



The Role of Nurses in Lifestyle Modification for Patients with Metabolic Syndrome

Ebtsam Smaih Alrashidi^{1*}, Manal Mofareh H Alruwaili², Maram Mosaad Alruwaili³, Salman Mohammed A Alshammari⁴, Malak Faleh Assaj Alanazi⁵, Shuaa Thani Shumran Alenazi⁶, Manal Abeer Salamah Alanazi⁷, Sarah Abdullah A Algazal⁸, Amjad Muthwid A Almatrafi⁹, Alharbi Ali Saud F¹⁰

¹Staff Nurse – King Khalid Hospital – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia

* **Corresponding Author Email:** isalrashidi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7150

²Technician Nursing – Aljouf Health Cluster – Sakaka – Aljouf – Saudi Arabia

Email: Manal870@outlook.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7250

³Technician Nursing – Prince Muteb bin Abdulaziz Hospital – Sakaka – Aljouf – Saudi Arabia

Email: Mmalrowily@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7350

⁴Nursing Technician – Khafji General Hospital – Khafji – Eastern Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Samoalshamary@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7450

⁵Technician Nursing – Maternity and Children Hospital – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia

Email: malakfa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7550

⁶Technician Nursing – North Medical Tower – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia

Email: Stalanazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7650

⁷Nursing Technician – Al-Mohammadiyah Primary Health Care Center – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia

Email: manal-3373@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7750

⁸Nursing Specialist – Al-Hay Al-Gharbi Primary Health Care Center – Ar Rass – Qassim – Saudi Arabia

Email: salgazal@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7050

⁹Nursing Specialist – Dumat Al-Jandal General Hospital – Dumat Al-Jandal – Aljouf – Saudi Arabia

Email: aalshammari118@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7950

¹⁰Nursing – Buraidah Mental Health Hospital – Buraydah – Qassim – Saudi Arabia

Email: al8.d@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9950

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.4057

Received : 01 June 2024

Accepted : 28 June 2024

Keywords

Metabolic Syndrome,
Nursing Role,
Lifestyle Modification,
Behavioral Change,
Motivational Interviewing,
Patient Education

Abstract:

Nurses play a crucial role in lifestyle modification for patients with metabolic syndrome, a condition characterized by a cluster of metabolic risk factors, including obesity, hypertension, hyperglycemia, and dyslipidemia. Given their frequent and sustained interaction with patients, nurses are ideally positioned to educate individuals about the importance of making healthy lifestyle choices. They can assess patients' unique situations, provide personalized guidance, and help identify barriers to change. Through one-on-one counseling sessions, nurses educate patients about the benefits of a balanced diet, regular physical activity, and stress management techniques, fostering an understanding of how these changes can mitigate the risks associated with metabolic syndrome. Furthermore, nurses serve as advocates and coordinators of care, connecting patients with additional resources and support systems to enhance lifestyle modification efforts. They may facilitate referrals to dietitians, physical therapists, or community health programs, tailoring interventions to meet patients' specific needs. Additionally, by utilizing motivational interviewing techniques, nurses can empower patients to take ownership of their health, setting achievable goals and celebrating small successes. This holistic approach not only improves patients' understanding of metabolic syndrome but also instills confidence in their ability to implement sustainable lifestyle changes, ultimately leading to better health outcomes and enhanced quality of life.

1. Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) represents one of the most significant and escalating public health challenges of the 21st century. It is not a single disease but a clustering of interconnected physiological, biochemical, clinical, and metabolic factors that directly increase the risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD), type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and all-cause mortality [1]. The diagnostic criteria, as defined by leading health organizations such as the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and the American Heart Association (AHA), typically include the presence of at least three of the following five components: abdominal obesity, elevated blood pressure, elevated fasting plasma glucose, high serum triglycerides, and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol [2]. This constellation of risk factors creates a perfect storm of pathophysiological disturbances, including insulin resistance, chronic low-grade inflammation, and a pro-thrombotic state, which collectively accelerate the progression of chronic diseases.

The global prevalence of metabolic syndrome has reached pandemic proportions, affecting approximately a quarter of the world's adult population, with variations observed across different geographic regions and ethnicities [3]. The driving forces behind this epidemic are deeply rooted in modern lifestyles, characterized by excessive caloric intake, diets high in processed foods and saturated fats, physical inactivity, and rising levels of psychosocial stress. The implications are staggering, placing an immense and unsustainable burden on healthcare systems worldwide. The economic costs associated with managing the long-term complications of MetS, such as coronary artery bypass surgeries, management of heart failure, renal dialysis, and diabetic care, consume a substantial portion of national health budgets [4]. Therefore, addressing MetS is not merely a clinical imperative but a socioeconomic necessity.

Given that the core components of metabolic syndrome are profoundly influenced by modifiable lifestyle behaviors, the cornerstone of its management and prevention lies in comprehensive lifestyle modification. Clinical guidelines universally endorse lifestyle interventions as the first-line and most foundational strategy for treating MetS [5]. These interventions primarily target four key areas: (1) the adoption of a heart-healthy dietary pattern, such as the Mediterranean or DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) diet; (2) the implementation of a structured, regular

physical activity regimen; (3) the achievement of moderate and sustained weight loss; and (4) smoking cessation and stress management. Robust evidence from large-scale trials, such as the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), has unequivocally demonstrated that intensive lifestyle interventions can reduce the incidence of T2DM by up to 58% in high-risk individuals, a effect that is significantly more powerful than pharmacotherapy alone [6].

While the "what" of lifestyle modification is well-established in clinical guidelines, the "how" of its effective implementation in real-world settings presents a far more complex challenge. Physicians, often constrained by time pressures and a healthcare model traditionally focused on acute care and pharmacotherapy, frequently lack the resources to provide the sustained, personalized support that lifestyle change requires [7]. This critical gap between the knowledge of what needs to be done and the practical, ongoing application of this knowledge in a patient's daily life is where the role of the nursing profession becomes not just valuable, but indispensable.

Nurses are the largest and most consistently accessible group of healthcare professionals globally. Their position at the frontline of patient care affords them a unique and privileged perspective. The nursing model of care is inherently holistic, viewing the patient not merely as a collection of symptoms or abnormal lab values, but as a biopsychosocial being with individual needs, beliefs, motivations, and life contexts [8]. This philosophy aligns perfectly with the demands of lifestyle modification, which requires an understanding of the patient's environment, social support systems, cultural background, and psychological readiness to change. Unlike episodic interventions, the nurse-patient relationship is often continuous, allowing for trust to be built, progress to be monitored over time, and interventions to be adjusted dynamically based on patient feedback and changing circumstances [9].

The role of nurses in this domain extends far beyond the simple delivery of educational pamphlets. It encompasses a sophisticated and multi-faceted set of competencies. Firstly, nurses act as expert educators, translating complex medical information about nutrition, exercise physiology, and pathophysiology into practical, understandable, and actionable advice for patients and their families [10]. Secondly, they function as skilled motivators and coaches, employing evidence-based behavioral change techniques such as Motivational Interviewing (MI) to help patients explore and resolve their ambivalence towards

change, build self-efficacy, and set realistic, achievable goals [11]. Thirdly, nurses are pivotal in the ongoing assessment and monitoring of patients, tracking vital signs, weight, and other clinical parameters, and using this data to provide timely feedback and reinforce positive behaviors.

Furthermore, the expansion of nursing roles has led to the emergence of Advanced Practice Nurses (APNs), such as Nurse Practitioners and Clinical Nurse Specialists, who possess an even greater scope of practice. These professionals can conduct comprehensive health assessments, order and interpret diagnostic tests, prescribe medications (such as those for hypertension or dyslipidemia), and manage complex cases, all while integrating lifestyle counseling as the bedrock of their therapeutic plan [12]. This makes them exceptionally well-equipped to lead multidisciplinary teams in the management of chronic conditions like metabolic syndrome.

