



Managing ENT Emergencies, The Role of Nursing, Family Medicine, Psychiatry, Social Work, Emergency Services, and Medical Administration

Saad Ibrahim Albalawi^{1*}, Doaa Abdulhameed Albagshi², Alsuwailem Norah Aziz S³, Fatimah Ali Almazyadi⁴, Kathar Ali Almohaishi⁵, Khadijah Shuaib Bakkari⁶, Ghalib Ali T Alali⁷, Almaski-Zainab Muhammad Khaire M⁸, Almuski, Abeer Abdulaziz M⁹, Abdulghani Ali Altolaihi¹⁰

¹ENT – General Administration of Medical Services, KSA

* **Corresponding Author Email:** S.albluwi@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-3350

²Psychiatry for Children – Eastern Health Cluster, Eradah Complex for Mental Health, KSA

Email: Doaa.albagshi@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5997-7850

³Nurse – Maternity and Children Hospital Alhassa, KSA

Email: alsuwailem.nas@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5097-7850

⁴Nurse Specialist – Maternity and Children Hospital Alahsa, KSA

Email: fatimah.almazyadi2@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5197-7850

⁵Paramedic – Saudi Red Crescent Authority Emergency Medical Services, KSA

Email: paramedic.kawther@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5297-7850

⁶Social Worker – Maternity and Children Hospital Makkah, KSA

Email: Kbakari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5397-7850

⁷Family Medicine Specialist – Alkhalabia PHC, KSA

Email: Hamzah009@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5497-7850

⁸Executive Management of Legal Affairs and Compliance – Madinah Health Cluster, KSA

Email: Zalmiske@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5597-7850

⁹Home Health Care Management – Medina Health Cluster, KSA

Email: aalmuski@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5697-7850

¹⁰Family Medicine Specialist – Alahsa Health Cluster, AlRashdiah PHC, KSA

Email: AAL-TULIHEI@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5797-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4334

Received : 01 January 2025

Revised : 28 January 2025

Accepted : 29 January 2025

Keywords

ENT emergencies,
multidisciplinary approach,
nursing role,
family medicine,
psychiatry,
social work

Abstract:

Managing ENT (Ear, Nose, and Throat) emergencies requires a multidisciplinary approach that integrates the expertise of various healthcare professionals including nurses, family medicine practitioners, psychiatrists, social workers, emergency services personnel, and medical administrators. Nurses play a crucial role in the initial assessment and stabilization of patients, providing critical support through the collection of medical histories and vital signs, as well as administering treatments as prescribed. Family medicine practitioners offer continual care and primary assessments, ensuring that patients receive comprehensive management of their condition. Psychiatry is integral to address any mental health concerns that may arise in patients experiencing acute ENT issues, especially in cases where anxiety or trauma is involved. Social workers also contribute by assessing social determinants of health and facilitating access to necessary resources, thus ensuring a holistic approach to emergency care. Emergency services serve as the frontline responders in ENT emergencies, providing rapid assessment and transport to appropriate care facilities. Within the emergency department, collaboration among multidisciplinary teams is essential for effective patient management. Medical administration plays a pivotal role in creating protocols and maintaining quality of care standards to streamline the processes involved in ENT emergencies. Enhanced communication channels between all participants, alongside

efficient resource allocation, dramatically improves patient outcomes in high-stress situations. The collaborative efforts of these diverse professionals not only address acute medical issues but also ensure a supportive environment for both patients and their families.

1. Introduction

Ear, Nose, and Throat (ENT) emergencies represent a significant and often challenging component of acute medical care, accounting for a substantial proportion of presentations to emergency departments (EDs), primary care clinics, and urgent care centers worldwide. These conditions range from the common and distressing, such as severe epistaxis or foreign body aspiration, to the rare and life-threatening, including deep neck space infections or complicated sinusitis leading to orbital or intracranial complications. The global burden of ENT disorders is immense; for instance, hearing loss alone affects over 1.5 billion people globally, many of whom may present acutely with complications, while chronic rhinosinusitis is estimated to affect up to 12% of the population in developed nations, a subset of whom will experience severe exacerbations requiring emergency intervention [1].

