the receiving team "information blind" at a critical moment [32].

4.3 Systemic and Organizational Barriers

Beyond the point-of-care interactions, broader systemic issues within the Saudi healthcare ecosystem create a fertile ground for handover failures. The siloed nature of prehospital services (primarily the SRCA) and hospital care (under the Ministry of Health and other entities) creates inherent operational and cultural divides. There is often a lack of shared governance and joint training programs focused on the handover process itself [33]. This can foster a "two cultures" problem, where prehospital providers and hospital staff have different priorities, professional hierarchies, and perceptions of each other's roles. Paramedics may feel their clinical judgment is undervalued by hospital physicians, leading to reluctance in providing assertive recommendations, a key component of frameworks like ISBAR [34]. Compounding this is the issue of patient load and time pressure. High demand for EMS services, particularly for road traffic accidents, means ambulance crews are under pressure to complete the handover quickly and return to service. Simultaneously, ED staff may be overwhelmed with their own patient volumes, creating an environment where a thorough, structured handover is perceived as a luxury rather than a necessity [35].

4.4 Human Factor and Professional Challenges

The human element permeates all the aforementioned challenges. The varying levels of training and professional experience among the prehospital workforce contribute to inconsistencies in the quality of handovers. A newly qualified EMT may lack the clinical confidence and communication finesse of an experienced paramedic, potentially failing to emphasize the most critical aspects of the patient's condition [36]. Professional hierarchies can also be a significant barrier. In a culturally hierarchical setting, a junior paramedic may be hesitant to question or clarify instructions from a senior physician, or may not feel empowered to insist that their handover is heard in full. This power dynamic can suppress the closed-loop communication necessary for verifying that information has been correctly understood [37]. Finally, the absence of a formal, shared feedback mechanism means that paramedics rarely receive information on patient outcomes. This lack of closure prevents them from learning whether their initial diagnosis was correct or their interventions were effective, stunting professional growth and the continuous improvement of clinical judgment, which is essential for refining future handovers [38].

5. Impact on Patient Safety and Clinical Outcomes

A suboptimal handover is not merely a procedural failure; it is a critical breach in the chain of patient care with direct and often severe consequences. When the transfer of information and responsibility from prehospital providers to emergency department (ED) staff is fragmented, inaccurate, or incomplete, the resulting clinical decisions are made on an unstable foundation. The repercussions cascade through the patient's entire hospital journey, affecting everything from the initial moments of resuscitation to long-term recovery. These consequences manifest as tangible threats to patient safety, diminished clinical outcomes, and a erosion of trust within the healthcare system.

5.1 Direct Threats to Patient Safety: Errors, Delays, and Misdiagnosis

The most immediate danger of a poor handover is the introduction of preventable errors that directly compromise patient safety. An incomplete or disorganized verbal report can lead to medication errors, such as the administration of a drug to which the patient is allergic—a fact that was noted on the prehospital form but never verbally communicated in the chaotic ED environment [36]. Similarly, a lack of clarity regarding prehospital interventions can result in treatment duplications or dangerous interactions. For instance, if a paramedic administers an analgesic for a suspected fracture and this is not effectively communicated, the ED physician might administer a second, potentially excessive dose, risking respiratory depression [37].

Perhaps the most critical consequence is the delay in time-sensitive interventions. For patients suffering from ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), stroke, or major trauma, minutes are muscle and brain cells. A handover that fails to clearly state the onset time of chest pain, the last known well time for a stroke victim, or the precise mechanism of injury in a trauma case forces the receiving team to waste precious time re-elucidating this information or, worse, proceeding with an incorrect initial approach [38]. This delay can mean the difference between a patient receiving a life-saving percutaneous coronary intervention within the golden hour or missing that window, leading to significantly larger infarct size and worsened heart failure [39]. In trauma care, the failure to convey key details from the scene, such as a long extrication time or a significant vehicular deformation, can lead to the underestimation of injury severity, delaying necessary surgical or critical care [40].