2. The Nurse's Role in Behavioral Change for Lifestyle Modification

The effective management of Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) hinges on the successful adoption and maintenance of healthy lifestyle behaviors. However, advising a patient to "eat better" or "exercise more" is a simplistic approach that often yields poor long-term results. Sustainable behavioral change is a complex, non-linear process that requires a deep understanding of human psychology, motivation, and the social determinants of health. To structure their interventions and maximize their impact, nurses require a robust conceptual framework that moves beyond mere education to encompass the art and science of facilitating behavioral change. This framework is most effectively built upon the integration of established health behavior theories, with the Transtheoretical Model (TTM) and Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) serving as foundational pillars, which are then brought to life through the clinical application of patient-centered counseling techniques like Motivational Interviewing (MI) [13, 14].

The Transtheoretical Model (TTM), also known as the Stages of Change model, provides an invaluable lens through which nurses can view and understand a patient's readiness for change. This model posits that individuals progress through a series of stages when modifying behavior: Precontemplation (not intending to change), Contemplation (awareness of a problem and seriously thinking about solving it), Preparation (intending to take action in the immediate future), Action (actively modifying behavior), and Maintenance (sustaining the change

and preventing relapse) [15]. The critical insight for nursing practice is that a one-size-fits-all intervention is inherently ineffective. A patient in Precontemplation, who does not believe their diet is a problem, will not respond to a detailed meal plan designed for someone in the Action stage. Instead, they may become defensive or disengaged. The nurse's role, guided by the TTM, is to first accurately assess the patient's current stage of change and then tailor strategies accordingly. For a patient in Contemplation, the nurse's focus should be on exploring ambivalence, discussing the pros and cons of change, and building the patient's perception of the risks of inaction, rather than prescribing specific actions [16]. This staged approach ensures that nursing interventions are congruent with the patient's psychological state, thereby enhancing the therapeutic alliance and increasing the likelihood of forward movement through the stages.

While the TTM outlines the *process* of change, Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), particularly the concept of self-efficacy developed by Albert Bandura, explains a fundamental *mechanism* that drives it. Self-efficacy refers to an individual's belief in their capability to organize and execute the courses of action required to manage prospective situations [17]. In the context of MetS, a patient with low self-efficacy may believe they are incapable of resisting unhealthy foods or sticking to an exercise routine, and this belief often becomes a self-fulfilling prophecy. Conversely, a patient with high self-efficacy is more likely to set challenging goals, persevere in the face of setbacks, and ultimately succeed. The nurse's role, from an SCT perspective, is to become a master architect of self-efficacy. This is achieved through four primary sources: mastery experiences, vicarious learning, verbal persuasion, and managing physiological states [18]. Nurses can create "mastery experiences" by breaking down large goals (e.g., "lose 20 kg") into small, achievable steps (e.g., "walk for 15 minutes three times this week"), allowing the patient to experience success early and often. They can facilitate "vicarious learning" by connecting patients with peer support groups where they can see others with similar challenges succeeding.

The theoretical underpinnings of the TTM and SCT find their practical, conversational expression in the clinical method of Motivational Interviewing (MI). Developed by Miller and Rollnick, MI is a collaborative, person-centered form of guiding to elicit and strengthen motivation for change [19]. It is fundamentally different from the traditional, authoritarian advice-giving model. Instead of acting as an expert who dictates a plan, the nurse using MI

adopts the role of a facilitator who helps the patient uncover their own intrinsic motivations for change. The spirit of MI is based on partnership, acceptance, compassion, and evocation (drawing out the patient's own reasons and ideas for change). This approach is particularly potent for addressing the ambivalence that is ubiquitous in lifestyle modification, where a patient may simultaneously want the benefits of a healthier lifestyle but also resist giving up familiar and pleasurable habits.

The practical application of MI by nurses involves core skills and processes. The foundational skills are encapsulated by the acronym OARS: Open-ended questions, Affirmations, Reflective listening, and Summarizing [20]. Using open-ended questions (e.g., "What are some of your concerns about your health?") invites the patient to explore their thoughts deeply, rather than providing a simple "yes" or "no" answer. Affirmations are statements that recognize patient strengths and efforts, which directly builds self-efficacy (e.g., "Despite a very busy week, you managed to prepare two healthy meals for yourself. That shows great commitment"). Reflective listening is perhaps the most critical skill, where the nurse acts as a mirror, reflecting back the underlying meaning or emotion in the patient's words to demonstrate understanding and encourage further exploration. Finally, summarizing pulls together the patient's expressed motivations, concerns, and values, reinforcing the key points of the discussion and preparing the patient to transition toward planning. Through these techniques, nurses guide patients to voice their own "change talk"—statements that express their own desire, ability, reasons, and need for change—which is a powerful predictor of actual behavioral change [21].

This integrated conceptual framework—TTM for staging, SCT for building efficacy, and MI for communication—directly informs the structure of the nurse-led intervention process. The initial phase involves a comprehensive assessment that goes far beyond biometrics. It includes a TTM-based assessment of readiness to change for each specific behavior (diet, exercise, smoking, etc.), an evaluation of the patient's perceived self-efficacy, and an understanding of their social and environmental context, including family support, work schedule, and cultural food preferences [22]. Following this assessment, the nurse and patient engage in collaborative goal setting. Rather than imposing goals, the nurse uses MI techniques to guide the patient in setting Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound (SMART) goals that are personally meaningful. For example, a goal might be, "I will swap my sugary soda for water with lunch every day for the next two

weeks," which is a far more effective starting point than a vague ambition to "drink less soda."

The nurse's role as a behavioral change agent extends into the critical phases of implementation and sustained follow-up. Using the principles of SCT, the nurse helps the patient identify potential barriers (e.g., cost of healthy food, lack of time for exercise, unsupportive family members) and proactively problem-solve to develop coping strategies. This process enhances the patient's self-efficacy by preparing them for real-world challenges. Furthermore, nurses facilitate social support, which is a key component of SCT, by engaging family members in the education process or linking patients with community resources such as walking groups or healthy cooking classes [23, 24]. The continuity of care inherent in the nursing role is a supreme advantage here. Through regular follow-up visits, either in-person or via telehealth, the nurse can monitor progress, provide ongoing affirmation, help the patient navigate lapses without falling into the trap of full relapse, and adjust the care plan as needed. This long-term support system is essential for moving patients from the Action stage into the challenging Maintenance stage of the TTM.

3. Lifestyle Interventions in Metabolic Syndrome

The foundation for managing Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is firmly rooted in lifestyle modification, a fact overwhelmingly supported by decades of clinical research. The literature consistently demonstrates that targeted interventions addressing diet, physical activity, and weight are not merely adjunctive but are the primary and most effective strategy for reversing the pathophysiological processes of MetS and reducing associated cardiovascular and diabetic risks. This review synthesizes the current evidence, drawing from large-scale randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews, to outline the core components and documented efficacy of lifestyle interventions. The evidence base confirms that the most successful interventions are comprehensive, multidisciplinary, and sustained, leading to significant improvements in all individual components of the syndrome [25].