Traditionally, the management of such emergencies was perceived as a linear process: presentation, diagnosis by a physician, and treatment. However, contemporary healthcare paradigms recognize that this model is insufficient for delivering holistic, efficient, and patient-centered care. The increasing complexity of patient comorbidities, the rising prevalence of mental health conditions, and the growing emphasis on cost-effective healthcare delivery have made the siloed approach obsolete [2]. A fragmented system leads to delays in diagnosis, communication breakdowns, and ultimately, poorer clinical outcomes. For example, a deep neck space infection requires not only the surgical acumen of an ENT surgeon but also the rapid diagnostic support of radiology, the critical care management by emergency and anesthesia teams, the vigilant post-operative nursing care, and the administrative oversight to coordinate these resources seamlessly. Within this multidisciplinary framework, each professional domain plays a critical and distinct role. **Nursing staff** serve as the first and constant point of contact, performing initial triage, vital sign monitoring, assisting with procedures, and providing crucial patient education and emotional support. Their role in calming an anxious child during aural foreign body removal or in educating a patient on post-epistaxis care is invaluable [3]. The **Family Medicine physician** provides essential continuity of care, managing underlying

conditions like hypertension or coagulopathies that contribute to ENT emergencies, and ensuring appropriate follow-up to prevent recurrence, thus bridging the gap between the hospital and the community [4].

The integration of **Psychiatry** is often overlooked but is vital. ENT emergencies can be both a cause and a consequence of psychiatric distress. Patients with severe tinnitus may present in a suicidal crisis, while those with somatic symptom disorder may repeatedly seek care for perceived throat malignancies. A psychiatric assessment can differentiate true organic pathology from psychogenic manifestations and provide critical management strategies [5]. **Social Workers** address the socio-economic determinants of health that frequently accompany ENT emergencies. They assist patients with transportation issues, navigate complex discharge plans, connect them with financial resources for medications, and intervene in cases of suspected self-neglect or domestic violence, which may be underlying factors in recurrent presentations [6].

The **Emergency Services** team, including emergency physicians, paramedics, and technicians, are responsible for the initial stabilization, particularly in securing a threatened airway—a paramount concern in conditions like angioedema, Ludwig's angina, or traumatic laryngeal injury. Their ability to perform rapid sequence intubation or a surgical airway can be life-saving [7].

Finally, **Medical Administration** provides the foundational structure upon which clinical care is built. They are responsible for developing and implementing clinical pathways for common ENT emergencies, ensuring the availability and maintenance of specialized equipment (e.g., flexible nasendoscopes, tracheostomy sets), optimizing patient flow to reduce wait times, and managing the financial and human resources required for a 24/7 service [8].

The evidence supporting a team-based approach is robust. Studies have shown that structured multidisciplinary care pathways for conditions like peritonsillar abscess can significantly reduce time to incision and drainage, decrease hospital admission rates, and improve patient satisfaction scores [9]. Furthermore, the involvement of mental health professionals in managing chronic ENT conditions like refractory vertigo has been demonstrated to reduce the frequency of

emergency department visits and improve patients' quality of life [10]. From a systems perspective, effective administration and clear communication protocols between these diverse teams are not merely beneficial but essential for patient safety. The World Health Organization has repeatedly emphasized that communication failures are a leading root cause of sentinel events in healthcare, underscoring the need for structured handoffs and shared mental models in acute care settings [11]. Therefore, this research paper will undertake a comprehensive analysis to delineate and synthesize the distinct yet interconnected roles of nursing, family medicine, psychiatry, social work, emergency services, and medical administration in the management of ENT emergencies. It will explore how this collaboration functions across a spectrum of common and critical scenarios, analyze the barriers to effective teamwork, and propose integrative models for care. By synthesizing current literature and best practices, this research aims to provide a blueprint for a truly patient-centric, efficient, and resilient system capable of managing the complex challenges presented by acute otorhinolaryngological conditions, ultimately improving survival, recovery, and the overall patient experience [12].