5.2 Compromised Clinical Outcomes and Long-Term Morbidity

The safety incidents and delays described invariably translate into poorer clinical outcomes and increased long-term morbidity. Misdiagnosis at the point of handover is a significant contributor. A classic example is the trauma patient with an evolving intracranial bleed. If the paramedic's concern about a transient loss of consciousness at the scene is omitted from the handover, the patient may be triaged to a lower acuity level, leading to a delay in CT imaging and definitive neurosurgical care [41]. This delay can result in preventable neurological damage, prolonged hospitalization, and permanent disability.

Furthermore, the lack of continuity disrupts the narrative of the patient's illness. Prehospital providers offer a unique and invaluable perspective; they are the only clinicians who witness the patient in their native environment. Their observations regarding the patient's baseline functional status, the condition of their home, or the statements made by family members at the scene provide crucial context that is lost when the handover is reduced to

a list of vital signs [42]. This loss of the "patient's story" can lead to a narrow, organ-focused approach in the ED, potentially missing underlying psychosocial issues, elder abuse, or the early signs of sepsis that were apparent to the paramedic on scene. The downstream effect is a failure to address the root cause of the presentation, increasing the likelihood of hospital-acquired complications, functional decline, and higher readmission rates [43].

5.3 Erosion of Professional Trust and System Inefficiency

The consequences extend beyond the individual patient to corrode the very fabric of the healthcare team. Repeated experiences of receiving inadequate handovers foster frustration and distrust among ED physicians and nurses towards prehospital services. They may begin to view the paramedic's report with skepticism, feeling the need to redo the entire assessment from scratch, which is a profound inefficiency [44]. Conversely, paramedics who feel their professional judgment is ignored or who receive no feedback on patient outcomes experience demoralization and professional burnout. This erosion of mutual respect and collaborative spirit creates a toxic cycle that further degrades the quality of future interactions at the handover interface [45].

From a systems perspective, these handover failures generate significant operational and economic costs. Inefficient handovers prolong the ambulance turnaround time at the ED, effectively taking a critical resource out of circulation and potentially impacting community-wide response times for subsequent emergencies [46]. Within the ED, the time spent by physicians and nurses clarifying ambiguous information or rectifying errors is time not spent on other patients, contributing to departmental overcrowding and longer wait times for all [47]. Ultimately, the clinical errors and poor outcomes stemming from suboptimal handovers are a primary driver of preventable adverse events, which are not only a human tragedy but also a massive financial burden on the healthcare system due to extended hospital stays, rehabilitation costs, and potential litigation [48].

5.4 The Patient and Family Experience

Finally, the handover process is often conducted within earshot of the patient and their anxious family members. A disorganized, conflicting, or terse exchange between healthcare professionals can severely undermine their confidence in the care they are about to receive. Witnessing a lack of coordination at this critical moment fosters anxiety, confusion, and a perception of system-wide incompetence [49]. This breach of trust at the very outset of the hospital experience can damage the therapeutic relationship, making patients less likely to comply with treatment plans and more likely to report dissatisfaction with their care overall [50]. In this way, a failed clinical handover also constitutes a profound failure in patient-centered care and communication.

6. Existing Initiatives and International Best Practices

Recognizing the severe consequences of handover failures, healthcare systems worldwide, including Saudi Arabia, have begun to actively seek and implement solutions. This section explores the landscape of current initiatives within the Kingdom and examines proven international best practices that offer valuable models for closing the critical gaps in patient continuity of care. The path to improvement lies in a multi-pronged strategy that combines standardized communication protocols, technological integration, and interprofessional education.

6.1 Nascent Initiatives within the Saudi Prehospital System

The Saudi healthcare system, propelled by the modernization goals of Vision 2030, has initiated several reforms that indirectly and directly impact prehospital care. At a strategic level, the ongoing transformation of the health sector aims to improve integration and coordination between different care providers. This has created a policy environment more conducive to standardizing cross-departmental processes like patient handover [50].