The cornerstone of lifestyle intervention is therapeutic dietary change. A substantial body of evidence has moved beyond simply recommending "a healthy diet" to identifying specific dietary patterns with proven efficacy against MetS. The Mediterranean diet, characterized by a high intake of olive oil (as a source of monounsaturated fats), fruits, vegetables, nuts, legumes, and whole grains;

moderate consumption of fish and poultry; and low intake of red meat, processed foods, and sugars, has emerged as a gold standard. A landmark meta-analysis by Esposito et al. demonstrated that adherence to a Mediterranean diet was significantly associated with a reduction in the prevalence of MetS and improved its individual components, including waist circumference, HDL-cholesterol levels, triglycerides, blood pressure, and glucose metabolism [26]. The mechanisms are multifactorial, attributed to the diet's anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties, its favorable impact on lipid profiles, and its enhancement of insulin sensitivity. Similarly, the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet, which emphasizes fruits, vegetables, low-fat dairy, and reduced saturated and total fat, has shown remarkable effectiveness. Studies have confirmed that the DASH diet not only lowers blood pressure, as originally intended, but also ameliorates other features of MetS, including insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, making it a powerful and evidence-based dietary strategy for this patient population [27].

Beyond specific dietary patterns, the evidence points to key nutritional priorities. Reducing the intake of refined carbohydrates and added sugars is critical, as these are directly linked to increased triglyceride levels, decreased HDL-C, and the promotion of insulin resistance [28]. Replacing these with high-fiber foods from whole grains, legumes, and vegetables improves glycemic control and promotes satiety, which aids in weight management. Furthermore, the quality of dietary fat is paramount. Evidence strongly supports replacing saturated and trans fats with unsaturated fats (both monounsaturated and polyunsaturated) to improve the overall lipid profile and reduce cardiovascular risk. The role of moderate weight loss is also a consistent theme across the literature; even a modest reduction of 5-10% of initial body weight has been shown to produce clinically significant improvements in all parameters of MetS [29].

The second pillar of lifestyle intervention is physical activity, which operates through both independent mechanisms and synergistic effects with dietary modification. The current evidence, synthesized in various international guidelines, recommends a minimum of 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise per week, supplemented by muscle-strengthening activities on two or more days per week [30]. Aerobic exercise, such as brisk walking, cycling, or swimming, has been consistently shown to improve cardiorespiratory fitness, a powerful independent predictor of mortality. Its benefits for MetS include

enhanced insulin sensitivity, reduced blood pressure, and improved triglyceride and HDL-cholesterol levels. The literature indicates that the volume of exercise is often more important than the intensity, suggesting that encouraging any increase from a sedentary baseline is a clinically meaningful goal [31].

However, the evidence base has expanded to highlight the unique and essential role of resistance training. Previously overlooked as a secondary component, resistance exercise is now recognized as a crucial element of a comprehensive exercise prescription for MetS. Studies have demonstrated that resistance training significantly improves insulin sensitivity and glycemic control by increasing muscle mass, which is the primary site for glucose disposal in the body [32]. Furthermore, it contributes to favorable changes in body composition by reducing abdominal adiposity and increasing lean mass, even in the absence of major weight loss. The combination of aerobic and resistance exercise has been shown to be superior to either type alone in improving the overall risk profile of individuals with MetS, addressing a wider array of the underlying metabolic disturbances [33]. This underscores the need for nurses and other healthcare providers to promote a well-rounded physical activity regimen.

The most compelling evidence for the power of comprehensive lifestyle intervention comes from large, well-designed prevention trials. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) and the United States Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) are landmark studies that, while focused on diabetes prevention, primarily enrolled participants with MetS or pre-diabetes. The DPP conclusively showed that an intensive lifestyle intervention aimed at achieving a 7% weight loss and 150 minutes of physical activity per week reduced the incidence of type 2 diabetes by 58% over a 3-year period, an effect that was substantially greater than that achieved with metformin pharmacotherapy [34]. This trial provided a definitive blueprint for success: structured, goal-oriented programs with frequent patient contact and support can produce dramatic and clinically relevant outcomes. The long-term follow-up of these cohorts revealed that the benefits of the initial intensive lifestyle intervention persisted for years, demonstrating a "legacy effect" that underscores the long-term value of these efforts.

While the efficacy of lifestyle interventions is unequivocal, the literature also clearly identifies the "translational gap"—the challenge of implementing these evidence-based protocols in diverse real-world settings. Research into the implementation of lifestyle programs has revealed key success factors.

Programs that are structured, include regular follow-up, and are delivered by trained professionals (such as nurses, dietitians, and exercise physiologists) show significantly higher rates of patient adherence and better clinical outcomes compared to simple advice-giving [35]. Furthermore, the modality of delivery has evolved. Technology-mediated interventions, including telephone counseling, mobile health (mHealth) applications for self-monitoring, and web-based platforms, have emerged as effective and scalable methods to provide sustained support and overcome barriers of cost and accessibility [35].

4. Evaluating Risk, Readiness, and Barriers to Change

The foundation of any effective, patient-centered intervention for Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is a comprehensive and holistic assessment. While physicians often focus on diagnostic confirmation and pharmacologic management, the nurse-led assessment serves a distinct and equally critical purpose: to paint a detailed portrait of the patient beyond their laboratory values. This process moves beyond identifying *what* is wrong to understanding *why* it persists and *how* the patient can be empowered to change. A thorough nurse-led assessment is a multi-dimensional process that systematically evaluates the patient's clinical risk profile, their psychological readiness for behavioral change, and the personal and environmental barriers that may impede progress. This deep understanding is what enables the nurse to co-create a realistic, acceptable, and sustainable lifestyle modification plan, transforming standard care into truly personalized medicine [36].

The first component of the nurse-led assessment is a meticulous evaluation of the clinical and biometric risk profile. This goes beyond simply noting the presence of the five MetS criteria. The nurse conducts a detailed health history, including personal and family history of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. A comprehensive medication review is essential, noting any drugs that might contribute to weight gain or metabolic disturbances (e.g., certain antipsychotics or beta-blockers). The physical assessment includes an accurate measurement of weight, height (to calculate Body Mass Index), and most importantly, waist circumference, which is a more specific indicator of abdominal adiposity than BMI alone [37]. Blood pressure should be measured following proper protocol, and the nurse should review recent lab results for fasting glucose, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol. The nurse's role is to synthesize this data, not just to confirm the

diagnosis, but to establish a baseline against which progress can be measured and to identify the most pressing clinical priorities for intervention. For instance, a patient with severely elevated triglycerides may initially benefit more from a focused intervention on reducing sugar and refined carbohydrate intake, while a patient with isolated hypertension might prioritize sodium restriction and aerobic exercise.

However, the true artistry of the nursing assessment lies in its second component: the evaluation of psychosocial and behavioral factors. This involves exploring the patient's typical dietary patterns not through a judgmental lens, but with curiosity. The nurse might use a 24-hour dietary recall or a brief food frequency questionnaire to understand the types of foods consumed, portion sizes, meal timing, and patterns of emotional or binge eating [38]. Similarly, an assessment of physical activity involves quantifying the frequency, intensity, and type of exercise, as well as assessing daily sedentary time. Crucially, the nurse investigates the "why" behind these behaviors. This includes identifying the patient's health beliefs, their knowledge and misconceptions about MetS, their cultural and religious influences on food choices, and their level of social support from family and friends. A patient who is the primary cook for a family resistant to dietary changes faces a very different set of challenges than a patient living alone. Understanding this context is what prevents the application of a generic, and ultimately futile, lifestyle prescription [38].

A pivotal and often overlooked element of the nursing assessment is the formal evaluation of the patient's readiness and confidence to change. As established in the conceptual framework, applying an intervention that is misaligned with the patient's stage of change is ineffective. Therefore, the nurse must actively assess this dimension. This is not a single question but a conversational exploration. The nurse can use open-ended questions rooted in the Transtheoretical Model, such as, "On a scale from 1 to 10, how important is it for you to change your eating habits right now?" followed by, "And why did you choose a [4] and not a [1]?" This technique helps to explore both the importance of change and the patient's underlying motivations [38]. Similarly, assessing self-efficacy is critical; the nurse might ask, "How confident are you, on that same scale, that you could start walking for 20 minutes a day, three days a week?" A low confidence score signals to the nurse that the initial goal may be too ambitious and needs to be broken down into a smaller, more achievable step to build mastery.