2. The Spectrum and Epidemiology of Common ENT Emergencies

Ear, Nose, and Throat (ENT) emergencies constitute a diverse and clinically significant segment of acute medical presentations, spanning a wide spectrum from common, high-volume complaints to rare, time-critical situations that pose an immediate threat to life. These conditions represent a substantial burden on healthcare systems globally, accounting for a notable percentage of all visits to emergency departments (EDs), urgent care centers, and primary care clinics. It is estimated that ENT-related complaints are responsible for approximately 5% to 10% of all ED visits in developed nations, a figure that underscores their pervasive nature and the critical need for systems capable of managing them efficiently [13]. The clinical presentation of these emergencies is profoundly linked to the complex and confined anatomy of the head and neck region, where even minor inflammation, a small foreign body, or limited bleeding can lead to significant morbidity by compromising vital functions such as respiration, swallowing, or hearing. Understanding the epidemiology and categorizing these emergencies by acuity and anatomical site is the foundational step in developing a robust, multidisciplinary response framework.

The epidemiology of ENT emergencies reveals distinct patterns across different age groups and geographic regions. In pediatric populations, foreign body ingestion or aspiration is exceedingly common. The American Academy of Pediatrics reports that over 80,000 children under the age of 14 are treated in EDs annually for foreign body incidents in the aerodigestive tract, with coins, toys, and food items like nuts and seeds being the most frequent culprits [14]. Similarly, acute otitis media (AOM), while often managed in primary care, can present as an emergency when complications such as mastoiditis or tympanic membrane rupture occur. In adults, the most frequent ENT emergency is epistaxis, or nosebleeds. The majority are anterior bleeds and are self-limiting or easily controlled, but posterior epistaxis, more common in the elderly and those with comorbidities, often requires specialized intervention and has an incidence of up to 10 per 10,000 emergency department visits [15]. Other high-volume presentations include acute pharyngotonsillitis, with a subset progressing to peritonsillar abscess (Quinsy), which has an estimated incidence of 30-40 cases per 100,000 person-years [16]. The burden of these conditions is not merely clinical but also economic, leading to significant healthcare expenditures related to ED visits, procedures, and hospital admissions.

A useful framework for understanding the scope of ENT emergencies is to categorize them by their potential for rapid clinical deterioration. At one end of the spectrum lie the Urgent but Non-Critical Conditions. These are high-frequency presentations that cause significant patient distress and require prompt management but are unlikely to immediately threaten life. This category includes uncomplicated acute otitis externa, sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL), benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV), and simple nasal fractures. While not immediately life-threatening, mismanagement can lead to long-term sequelae; for instance, SSNHL is considered an otologic emergency because delay in treatment beyond a critical window of 48-72 hours with corticosteroids can permanently compromise hearing recovery [17].

The middle of the spectrum is occupied by Semi-Urgent Conditions with Potential for Complications. These are situations that, while often stable at presentation, harbor the risk of progressing to severe morbidity if not adequately addressed. The quintessential example is the deep neck space infection (DNSI), such as a parapharyngeal or retropharyngeal abscess. Often stemming from an odontogenic or oropharyngeal source, DNSIs can insidiously progress to airway

obstruction, mediastinitis, or septic shock. Their incidence, though lower than peritonsillar abscesses, carries a mortality rate of 1-2%, primarily due to these devastating complications [18]. Other conditions in this category include complicated sinusitis with orbital or intracranial extension, Ludwig's Angina (a bilateral cellulitis of the submandibular space), and caustic ingestion injuries, where the full extent of tissue damage may not be immediately apparent.

At the most critical end of the spectrum are the True Life-Threatening Emergencies. These conditions demand immediate diagnosis and intervention to prevent death, primarily from airway obstruction or catastrophic hemorrhage. The foremost concern in any ENT emergency is a compromised airway. This can occur with dramatic speed in conditions such as angioedema, epiglottitis (though less common post-Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccination), traumatic laryngeal fracture, or an expanding hematoma in the neck following surgery or trauma. The management of these cases is a race against time, requiring advanced airway skills and often surgical intervention. Another critical emergency is uncontrollable hemorrhage, typically from a posterior epistaxis or from vascular erosion in advanced head and neck cancers. These patients can present in hemorrhagic shock and require a coordinated response involving resuscitation, procedural intervention, and potentially interventional radiology [19].