A key development has been the increased focus on professionalizing the paramedic role. Educational institutions are now offering advanced diploma and bachelor's degree programs in paramedicine, which inherently include training in communication skills and clinical documentation, thereby building a more confident and competent workforce capable of executing a structured handover [51].

Furthermore, specific quality improvement projects have been piloted within various regions. Some major tertiary care centers, in collaboration with the Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA), have initiated efforts to introduce structured handover tools. The most common of these is the adoption of the ISBAR (Identification, Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) framework. While implementation is not yet universal, training

workshops and the distribution of pocket cards and posters in EDs mark a significant first step towards creating a shared mental model for communication [52]. Another promising, though limited, initiative is the exploration of electronic data transfer. Pilot projects in cities like Riyadh and Jeddah have tested the use of tablet-based systems where paramedics input data into a form that can be transmitted ahead to the receiving ED or printed on arrival, reducing reliance on illegible handwritten notes and ensuring that core data is physically presented [53]. However, these initiatives often face challenges of scalability, funding, and institutional inertia, preventing them from becoming standard practice nationwide.

6.2 International Best Practices: A Toolkit for Improvement

Looking beyond national borders provides a rich repository of evidence-based strategies that Saudi Arabia can adapt. The most universally endorsed practice is the implementation of standardized handover protocols. While ISBAR is the most prominent, other frameworks like ATMIST (Age, Time, Mechanism, Injuries, Signs, Treatment) for trauma and IMIST-AMBO (Identification, Mechanism/Medical complaint, Injuries/Information, Signs, Treatment and vitals; Allergies, Medications, Background, Other history) offer more specific structures for prehospital contexts [54]. The success of these tools in countries like the United Kingdom and Australia lies not just in their adoption but in their mandatory use, supported by joint training sessions where paramedics and ED staff practice handovers together in simulated environments, breaking down professional hierarchies and building a culture of teamwork [55].

Technology serves as a powerful force multiplier for these communication protocols. Internationally, the gold standard is the integration of prehospital electronic patient care reports (e-PCRs) with hospital Electronic Health Records (EHRs). When a paramedic completes an e-PCR en route, it can be digitally transmitted to a dashboard in the ED, giving the receiving team minutes—or even longer for inter-facility transfers—to preview the patient's status, vital signs, ECG traces, and treatments administered [56]. This "view ahead" capability transforms the handover from a primary information transfer to a verification and planning discussion, significantly reducing cognitive load and the potential for error.

Another critical best practice is the establishment of formal feedback and audit systems. In high-reliability EMS systems, paramedics receive structured feedback on their handovers and, crucially, on patient outcomes. This can be facilitated through regular multi-disciplinary morbidity and mortality meetings that include prehospital staff, or through digital platforms that allow paramedics to follow their patients' hospital diagnoses and final outcomes [57]. This closure of the feedback loop is a powerful driver of professional development and clinical improvement, allowing paramedics to refine their diagnostic and treatment skills based on real-world results.

Finally, a cultural shift towards a more patient-centric handover is gaining traction. This involves, where appropriate, including the patient and their family in the handover process. This practice, common in pediatric and elderly care, can improve the accuracy of history-taking, enhance patient trust, and ensure that patient concerns are directly heard by the incoming team [58]. For this to be effective, it requires a conscious move away from conducting handovers in crowded corridors and a commitment to using clear, non-judgmental language.

6.3 The Role of Leadership and Policy

Sustaining these improvements requires more than just tools and training; it demands strong institutional leadership and supportive policy. The successful integration of prehospital services into the broader healthcare continuum in countries like Canada and the Scandinavian nations was driven by top-down mandates that made structured handovers and data interoperability a non-negotiable standard of care [59]. National clinical governance frameworks for EMS that explicitly define the components of a safe handover and allocate resources for the necessary technology and training are essential. For Saudi Arabia, this means the SRCA, the Ministry of Health, and other relevant bodies must collaborate to issue joint circulars and clinical guidelines that standardize the handover process across all public and private receiving hospitals [60].