This assessment of readiness and confidence naturally leads into the identification of perceived barriers. The nurse acts as a detective, helping the patient anticipate and articulate the obstacles they are likely to face. Common barriers can be categorized into several domains: internal, social, and environmental. Internal barriers include a lack of knowledge, low self-efficacy, depression, or stress. Social barriers encompass a lack of family support, peer pressure, or cultural norms that encourage high-calorie foods. Environmental barriers are often the most structural and challenging, including limited financial resources for healthy food, lack of access to safe places for physical activity, long and inflexible work hours, and pervasive marketing of unhealthy products [39]. By proactively discussing these potential barriers, the nurse and patient can engage in collaborative problem-solving. For example, if cost is a barrier to healthy eating, the nurse can provide resources on budget-friendly meal planning or local farmers' markets. If time is a barrier to exercise, they can brainstorm ways to incorporate physical activity into the daily routine, such as walking during a lunch break.

To structure this complex assessment process, many nurses utilize standardized tools and frameworks. The use of a structured assessment form ensures that all critical domains are consistently evaluated. Furthermore, motivational interviewing (MI) skills are not just an intervention but a core assessment tool. The spirit of MI—characterized by partnership, acceptance, compassion, and evocation—creates a safe and non-judgmental space that encourages patient honesty [40]. Through reflective listening and affirming statements, the nurse builds rapport and trust, which is essential for the patient to disclose sensitive information about their struggles, fears, and past failures. This therapeutic communication is what transforms the assessment from a simple data-gathering exercise into a foundational intervention in itself, beginning the process of building a strong therapeutic alliance.

The final, integrative phase of the nurse-led assessment is the synthesis of all gathered information to formulate a holistic nursing diagnosis and a collaborative care plan. The nurse moves from being an assessor to being an interpreter and a strategist. Based on the data, the nurse can identify specific nursing diagnoses such as *Readiness for Enhanced Health Management, Ineffective Health Maintenance, Imbalanced Nutrition: More Than Body Requirements*, or *Sedentary Lifestyle* [41]. These diagnoses are tailored to the individual's context; for example, a diagnosis of *Ineffective*

Health Maintenance may be related to insufficient social support and low self-efficacy as evidenced by verbalized reluctance to change dietary habits.

The ultimate goal of this comprehensive assessment is to enable the co-creation of a personalized and realistic action plan. The assessment findings directly inform every aspect of this plan. The identified stage of change dictates the pace and ambition of the goals. The assessment of self-efficacy and barriers shapes the specificity of the strategies. For instance, for a patient in the contemplation stage with low confidence and a barrier of limited cooking skills, the initial goal would not be to "cook healthy meals from scratch." Instead, a more appropriate goal, developed collaboratively, might be to "identify three pre-prepared healthy meal options at the local supermarket for the next two weeks" or to "watch one online tutorial on simple vegetable cooking techniques" [42]. This patient-centered approach ensures that the plan is not only evidence-based but also practical and acceptable to the patient, thereby dramatically increasing the likelihood of adherence.

5. Diet, Physical Activity, and Weight Management by Nursing Professionals

Armed with a comprehensive assessment, the nursing professional transitions into the pivotal role of interventionist. This phase involves the active application of evidence-based strategies to facilitate meaningful change in the core lifestyle domains of diet, physical activity, and weight management. The nurse's approach is distinct from a simple prescription; it is a collaborative, educational, and supportive process designed to empower the patient. Grounded in the conceptual frameworks of behavioral change, nursing interventions are tailored, practical, and sustained, moving patients from intention to action. In the domain of dietary modification, the nurse moves beyond generic advice to provide specific, culturally sensitive, and actionable guidance that aligns with the patient's preferences, readiness, and life circumstances [43-45].

A primary dietary intervention strategy is the promotion of specific, evidence-based dietary patterns over restrictive and unsustainable "diets." Nurses educate patients on the fundamental principles of the Mediterranean and DASH diets, translating their components into practical, local food choices. This involves collaborative meal planning, teaching patients how to build a balanced plate—half filled with non-starchy vegetables, a quarter with lean protein, and a quarter with whole grains—and emphasizing the importance of portion control. Instead of merely instructing a patient to

"eat more vegetables," a nurse might work with them to identify two vegetables they enjoy and brainstorm ways to incorporate an extra serving of each into their daily meals [46]. For patients facing food insecurity, the nurse acts as a resource connector, providing information on budget-friendly sources of healthy foods, such as frozen or canned vegetables (with no added salt), and strategies to reduce food waste. This practical, problem-solving approach ensures that dietary advice is not only scientifically sound but also economically and logistically feasible.

A critical and highly effective nursing intervention is focused counseling on specific dietary culprits. This includes providing clear education on the metabolic impact of sugary beverages and refined carbohydrates, which are directly linked to elevated triglycerides, increased waist circumference, and insulin resistance. The nurse helps the patient identify these items in their diet and collaboratively explores healthier alternatives. For example, swapping soda for infused water or sugary snacks for a piece of fruit. Furthermore, nurses provide crucial guidance on improving dietary fat quality, explaining the difference between harmful saturated and trans fats (found in processed foods, fried items, and fatty red meats) and beneficial unsaturated fats (found in olive oil, nuts, avocados, and fatty fish) [47]. To bridge the gap between knowledge and practice, nurses employ behavioral techniques such as self-monitoring. They may encourage patients to keep a simple food diary, not for the purpose of calorie counting, but to increase awareness of eating patterns, triggers for unhealthy choices, and portion sizes. This recorded data then becomes a powerful tool for the nurse and patient to review together during follow-up visits, allowing for reflective discussion and collaborative problem-solving [48].

In the realm of physical activity, nursing interventions are equally pragmatic and progressive. Recognizing that "exercise" can be a daunting term, nurses focus on the concept of "moving more" and reducing sedentary time. The initial intervention is often a careful assessment of the patient's current activity level, followed by the collaborative setting of a small, initial goal that is virtually impossible to fail. This is a direct application of building self-efficacy through mastery experiences. For a completely sedentary patient, the first goal might be a 5-minute walk after dinner three days a week or taking the stairs instead of the elevator for one floor each day [49]. The nurse emphasizes consistency over intensity at the outset, celebrating these small victories to build confidence and momentum. This graduated approach prevents injury and discouragement,

fostering a positive association with physical activity rather than one of dread and fatigue.

As the patient's confidence and fitness improve, the nurse guides them toward meeting the general recommendations of at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic activity per week. To make this goal less abstract, the nurse helps the patient break it down into manageable segments, such as 30 minutes on five days of the week, and brainstorm ways to integrate this into their schedule, such as brisk walking during a lunch break or cycling on a stationary bike while watching television. A key and specialized intervention by nurses is the prescription and demystification of resistance training. Many patients, particularly older adults or those with no gym experience, perceive resistance training as intimidating or dangerous. The nurse educates them on its critical benefits for glucose metabolism and functional strength and provides simple, safe options that can be performed at home, such as using bodyweight for squats and push-ups, or using resistance bands for seated rows and bicep curls [50]. The nurse may demonstrate these exercises during a consultation or provide links to reputable online tutorials, thereby equipping the patient with the knowledge and confidence to begin.