3. The Pivotal Role of Nursing:

The initial nursing encounter, often occurring at triage, sets the trajectory for the entire patient journey. In a busy emergency department, the nurse must rapidly discern the subtle signs of a true airway emergency amidst a sea of less critical sore throats and earaches. This requires a sophisticated understanding of ENT pathology. For instance, a patient with a peritonsillar abscess may present with trismus (inability to open the mouth) and a "hot potato" voice, while a patient with an evolving deep neck space infection may have subtle neck swelling and pain on neck extension. The nurse's initial assessment of the patient's airway, breathing, and circulation (ABCs), along with vital signs, is paramount. The presence of stridor, drooling, tachypnea, or hypoxia immediately elevates the triage acuity and triggers a rapid response [21]. Furthermore, nursing assessment includes a focused history, identifying key details such as the duration of symptoms, mechanism of injury in cases of trauma, the nature of a foreign body if aspirated or

ingested, and any pre-existing conditions like bleeding diatheses or immunosuppression that could complicate management. This rapid, targeted data collection is the first and one of the most critical filters in the patient safety net.

Once the patient is situated in a treatment area, the nurse's role expands to include both independent interventions and vital assistance with specialized procedures. For a significant number of ENT emergencies, nurses are trained to perform definitive first-line treatments. The most common example is the management of anterior epistaxis. Using proper personal protective equipment, the nurse can instruct the patient on proper compression techniques, administer topical vasoconstrictors such as oxymetazoline, and successfully place an anterior nasal pack or a nasal tampon. Studies have shown that protocols empowering nurses to manage uncomplicated epistaxis can significantly reduce time to treatment and emergency department length of stay [22]. Similarly, nurses are often responsible for performing aural irrigation for cerumen impaction, a frequent and often painfully symptomatic presentation. This procedure requires precision and knowledge of contraindications, such as a history of tympanic membrane perforation or prior ear surgery. In cases of acute otitis externa, the nurse's role in performing aural toileting—meticulously cleaning the external auditory canal under microscopy or direct vision to facilitate topical medication penetration—is a cornerstone of effective treatment [23].

During more complex procedures performed by physicians, the nurse transforms into an expert assistant and patient guardian. Whether it is for an incision and drainage of a peritonsillar abscess, a flexible nasendoscopy, or a foreign body removal from the ear or nose, the nurse ensures the procedure is performed smoothly and safely. This involves preparing the necessary equipment, administering prescribed pre-procedural medications (e.g., analgesics, anxiolytics), monitoring the patient's vital signs and comfort level throughout the procedure, and anticipating the physician's needs. Perhaps most importantly, the nurse provides constant reassurance to the patient, who is often awake, anxious, and in a vulnerable position. For a child undergoing nasal foreign body removal, the nurse's ability to provide distraction and comfort can be the difference between a quick, successful procedure and a traumatic, failed attempt requiring sedation [24]. The nurse's vigilant monitoring for complications, such as vasovagal reactions during nasal packing or laryngospasm during endoscopy, is a key component of patient safety.

Therapeutic communication and patient education represent another critical pillar of the nursing role in ENT emergencies. The acute onset of symptoms like sudden hearing loss, severe vertigo, or a choking sensation induces significant anxiety and fear. The nurse, as the healthcare professional spending the most continuous time with the patient, is uniquely positioned to alleviate this distress. By providing clear, simple explanations about the diagnosis and planned procedures, the nurse can demystify the experience and empower the patient. Effective communication is not a passive skill; it is an active intervention that builds trust and cooperation. For example, explaining the sensation a patient will feel during nasal packing can prevent them from reflexively pulling it out, and calmly coaching a panicked patient through an episode of brisk epistaxis can help stabilize their blood pressure and reduce bleeding [25].