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

[1] Abd-EL, Aliem SM, Abou Hashish EA. The relationship between transformational leadership practices of first-line nurse managers and nurses' organizational resilience and job involvement: a structural equation model. Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing. 2021;18(5):273–82.

[2] Abou Elaa R, El-Gueneidy M, Nassar M, Abou Hashish E. Quality of nursing care at the critical care units in the different health care settings in Alexandria. The 14th International Scientific Nursing Conference. 2017.

[3] Abou Hashish EA, Aljuaid WA, Almuzaini O. Saudi nursing students' attitudes towards patient safety and the influencing factors. A quantitative and qualitative study at the college of nursing-jeddah. BMJ Open Quality. 2019;8(Suppl 1):A1–A33.

[4] Abou Hashish EA, El-Bialy GG. Nurses' perceptions of safety climate and barriers to report medication errors. Life Science Journal. 2013;10(1):2160-8.

[5] Agizew TB, Ashagrie HE, Kassahun HG, Temesgen MM. Evidence-Based Guideline on Critical Patient Transport and Handover to ICU. Anesthesiol Res Pract. 2021;2021:6618709.

[6] Al Haliq S, Al Jumaan M. Digital Future of emergency medical services: envisioning and usability of electronic patient care report system. 2022;1:8.

[7] Alabdulaziz H, Moss C, Copnell B. Paediatric nurses' perceptions and practices of family-centred care in saudi hospitals: a mixed methods study. Int J Nurs Stud. 2017;69:66–77.

[8] Alahmadi BA, Alharbi MF. Work-related fatigue factors among hospital nurses: an integrative literature review. Nurse Media Journal of Nursing. 2018;8(2):113–33.

[9] Alharbi HF, et al. Analysis of Nurses' Perceptions of Handover Practices: A Comparative Study in Different Medical Settings. Crit Care Nurs Q. 2024;47(4):311–21.

[10] Alsayed SA, Abou Hashish EA, Alshammari F. Occupational fatigue and Associated factors among saudi Nurses Working 8-Hour shifts at Public Hospitals. SAGE open nursing. 2022;8:23779608221078158.

[11] Alshammari M, Duff J, Guilhermino M. Barriers to nurse–patient communication in Saudi Arabia: an integrative review. BMC Nurs. 2019;18(1):1–0.

[12] Alteren J, Hermstad M, White J, Jordan S. Conflicting priorities: observation of medicine administration. J Clin Nurs. 2018;27(19–20):3613–21.

[13] Australian Medical Association. Safe handover: safe patients. AMA Clinical Handover Guide. 2006.

[14] Badrujamaludin AS, Budiman D. Factors Influencing the implementation of SISBAR communication for nurse and doctor handovers in a west java hospital. 2022;2022:187-97.

[15] Bøje RB, Ludvigsen MS. Non-formal patient handover education for healthcare professionals: a scoping review. JBI Evid Synth. 2020;18(5):952–85.

[16] Bressan V, Cadorin L, Pellegrinet D, Bulfone G, Stevanin S, Palese A. Bedside shift handover implementation quantitative evidence: findings from a scoping review. J Nurs Manage. 2019;27(4):815–32.

[17] Bukoh MX, Siah CR. A systematic review on the structured handover interventions between nurses in improving patient safety outcomes. J Nurs Manag. 2020;28(3):744–55.

[18] Burgess A, van Diggele C, Roberts C, Mellis C. Teaching clinical handover with ISBAR. 2023;20(2).

[19] Chien LJ, et al. Implementing a ward-level intervention to improve nursing handover communication with a focus on bedside handover-A qualitative study. J Clin Nurs. 2024;33(7):2688–706.