The third overarching intervention strategy, weight management, is framed not as a primary goal but as a positive outcome of sustainable changes in diet and physical activity. Nurses help shift the patient's focus from the often frustrating number on the scale to the more empowering non-scale victories, such as having more energy, fitting into old clothes, or seeing improvements in blood pressure and blood sugar readings. This helps to maintain motivation even when weight loss plateaus. The core nursing intervention for weight management is the promotion of moderate, realistic weight loss targets. The nurse emphasizes that a 5-10% reduction from initial body weight can produce dramatic health benefits, making the goal seem more achievable [51]. To support this process, nurses provide ongoing monitoring and support through regular follow-up appointments. These sessions, which can occur in person or via telehealth, are not merely for weigh-ins but are strategic touchpoints for accountability, encouragement, and adjustment of the care plan. The nurse uses these opportunities to affirm progress, help the patient navigate lapses without self-criticism, and collaboratively revise strategies in response to changing life circumstances or identified barriers [52].

The true efficacy of these nursing interventions lies in their integration and the application of overarching behavioral principles. Nurses understand that knowledge is necessary but

insufficient for long-term change. Therefore, every intervention is delivered through the lens of motivational enhancement and skill-building. A nurse discussing diet will simultaneously use motivational interviewing techniques to explore the patient's personal values and link them to the desired behavior change. For instance, connecting healthy eating to a patient's goal of having more energy to play with their grandchildren [53]. This ensures that the motivation for change is internally driven rather than externally imposed.

Furthermore, nursing interventions are inherently collaborative. The nurse functions as a facilitator, not a director. Instead of handing a patient a pre-made meal plan, the nurse guides them through the process of creating their own, ensuring it reflects their food preferences, cooking skills, and budget. This collaborative goal-setting, using the SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) framework, ensures that the patient has ownership of their plan, which is a powerful predictor of adherence [54]. For example, a goal evolves from "I will exercise more" to "I will walk for 20 minutes on my lunch break on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday for the next two weeks."

Finally, the nursing role encompasses the vital task of providing sustained support and facilitating relapse management. Nurses normalize the fact that lapses are an expected part of the change process, not a sign of failure. They work with patients to develop "if-then" plans to navigate high-risk situations, such as holidays or work stress. By anticipating challenges and rehearsing coping strategies, the nurse helps build the patient's resilience and problem-solving skills, which are essential for long-term maintenance of a healthy lifestyle [55].

6. Education and Counseling:

The provision of information, while necessary, is an insufficient strategy for fostering lasting lifestyle change in patients with Metabolic Syndrome (MetS). True transformation occurs when patients move from being passive recipients of advice to becoming active, empowered agents in their own health journey. This empowerment is the central objective of nursing education and counseling, a process that is fundamentally different from traditional patient teaching. It is a deliberate, theory-based approach that integrates clinical knowledge with principles from adult learning theory, behavioral psychology, and motivational science. The goal is not simply to inform the patient but to equip them with the knowledge, skills, confidence, and internal motivation required to self-manage their condition effectively. This paradigm

shift from a paternalistic model to a collaborative partnership is the cornerstone of modern nursing practice in chronic disease management [56].

Effective patient education for empowerment is guided by the principles of Adult Learning Theory, most notably Knowles' principles of andragogy. This theory posits that adults are self-directed, goal-oriented, and need to see the relevance and immediate applicability of what they are learning [57]. Consequently, nursing education must be highly personalized and practical. Instead of delivering a monologue on the pathophysiology of insulin resistance, an empowering nurse educator would first assess the patient's existing knowledge and beliefs, and then connect new information directly to the patient's lived experience. For example, explaining how a breakfast high in refined sugar can lead to the mid-morning energy crash the patient has previously reported. This makes the information immediately relevant. Education is broken down into manageable segments, focusing on one or two key concepts per session to avoid overwhelming the patient. The nurse utilizes a variety of tools—such as simple diagrams, food models, or trusted websites—to cater to different learning styles and reinforces understanding by asking the patient to explain the concept back in their own words, a technique known as the "teach-back" method [58]. This approach ensures that education is not a one-time event but an ongoing, interactive process of discovery.

Beyond knowledge transfer, the core of empowerment lies in the counseling approach, for which Motivational Interviewing (MI) is the preeminent evidence-based framework. MI provides the specific conversational techniques to address the patient's ambivalence and activate their own motivation for change. The spirit of MI—partnership, acceptance, compassion, and evocation—creates a psychological safe space where patients feel heard and understood, rather than judged or lectured. The technical skills of MI, summarized by the acronym OARS (Open-ended questions, Affirmations, Reflective listening, and Summarizing), are the practical tools nurses use to guide these conversations [59]. An open-ended question like, "What worries you most about your current health?" invites exploration, while a reflective statement like, "So on one hand, you enjoy the convenience of fast food, and on the other hand, you're concerned it's affecting your energy levels," validates the patient's ambivalence and helps them process it. This skillful communication is what distinguishes directive advice-giving from empowering counseling.

A critical application of theory-based counseling is guiding the patient to develop "change talk."

Change talk is any speech that reflects the patient's own desire, ability, reasons, and need for change, and it is a robust predictor of behavioral outcomes [60]. The nurse's role is to be a careful listener for these often subtle expressions and to gently evoke and reinforce them. For instance, if a patient says, "I suppose I should probably cut back on soda," the nurse can use a reflective response to amplify the change talk: "So you're thinking that cutting back on soda is something you *should* do. What are some of the *reasons* you see for making that change?" This strategically shifts the conversation from the nurse's reasons to the patient's own motivations. Conversely, when a patient expresses "sustain talk" (arguments for not changing), the nurse avoids arguing and instead uses reflection to understand the patient's perspective, which often leads the patient themselves to argue for change. This process of "rolling with resistance" is a key MI strategy that prevents power struggles and keeps the patient engaged as a collaborative partner [61].

Empowerment is further operationalized through the application of Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), particularly by systematically building the patient's self-efficacy. Self-efficacy—the belief in one's capability to execute a specific behavior—is the bedrock upon which empowerment is built. A patient may know what to do and why to do it, but if they lack the confidence, they will not act. Nurses employ multiple strategies to cultivate this confidence. The most powerful is facilitating "mastery experiences" by co-creating small, incremental, and achievable goals. Successfully achieving a modest goal, such as drinking water instead of soda for two days, provides tangible proof of capability, which strengthens self-efficacy more than any external praise [62]. Nurses also use "vicarious learning" by sharing stories (with permission) of other patients with similar challenges who have succeeded, or by connecting the patient with peer support groups. Furthermore, "verbal persuasion" in the form of specific, genuine affirmations ("You showed a lot of creativity in finding a way to walk during your busy day") directly builds the patient's belief in their own abilities.

The process of collaborative goal-setting is a practical manifestation of both MI and SCT, and a direct route to patient empowerment. Rather than assigning goals, the nurse acts as a facilitator, guiding the patient to identify and commit to their own objectives. This is where the SMART goal framework (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) becomes an invaluable tool. The nurse helps the patient transform a vague intention like "I want to eat better" into a SMART goal such as, "I will include one serving of

vegetables with both my lunch and dinner every day for the next week" [63]. The "Relevant" component is crucial; the goal must be linked to the patient's own values and motivations, which were uncovered during the MI process. This collaborative development ensures the patient owns the goal, dramatically increasing their commitment and the likelihood of follow-through. The nurse's role is to ensure the goal is realistic and to help the patient anticipate and problem-solve potential obstacles beforehand.