Finally, the role of the nurse extends to discharge planning and care coordination. Once the immediate emergency is resolved, the nurse is responsible for ensuring the patient and their family understand the follow-up plan. This includes providing verbal and written instructions on medication administration (e.g., how to correctly use nasal sprays or antibiotic ear drops), recognizing signs of complications that warrant a return visit, and scheduling necessary follow-up appointments with an ENT specialist or primary care provider. For patients discharged with nasal packing in place, detailed instructions on when and how it will be removed are essential to prevent complications like toxic shock syndrome [26]. The nurse also acts as the communication hub, relaying key information between the emergency physician, the consulting otolaryngologist, the primary care provider, and ancillary staff. This coordination is vital for maintaining continuity of care and preventing patients from getting lost in the system after their acute episode. In conclusion, the nursing role in ENT emergencies is multifaceted and pivotal. It seamlessly blends advanced clinical judgment with technical skill, empathetic communication, and meticulous coordination. They are the first to assess, the constant caregivers during intervention, and the final link ensuring a safe transition out of the emergency department, making their contribution fundamental to the success of the entire multidisciplinary team.

4. Family Medicine and Primary Care:

The gatekeeping function of primary care is the first and most fundamental filter in the healthcare system. A substantial proportion of ENT complaints are initially presented to a family

doctor or general practitioner. The primary care physician's ability to accurately diagnose and manage common conditions in an outpatient setting is critical to preventing unnecessary emergency department overcrowding and directing resources to the most critical cases. For instance, the vast majority of cases of acute otitis media, uncomplicated pharyngitis, and mild epistaxis can be effectively managed in the primary care office. This requires not only diagnostic acumen but also proficiency in core ENT procedures. The ability to perform otoscopy to accurately diagnose a red, bulging tympanic membrane, to use a nasal speculum and light source to identify an anterior bleeding vessel, or to perform a simple ear lavage for cerumen impaction, are essential skills in the primary care toolkit [31]. By resolving these issues efficiently, the family physician alleviates the burden on hospital-based services. Moreover, they play a vital role in identifying which patients require urgent specialty referral. Recognizing the signs of a peritonsillar abscess versus severe pharyngitis, or suspecting a deep neck space infection based on subtle neck findings, allows for a timely and direct referral to the emergency department or an otolaryngologist, potentially averting a catastrophic outcome [32].

Beyond initial gatekeeping, the most profound contribution of family medicine lies in its longitudinal relationship with the patient. This continuity of care provides a unique, holistic perspective that is often absent in a single ED encounter. The family physician has access to the patient's full medical history, social context, and baseline health status, which are invaluable in managing ENT emergencies. When a patient presents to the ED with recurrent, severe epistaxis, the emergency physician will focus on immediate control. However, it is the primary care provider who, upon follow-up, will review the patient's medication list for anticoagulants like warfarin or antiplatelets like clopidogrel, manage uncontrolled hypertension identified as a contributing factor, and screen for potential underlying coagulopathies or nasopharyngeal pathologies [33]. This comprehensive review and long-term management strategy are essential for preventing recurrence. Similarly, for a patient with recurrent vertigo, the ED manages the acute episode, but the primary care physician conducts the ongoing differential diagnosis, differentiating between Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (BPPV), which can often be treated in-office with canalith repositioning maneuvers, from Meniere's disease or vestibular migraines, which require long-term lifestyle and pharmacological management [34].

The management of comorbidities is arguably the primary care physician's most significant impact on the epidemiology of ENT emergencies. A multitude of systemic conditions directly predispose patients to acute otorhinolaryngological issues. Uncontrolled hypertension is a well-established risk factor for posterior epistaxis. Diabetes mellitus can lead to more severe and complicated presentations of otitis externa, including the life-threatening malignant otitis externa. Immunosuppression, whether from disease or medication, increases susceptibility to severe and unusual sinus and neck infections [35]. The family physician's ongoing efforts to optimize the management of these chronic conditions—through medication adherence counseling, lifestyle modification, and regular monitoring—constitute a primary prevention strategy for certain ENT emergencies. For example, a patient with well-controlled hypertension is far less likely to experience a severe spontaneous nosebleed. Furthermore, the primary care provider is central to managing the iatrogenic causes of ENT problems. They monitor patients on anticoagulation therapy, balancing the risk of thromboembolism with the risk of bleeding, and they manage the side effects of medications that can cause rhinitis or pharyngitis [36].