[20] Chien LJ, et al. Improving patient-centred care through a tailored intervention addressing nursing clinical handover communication in its organizational and cultural context. Journal Adv Nursing. 2022;78(5):1413–30.

[21] Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis. Current Directions in Psychological Science. 1992;1(3):98–101.

[22] Cohen MD, Hilligoss PB. The published literature on handoffs in hospitals: deficiencies identified in an extensive review. Quality & safety in health care. 2010;19(6):493-97.

[23] Coifman AHM, Pedreira LC. Interprofessional communication in an emergency care unit: a case study. Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da U S P. 2021;55:e03781.

[24] Douglas RN et al. Communication failures contributing to patient injury in anaesthesia malpractice Claims. Br J Anaesth. 2021;127(3):470–8.

[25] Dúason S, Gunnarsson B, Svavarsdóttir MH. Patient handover between ambulance crew and healthcare professionals in Icelandic emergency departments: a qualitative study. Scandinavian journal of trauma, resuscitation and emergency medicine. 2021;29(1):21.

[26] Estryn-Behar MR, Milanini-Magny G, Chaumon E, Deslandes H, Fry C, Garcia F, Ravache AE. Shift

Change Handovers and Subsequent Interruptions. Journal of patient safety. 2014;10(1):29–44.

[27] Etemadifar S, Sedighi Z, Sedehi M, Masoudi R. The effect of situation, background, assessment, recommendation-based safety program on patient safety culture in intensive care unit nurses. J Educ Health Promot. 2021;10:422.

[28] Forde MF, Coffey A, Hegarty J. Bedside handover at the change of nursing shift: a mixed-methods study. J Clin Nurs. 2020;29(19–20):3731–42.

[29] Forsyth KL, Hawthorne HJ, El-Sherif N, Varghese RS, Ernste VK, Koenig J, Blocker RC. Interruptions experienced by emergency nurses: implications for subjective and objective measures of workload. Journal of emergency nursing. 2018;44(6):614–23.

[30] Ghonem NME, El-Husany WA. SBAR Shift Report Training Program and its Effect on Nurses' Knowledge and Practice and Their Perception of Shift Handoff Communication. SAGE Open Nurs. 2023;9:23779608231159340.

[31] Ghosh S, Ramamoorthy L, Pottakat B. Impact of structured clinical handover protocol on communication and patient satisfaction. J Patient Exp. 2021;8:2374373521997733.

[32] Hada A, Coyer F. Shift-to-shift nursing handover interventions associated with improved inpatient outcomes—Falls, pressure injuries and medication administration errors: an integrative review. Nurs Health Sci. 2021;23(2):337–51.

[33] Hassankhani H, Haririan H, Porter JE, Alvandi AO. Paramedics are only a driver, The lived experience of Iranian paramedics from patient handover: A qualitative study. 2020;20(1):40–44.

[34] Helen C, Taloyan M, Ninni Å, Guldbrand S, Lindström V. Facilitating interprofessional learning: experiences of using a digital activity for training handover of critically ill patients between a primary health care centre and ambulance services - a qualitative study. BMJ Open. 2024;14(6):e083585.

[35] Hussein AH, Abou Hashish EA. Work environment and its relationship to quality improvement. Journal of Nursing Care Quality. 2016;31(3):290-8.

[36] IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 29.0.2.0. Armonk, NY. 2023.

[37] Javidan AP, Nathens AB, Tien H, Luz LT. Clinical handover from emergency medical services to the trauma team: A gap analysis. Canadian journal of emergency medicine. 2020;22(S2):S21-S29.

[38] Joint Commission International (JCI). International Patient Safety Goals. 2021.

[39] Kanjee Z et al. Friction by definition: conflict at patient handover between emergency and internal medicine physicians at an academic medical center. West J Emerg Med. 2021;22(6):1227–39.