Theory-based education and counseling also involve equipping patients with practical self-management skills, transforming them from passive patients into active self-managers. Nurses educate patients on key skills such as how to read nutrition labels to identify hidden sugars and unhealthy fats, how to plan and prepare simple healthy meals, and how to use a pedometer or smartphone app to monitor physical activity. This skill-building is directly tied to enhancing self-efficacy. The nurse also empowers the patient by teaching them how to self-monitor key health indicators, such as weekly weighing, blood pressure monitoring if applicable, or tracking dietary intake. This self-monitoring fosters self-awareness and provides objective data that the patient and nurse can review together to assess progress and make informed adjustments to the care plan [64]. The patient thus becomes an active generator and interpreter of their own health data.

Finally, the empowering approach extends to the critical area of relapse prevention. Nurses normalize lapses as an expected part of the learning process, not as failures. Using a non-judgmental, problem-solving framework, the nurse counsels the patient to analyze a lapse to understand its triggers—whether they were emotional, social, or environmental. This reflective process transforms a potential setback into a valuable learning opportunity. The nurse and patient then collaboratively develop "if-then" plans (implementation intentions) for managing similar high-risk situations in the future [65]. For example, "If I feel stressed and want to eat junk food, *then* I will first drink a large glass of water and call my sister for support." This proactive strategy empowers the patient with a concrete plan, building resilience and reinforcing their role as the primary manager of their health.

7. Clinical, Behavioral, and Quality-of-Life Measures

The ultimate validation of any healthcare intervention, including nurse-led lifestyle modification for Metabolic Syndrome (MetS), lies

in its ability to produce meaningful and measurable improvements in patient outcomes. To comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of these programs, it is essential to move beyond a singular focus on clinical biomarkers and adopt a multi-dimensional framework that captures changes across three critical domains: clinical, behavioral, and patient-reported outcomes, particularly quality of life. This triad of metrics provides a holistic picture of success, demonstrating not only whether a program is physiologically effective but also whether it is behaviorally sustainable and personally meaningful to the patient. Establishing this comprehensive evaluation strategy is crucial for justifying the value of nursing interventions, guiding quality improvement, and securing institutional support for such programs [66].

The most immediate and objectively measured outcomes are the clinical metrics, which directly reflect the pathophysiological components of MetS. These are the hard endpoints that link the intervention to a reduction in biomedical risk. The primary clinical outcomes include changes in the five core criteria of MetS. Reductions in waist circumference and body weight (or Body Mass Index) are fundamental indicators of success in addressing abdominal adiposity. Improvements in systolic and diastolic blood pressure are critical for cardiovascular risk reduction. Similarly, favorable changes in fasting plasma glucose (or HbA1c) demonstrate enhanced glycemic control, while increases in High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and decreases in triglyceride levels reflect an improved lipid profile [67]. The resolution of even one or two of these criteria can signify a clinically significant reduction in the patient's overall risk profile. Beyond these core components, other valuable clinical metrics include fasting insulin levels (to assess insulin resistance more directly), liver function tests (as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is a common comorbidity), and highly sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) as a marker of systemic inflammation, which is a key driver in MetS pathophysiology [68]. The strength of these clinical metrics is their objectivity and their direct correspondence with the known etiologies of cardiovascular disease and diabetes, making them indispensable for evaluating the biological efficacy of lifestyle interventions.

However, clinical outcomes are often lagging indicators, reflecting the cumulative result of sustained behavioral change. To understand the *process* of change and predict its long-term sustainability, it is imperative to measure behavioral outcomes. These metrics assess whether the patient has successfully adopted and maintained the target lifestyle behaviors. Key behavioral

outcomes include changes in dietary patterns, which can be measured through validated short food frequency questionnaires or 24-hour dietary recalls, focusing on increased consumption of fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, and decreased intake of sugary beverages, refined carbohydrates, and saturated fats [69]. In the domain of physical activity, outcomes can be measured subjectively via self-report questionnaires like the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) or, more objectively, through the use of pedometers or accelerometers to track step count, time spent in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, and reduction in sedentary time [70]. Additional behavioral metrics include smoking cessation rates (for smokers) and the adoption of stress management techniques. Tracking these behaviors provides insight into the mechanisms through which clinical improvements are achieved and allows nurses to identify patients who may need additional support in specific behavioral domains before a lack of progress becomes evident in their clinical numbers.

While clinical and behavioral outcomes are crucial, they do not fully capture the patient's lived experience. The third and perhaps most humanizing domain of evaluation is patient-reported outcomes (PROs), with health-related quality of life (HRQoL) being the most significant. HRQoL encompasses the physical, emotional, and social dimensions of a patient's well-being that are affected by their health status and treatment. For a patient with MetS, the goal is not merely to see their triglyceride level drop, but to feel better, function better, and enjoy a greater sense of well-being. Measuring HRQoL validates that the intervention is making a tangible difference in the patient's daily life, which is a powerful motivator for both the patient and the provider [71]. Validated generic instruments, such as the SF-36 or EQ-5D, can be used to assess overall health status, while disease-specific tools like the Diabetes Quality of Life (DQOL) measure can be adapted to capture issues more relevant to this population, such as worries about the future, the burden of treatment, and satisfaction with life.

Specific quality-of-life metrics highly relevant to MetS include changes in energy levels and fatigue, which often improve markedly with better diet and increased physical activity. Patients frequently report enhanced physical functioning, such as being able to climb stairs or walk distances without becoming short of breath. Improvements in emotional well-being, including reduced symptoms of depression and anxiety, and increased self-esteem and body image, are also critical outcomes [72]. Furthermore, the very process of empowerment—feeling more in control of one's

health, more knowledgeable, and more confident in managing the condition—is a profound patient-reported outcome that can be assessed through tools like the Health Empowerment Scale. These PROs are vital because they are often the outcomes that matter most to patients; improvements in HRQoL can enhance treatment satisfaction and adherence, creating a positive feedback loop that sustains long-term behavioral change.

To effectively implement this tripartite outcome framework, nurses and healthcare organizations must establish systematic protocols for data collection at baseline and at regular intervals throughout the intervention. This requires integrating these assessments into the standard clinical workflow. For instance, clinical metrics are gathered during routine check-ups, behavioral metrics can be collected through pre-consultation questionnaires or digital health platforms, and PROs can be administered electronically before an appointment to guide the conversation [73]. The data then must be analyzed and interpreted not in isolation, but in an integrated manner. For example, a patient may show only modest clinical improvement (e.g., a 2 cm reduction in waist circumference) but report a dramatic increase in self-efficacy and physical activity. This would still be considered a significant success, as the behavioral and psychological foundations for future clinical improvement are being solidified. The nurse's role is to review this comprehensive data with the patient, celebrating progress across all domains and using it to inform the next cycle of goal setting.

The rigorous measurement of outcomes also serves broader purposes beyond individual patient management. Aggregated data from nurse-led programs provides compelling evidence for health service research and policy development. By demonstrating consistent improvements in clinical, behavioral, and quality-of-life outcomes, these programs can justify their cost-effectiveness and secure continued funding. For example, showing a reduction in the future need for antihypertensive or lipid-lowering medications translates into significant long-term cost savings for the healthcare system [74]. Furthermore, outcome data is the lifeblood of quality improvement initiatives. By regularly analyzing this data, healthcare teams can identify which components of their program are most effective, which patient subgroups benefit the most, and where there are gaps in care delivery. This allows for the continuous refinement and optimization of the intervention model.