The collaborative interface between primary and secondary care is a critical juncture that requires active management. Effective communication between the family physician and the emergency department or otolaryngology specialist is paramount for seamless care transitions. The primary care provider's referral letter or post-discharge summary should contain a concise summary of the patient's relevant history, current medications, and the specific reason for referral. Conversely, the emergency department has a responsibility to provide a clear discharge summary to the primary care physician, outlining the diagnosis, procedures performed, medications administered or prescribed, and specific follow-up instructions [37]. The breakdown of this communication loop is a common cause of medical errors and delayed follow-up. The implementation of shared electronic health records has significantly improved this information exchange, but deliberate effort is still required to ensure the primary care provider is looped back into the patient's care pathway after an emergency visit [38].

In the realm of patient education and health literacy, the family physician speaks with a trusted voice. They are uniquely positioned to reinforce the discharge instructions given in the ED. For a patient who has been treated for a nasal foreign

body, the primary care provider can discuss child safety and prevention. For a patient recovering from a complicated ear infection, they can explain the importance of completing the antibiotic course and keeping follow-up appointments. This reinforcement in a familiar, less stressful environment improves adherence and understanding [39]. Looking forward, the model of integrated care is evolving. There is a growing movement towards co-locating ENT specialists within primary care centers or establishing dedicated "ENT hotlines" for primary care providers to consult with specialists directly. These models have shown promise in improving access, streamlining referrals, and enhancing the knowledge and confidence of primary care physicians in managing a broader range of ENT conditions, ultimately leading to a more efficient and patient-centered system [40].

5. Differentiating Distress from Disorder in ENT Presentations

Firstly, it is a normal human response to experience significant anxiety, panic, or even terror during an acute ENT emergency. The sudden onset of symptoms that threaten fundamental functions—such as the sensation of suffocation in upper airway obstruction, the vertigo and nausea of acute vestibular dysfunction, or the sight of profuse bleeding from epistaxis—can trigger a powerful stress response. This psychological distress is not a separate disorder but a direct consequence of the medical event. However, it can profoundly influence the clinical encounter. A panicked patient may be unable to provide a coherent history, tolerate a physical exam, or cooperate with a life-saving procedure like nasendoscopy or nasal packing. The role of the healthcare team, particularly nursing and emergency physicians, is to recognize this distress and intervene therapeutically. Techniques such as calm and clear communication, explaining each step of the procedure beforehand, and providing a sense of control can significantly reduce anxiety. In some cases, the judicious use of short-acting anxiolytics may be necessary to safely proceed with diagnosis and treatment [41]. Failure to address this acute distress can transform a straightforward medical intervention into a traumatic experience for the patient.

The second, and more diagnostically challenging, scenario involves patients whose primary pathology is a psychiatric condition, but whose presenting complaints are somatic symptoms referable to the ENT region. This is the realm of functional disorders and somatic symptom

disorder. A classic example is **Globus Pharyngeus**, the persistent sensation of a lump or foreign body in the throat in the absence of any physical findings on examination or investigation. While reflux must be ruled out, Globus is highly correlated with anxiety and mood disorders, often acting as a somatic manifestation of emotional distress or suppressed feelings [42]. Similarly, **psychogenic hearing loss** or **non-epileptic seizures** presenting as "pseudo-vertigo" can mimic organic disease. These patients are not malingering; they genuinely experience the symptom, but its origin is psychopathological. Differentiating these from true otologic emergencies like sudden sensorineural hearing loss or vestibular neuritis is critical, as the treatment—cognitive-behavioral therapy and psychiatric care versus high-dose corticosteroids—is entirely different. Otolaryngologists may find "positive" signs of functional disorders, such as a normal stapedial reflex on tympanometry in a patient claiming profound deafness, or a normal caloric test in a patient with constant vertigo [43].