[40] Klim S, Kelly AM, Kerr D, Wood S, McCann T. Developing a framework for nursing handover in the emergency department: an individualised and systematic approach. J Clin Nurs. 2013;22(15-16):2233-43.

[41] Kowitlawakul, Y., Leong, B. S., Lua, A., Aroos, R., Wong, J. J., Koh, N., … Mukhopadhyay, A. Observation of handover process in an intensive care unit (ICU): barriers and quality improvement strategy. International journal for quality in health care. 2015;27(2):99–104.

[42] Li X, Zhao J, Fu S. SBAR Standard and Mind Map Combined Communication Mode Used in Emergency Department to Reduce the Value of Handover Defects and Adverse Events. J Healthc Eng. 2022;2022:8475322.

[43] Liu J, Liu Y, Yang J. Status quo of handover evaluation of nurses and analysis the related factors. Chin J Practical Nurs. 2018:538–43.

[44] Makkink SC, Bruijns AW Sr. The identification of factors contributing to negative handover experiences of Pre-Hospital emergency care personnel in Johannesburg, South Africa. 2023;18:1–8.

[45] Mamalelala TT, Schmollgruber S, Botes M, Holzemer W. Effectiveness of handover practices between emergency department and intensive care unit nurses. Afr J Emerg Med. 2023;13(2):72–7.

[46] Manias E, Geddes F, Watson B, Jones D, Della P. Perspectives of clinical handover processes: a multi-site survey across different health professionals. J Clin Nurs. 2016;25(1–2):80–91.

[47] Methangkool E, Tollinche L, Sparling J, Agarwala AV. Communication: is there a standard handover technique to transfer patient care? Int Anesthesiol Clin. 2019;57(3):35–47.

[48] Nagammal S, Nashwan AJ, Nair SL, Susmitha A. Nurses' perceptions regarding using the SBAR tool for handoff communication in a tertiary cancer center in Qatar. J Nurs Educ Pract. 2016;7(4):103–10.

[49] Nasiri E, Lotfi M, Mahdavinoor SMM, Rafiei MH. The impact of a structured handover checklist for intraoperative staff shift changes on effective communication, OR team satisfaction, and patient safety: a pilot study. Patient Saf Surg. 2021;15(1):25.

[50] National Clinical Effectiveness Committee. Communication (clinical handover) in maternity services: national clinical guideline no 5. 2014.

[51] Peer M, O'Regan NB, Evans B, Fowler A, Dubrowski A. Patient Handover in Emergency Trauma Situations. Cureus. 2020;12(8):e9544.

[52] Phillips J, Malliaris AP, Bakerjian D. Nursing and Patient Safety. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). 2021.

[53] Pimentel J. A note on the usage of likert scaling. USM R D J. 2010;18(2):109–12.

[54] Piper D, Lea J, Woods C, Parker V. The impact of patient safety culture on handover in rural health facilities. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):1–3.

[55] Pun J. Clinical handover in a bilingual setting: interpretative phenomenological analysis to exploring translanguaging practices for effective communication among hospital staff. BMJ open. 2021;11(9):e046494.

[56] Pun J. Factors associated with nurses' perceptions, their communication skills and the quality of clinical handover in the Hong Kong context. BMC nursing. 2021;20(1):1-95.

[57] Pun J, Chan EA, Eggins S, Slade D. Training in communication and interaction during shift-to-shift nursing handovers in a bilingual hospital: A case study. Nurse Educ Today. 2020;84:104212.

[58] Raeisi A, Rarani MA, Soltani F. Challenges of patient handover process in healthcare services: A systematic review. J Educ Health Promot. 2019;8:173.

[59] Rizky K. Relationship of sleep quality and perceived fatigue Among nurses at the cibinong regional public hospital, 2017. KnE Life Sciences. 2018;4(5):399–406.

[60] Rodríguez GS, et al. Handover in intensive care. Medicina Intensiva (English Edition). 2018;42(3):168–79.