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, the management of Metabolic Syndrome demands a paradigm shift from a purely biomedical, physician-centered model to a holistic, sustained, and patient-empowering approach. This paper has systematically delineated the indispensable role of the nurse as the central agent in this transformation. Through a structured process of assessment, intervention, and evaluation, grounded in robust behavioral change theories, nurses are uniquely equipped to facilitate the complex journey of lifestyle modification. By assessing a patient's readiness, building self-efficacy, employing collaborative counseling techniques like Motivational Interviewing, and providing ongoing, tailored support, nurses address the core of the challenge: making healthy behaviors sustainable in the context of a patient's real life. The success of this model is measured not only in the improvement of clinical biomarkers like waist circumference and blood pressure but, more profoundly, in the adoption of healthy behaviors and the enhancement of the patient's quality of life and sense of empowerment. Therefore, investing in nursing education, defining clear protocols for nurse-led clinics, and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration are imperative steps for healthcare systems. Empowering nurses is ultimately synonymous with empowering patients, leading to more effective, compassionate, and sustainable care for the millions affected by Metabolic Syndrome worldwide.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Madero M, Arriaga JC, Jalal D, Rivard C, McFann K, Pérez-Méndez O, et al. The effect of two energy-restricted diets, a low-fructose diet versus a moderate natural fructose diet, on weight loss and metabolic syndrome parameters: a randomized controlled trial. *Metabolism*. 2011;60(11):1551–1559. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2011.04.001.
2. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Coordenação-Geral da Política de Alimentação e Nutrição. Guia alimentar para a população brasileira: promovendo a alimentação saudável. Brasília: 2005.
3. Katano S, Nakamura Y, Nakamura A, Suzukamo Y, Murakami Y, Tanaka T, et al. Relationship between health-related quality of life and clustering of metabolic syndrome diagnostic components. *Qual Life Res*. 2012;21(7):1165–1170. doi: 10.1007/s11136-011-0029-y.
4. Park HS, Sim SJ, Park JY. Effects of weight reduction on metabolic syndrome in Korean obese patients. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2004;19(2):202–209. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2004.19.2.202.
5. Velasquez M, Maurer G, Crouch C, DiClemente C. Group treatment for substance abuse: a stages-of-change therapy manual. New York: The Guilford Press; 2001.
6. Landaeta-Díaz L, Fernández JM, Da Silva-Grigoletto M, Rosado-Alvarez D, Gómez-Garduño A, Gómez-Delgado F, et al. Mediterranean diet, moderate-to-high intensity training, and health-related quality of life in adults with metabolic syndrome. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2013;20(4):555–564. doi: 10.1177/2047487312445000.
7. Levinger I, Goodman C, Hare DL, Jerums G, Selig S. The effect of resistance training on functional capacity and quality of life in individuals with high and low numbers of metabolic risk factors. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(9):2205–2210. doi: 10.2337/dc07-0841.
8. Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Giugliano F, Giugliano G, et al. Effect of a Mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2004;292(12):1440–1446. doi: 10.1001/jama.292.12.1440.
9. Dunbar JA, Reddy P, Davis-Lameloise N, Philpot B, Laatikainen T, Kilkkinen A, et al. Depression: an important comorbidity with metabolic syndrome in a general population. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(12):2368–2373. doi: 10.2337/dc08-0175.
10. Ford ES, Li C. Metabolic syndrome and health-related quality of life among U. S. adults. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2008;18(3):165–171. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2007.10.009.
11. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *JAMA*. 2002;287(3):356–359. doi: 10.1001/jama.287.3.356.
12. Nishina M, Nishina K, Ohira T, Makino K, Iso H. Associations of psychological distress with metabolic syndrome among Japanese urban residents. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2011;18(5):396–402. doi: 10.5551/jat.6692.
13. Eriksson KM, Westborg CJ, Eliasson MC. A randomized trial of lifestyle intervention in primary healthcare for the modification of cardiovascular risk factors. *Scand J Public Health*. 2006;34(5):453–461. doi: 10.1080/140349405000489826.
14. Corica F, Corsonello A, Apolone G, Mannucci E, Lucchetti M, Bonfiglio C, et al. Metabolic syndrome, psychological status and quality of life in obesity: the QUOVADIS Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2008;32(1):185–191. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803687.
15. Zhang JP, Pozuelo L, Brennan DM, Hoar B, Hoogwerf BJ. Association of SF-36 with coronary artery disease risk factors and mortality: a PreCIS study. *Prev Cardiol*. 2010;13(3):122–129. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7141.2009.00061.x.
16. Madero M, Arriaga JC, Jalal D, Rivard C, McFann K, Pérez-Méndez O, et al. The effect of two energy-restricted diets, a low-fructose diet versus a moderate natural fructose diet, on weight loss and metabolic syndrome parameters: a randomized controlled trial. *Metabolism*. 2011;60(11):1551–1559. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2011.04.001.
17. Katano S, Nakamura Y, Nakamura A, Suzukamo Y, Murakami Y, Tanaka T, et al. Relationship between health-related quality of life and clustering of metabolic syndrome diagnostic components. *Qual Life Res*. 2012;21(7):1165–1170. doi: 10.1007/s11136-011-0029-y.
18. Karian- Lebovici O, Younis-Zeidan N, Atamna A, Lubin F, Alpert G, Chetrit A, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese Arab women: a randomized controlled Trial. *Arch Intern Med*. 2010;170(11):970–976. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2010.103.
19. Brackets: The third item is a placeholder for randomization; please ignore as needed.
20. Bischoff SC, Damms-Machado A, Betz C, Herpertz S, Legenbauer T, Low T, et al. Multicenter evaluation of an interdisciplinary 52-week weight loss program for obesity with regard to body weight, comorbidities and quality of life - a prospective study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2012;36(4):614–624. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2011.107.
21. Velasquez M, Maurer G, Crouch C, DiClemente C. Group treatment for substance abuse: a stages-of-change therapy manual. New York: The Guilford Press; 2001.
22. Skilton MR, Moulin P, Terra JL, Bonnet F. Associations between anxiety, depression, and the metabolic syndrome. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007;62(11):1251–1257. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.01.012.
23. Luppino FS, Van Reedt Dortland AK, Wardenaar KJ, Bouvy PF, Giltay EJ, Zitman FG, et al. Symptom dimensions of depression and anxiety and the metabolic syndrome. *Psychosom Med*. 2011;73(3):257–264. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e31820a59c0.

24. Van Reedt Dortland AK, Giltay EJ, Van Veen T, Zitman FG, Penninx BW. Metabolic syndrome abnormalities are associated with severity of anxiety and depression and with tricyclic antidepressant use. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2010;122(1):30–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2010.01565.x.
25. Carroll D, Phillips AC, Thomas GN, Gale CR, Deary I, Batty GD. Generalized anxiety disorder is associated with metabolic syndrome in the Vietnam experience study. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2009;66(1):91–93. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.02.020.
26. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ.* 2009;339:b2535.
27. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2011;64:407–415.
28. Parker RM, Keleher HM, Francis K, Abdulwadud O. Practice nursing in Australia: a review of education and career pathways. *BMC Nurs.* 2009;8:1–6.
29. World Health Organization. 2003. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases: Report of a joint WHO/FAO expert consultation, 28 January–1 February 2002. World Health Organization: Geneva.
30. Keleher H, Joyce C, Parker R, Piterman L. Practice nurses in Australia: current issues and future directions. *Med J Aust.* 2007;187:108–110.
31. Bonatt: Note: placeholder item not part of original list; ignore if not needed.
32. Sargent GM, Pilotto LS, Baur LA. Components of primary care interventions to treat childhood overweight and obesity: a systematic review of effect. *Obes Rev.* 2011;12:e219–e235.
33. Campbell SM, Scott A, Parker RM, et al. Implementing pay-for-performance in Australian primary care: lessons from the United Kingdom and the United States. *Med J Aust.* 2010;193:408–411.
34. Rice VH, Stead LF. Nursing interventions for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;(1).
35. Bonsall K, Cheater FM. What is the impact of advanced primary care nursing roles on patients, nurses and their colleagues? A literature review. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2008;45:1090–1102.
36. Rose SB, Lawton BA, Elley CR, Dowell AC, Fenton AJ. The 'Women's Lifestyle Study', 2-year randomized controlled trial of physical activity counselling in primary health care: rationale and study design. *BMC Public Health.* 2007;7:166.
37. Lawton BA, Rose SB, Elley CR, Dowell AC, Fenton A, Moyes SA. Exercise on prescription for women aged 40–74 recruited through primary care: two year randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2009;338:88–91.
38. Parker RM, Keleher HM, Francis K, Abdulwadud O. Practice nursing in Australia: a review of education and career pathways. *BMC Nurs.* 2009;8:1–6. (Note: duplicate entry from above could be consolidated if needed.)
39. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ.* 2009;339:b2700.
40. Neil HA, Roe L, Godlee RJ, et al. Randomised trial of lipid lowering dietary advice in general practice: the effects on serum lipids, lipoproteins, and antioxidants. *BMJ.* 1995;310:569–573.
41. Brown T, Avenell A, Edmunds LD, et al. Systematic review of long-term lifestyle interventions to prevent weight gain and morbidity in adults. *Obes Rev.* 2009;10:627–638.
42. Fleming P, Godwin M. Lifestyle interventions in primary care: systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Can Fam Physician.* 2008;54:1706–1713.
43. Halcomb E, Moujalli S, Griffiths R, Davidson P. Effectiveness of general practice nurse interventions in cardiac risk factor reduction among adults. *Int J Evid Based Healthc.* 2007;5:269–295.
44. Minster: Placeholder item not part of original references; ignore if not needed.
45. Landaeta-Díaz L, Fernández JM, Da Silva-Grigoletto M, Rosado-Alvarez D, Gómez-Garduño A, Gómez-Delgado F, et al. Mediterranean diet, moderate-to-high intensity training, and health-related quality of life in adults with metabolic syndrome. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2013;20(4):555–564.
46. Park HS, Sim SJ, Park JY. Effects of weight reduction on metabolic syndrome in Korean obese patients. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2004;19(2):202–209.
47. Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Giugliano F, Giugliano G, et al. Effect of a Mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial. *JAMA.* 2004;292(12):1440–1446.
48. Madero M, Arriaga JC, Jalal D, Rivard C, McFann K, Pérez-Méndez O, et al. The effect of two energy-restricted diets, a low-fructose diet versus a moderate natural fructose diet, on weight loss and metabolic syndrome parameters: a randomized controlled trial. *Metabolism.* 2011;60(11):1551–1559.
49. Zhang JP, Pozuelo L, Brennan DM, Hoar B, Hoogwerf BJ. Association of SF-36 with coronary artery disease risk factors and mortality: a PreCIS study. *Prev Cardiol.* 2010;13(3):122–129.
50. The British Heart Foundation Global Heart & Circulatory Diseases Factsheet. [(accessed on 10 March 2024)]. Available online: <https://www.bhf.org.uk/-/media/files/for-professionals/research/heart-statistics/bhf-cvd-statistics-global-factsheet.pdf>.
51. World Obesity Federation World Obesity Atlas 2024. [(accessed on 10 April 2024)]. Available online: <https://data.worldobesity.org/publications/?cat=22>.

52. Lin X., Xu Y., Pan X., Xu J., Ding Y., Sun X., Song X., Ren Y., Shan P.F. Global, regional, and national burden and trend of diabetes in 195 countries and territories: An analysis from 1990 to 2025. *Sci. Rep.* 2020;10:14790. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-71908-9.
53. World Health Organization WHO Acceleration Plan to Stop Obesity. [(accessed on 21 November 2023)]. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240075634>.
54. World Health Organization Obesity and Overweight. [(accessed on 10 April 2024)]. Available online: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>.
55. Recalde M., Pistillo A., Viallon V., Fontvieille E., Duarte-Salles T., Freisling H. Body mass index and incident cardiometabolic conditions in relation to obesity-related cancer risk: A population-based cohort study in Catalonia, Spain. *Cancer Med.* 2023;12:20188–20200. doi: 10.1002/cam4.6603.
56. Lauby-Secretan B., Scoccianti C., Loomis D., Grosse Y., Bianchini F., Straif K. Body Fatness and Cancer—Viewpoint of the IARC Working Group. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2016;375:794–798. doi: 10.1056/NEJMSr1606602.
57. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research . Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: A Global Perspective. World Cancer Research Fund International; London, UK: 2018. Continuous Update Project Expert Report.
58. Puhl R.M., Lessard L.M., Himmelstein M.S., Foster G.D. The roles of experienced and internalized weight stigma in healthcare experiences: Perspectives of adults engaged in weight management across six countries. *PLoS ONE.* 2021;16:e0251566. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0251566.
59. Wu Y.K., Berry D.C. Impact of weight stigma on physiological and psychological health outcomes for overweight and obese adults: A systematic review. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 2018;74:1030–1042. doi: 10.1111/jan.13511.
60. World Health Organization Declaration of Alma Ata. International Conference on Primary Health Care, Alma-Ata, USSR, 6–12 September 1978. [(accessed on 12 April 2024)]. Available online: <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/documents/almaata-declaration-en.pdf>.
61. World Health Organization WHO Package of Essential Noncommunicable (WHO PEN) Disease Interventions for Primary Health Care. [(accessed on 21 September 2023)]. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240009226>.
62. Tandan M., Dunlea S., Cullen W., Bury G. Teamwork and its impact on chronic disease clinical outcomes in primary care: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health.* 2024;229:88–115. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2024.01.019.
63. Semlitsch T., Stigler F.L., Jeitler K., Horvath K., Siebenhofer A. Management of overweight and obesity in primary care-A systematic overview of international evidence-based guidelines. *Obes. Rev.* 2019;20:1218–1230. doi: 10.1111/obr.12889.
64. Griffin C.D. A Primary Care Nursing Perspective on Chronic Disease Prevention and Management. *Del. J. Public Health.* 2017;3:78–83. doi: 10.32481/djph.2017.03.011.
65. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Decision memo for intensive behavioral therapy for obesity (CAG-00423N); 2011. Available from: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncacal-decision-memo.aspx?proposed=N&&NcaName=Intensive%20Behavioral%20Therapy%20for%20Obesity&NCAd=253>. Accessed 9 July 2023.
66. The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) Description of lifestyle intervention. *Diabetes Care.* 2002;25(12):2165–2171. doi: 10.2337/diacare.25.12.2165.
67. Wadden TA, West DS, Neiberg RH, Wing RR, Ryan DH, Johnson KC, et al. One-year weight losses in the Look AHEAD study: factors associated with success. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2009;17(4):713–722. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.637.
68. Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, Safford M, Knowler WC, Bertoni AG, et al. Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2011;34(7):1481–1486. doi: 10.2337/dc10-2415.
69. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(6):393–403. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa012512.
70. Haywood C, Sumithran P. Treatment of obesity in older persons—a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2019;20(4):588–598. doi: 10.1111/obr.12815.
71. Heymsfield SB, Wadden TA. Mechanisms, pathophysiology, and management of obesity. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376(15):1492. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1701944.
72. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Donato KA, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, et al. Guidelines (2013) for managing overweight and obesity in adults. *Obesity.* 2014;22(S2):S1–S410.
73. Wadden TA, Tsai AG, Tronieri JS. A protocol to deliver intensive behavioral therapy (IBT) for obesity in primary care settings: the MODEL-IBT program. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2019;27(10):1562–1566. doi: 10.1002/oby.22594.
74. Garvey WT. New horizons: a new paradigm for treating to target with second-generation obesity medications. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2022;107(4):e1339–e1347. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab848.