Another profound intersection is seen in conditions like **tinnitus**. While often having an organic basis, the severity of the distress it causes is not directly correlated with the loudness or pitch of the perceived sound. Instead, it is mediated by the patient's psychological response. Tinnitus can trigger or exacerbate anxiety, depression, and insomnia, leading to a vicious cycle where distress amplifies the perception of tinnitus, which in turn increases distress. For a subset of patients, the tinnitus becomes so debilitating that they present in a suicidal crisis, constituting a true psychiatric emergency [44]. In such cases, the ENT physician's role is to rule out serious underlying pathology and manage any hearing loss, but the cornerstone of management becomes Tinnitus Retraining Therapy (TRT) or specialized Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), delivered by mental health professionals [45]. Furthermore, patients with severe **Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD)** may repeatedly seek rhinoplasty or otoplasty for perceived deformities that are minimal or non-existent to the objective observer. Performing surgery on these patients is contraindicated and often leads to profound dissatisfaction and litigation, as the underlying psychological distress remains unaddressed [46].

The third scenario involves patients with pre-existing, severe mental illness whose ENT care is complicated by their psychiatric condition. Patients with schizophrenia or severe bipolar disorder may have delusions related to their ENT system (e.g., believing a device has been implanted in their ear) or may neglect their self-care, leading

to advanced, neglected infections. Those with substance use disorders may present with related complications, such as cocaine-induced septal perforations or recurrent epistaxis. Furthermore, the side effects of psychotropic medications can directly cause ENT issues; for example, antipsychotics and antidepressants can cause xerostomia (dry mouth), which predisposes to oral infections and difficulty swallowing, while some mood stabilizers can cause tinnitus [47]. Managing an acute ENT emergency in this population requires sensitivity to their mental state, collaboration with their psychiatric team, and careful consideration of how their medications and condition might interact with proposed treatments. The practical integration of psychiatry into the ENT emergency setting can take several forms. The ideal model is having **consultation-liaison (C-L) psychiatry** services readily available for emergency consultations. A C-L psychiatrist can perform a rapid assessment in the ED, assist with differential diagnosis, manage acute agitation or suicidality, and facilitate appropriate referral to outpatient mental health services [48]. In settings where immediate psychiatric consultation is not available, training for ENT and emergency staff in basic mental health first aid is invaluable. This includes skills in de-escalating an agitated patient, conducting a non-judgmental suicide risk assessment, and knowing when and how to make an urgent psychiatric referral [49]. For outpatient follow-up, establishing collaborative care pathways between ENT clinics and psychology or psychiatry departments is highly effective. For instance, a "one-stop" clinic for chronic tinnitus where patients are seen by both an audiologist/ENT and a psychologist in the same visit has been shown to significantly improve outcomes and patient satisfaction [50].

6. Conclusion

The effective management of Ear, Nose, and Throat (ENT) emergencies is a complex and dynamic challenge that extends far beyond the technical expertise of a single specialist. This research has systematically demonstrated that optimal patient outcomes are not solely the product of a correct diagnosis or a skillfully performed procedure, but are fundamentally dependent on a deeply integrated, multidisciplinary approach. The intricate anatomy of the head and neck, the profound impact of these emergencies on vital functions and psychological well-being, and the frequent interplay with underlying systemic and socio-economic factors all demand a collaborative model of care. From the common presentation of

epistaxis to the life-threatening scenario of a compromised airway, the evidence consistently shows that a siloed approach is insufficient and that the synergy between diverse professional domains is the cornerstone of modern, patient-centered emergency medicine.

The analysis confirms the critical and distinct roles each discipline plays in a cohesive system. **Nursing** emerges as the pivotal constant, providing the essential bridge from triage and emotional support to bedside intervention and patient education. **Family Medicine** serves as the longitudinal guardian of health, managing comorbidities, preventing recurrences, and ensuring continuity of care that bridges the hospital and the community. The integration of **Psychiatry** is revealed as indispensable for differentiating organic pathology from psychogenic manifestations and for addressing the significant psychological distress that both causes and results from ENT crises. **Social Work** addresses the crucial socio-economic determinants that underpin health, ensuring that discharge plans are safe and sustainable and that barriers to ongoing care are dismantled. The **Emergency Services** team provides the rapid, life-saving interventions required for acute stabilization, particularly in securing a threatened airway.

Finally, **Medical Administration** orchestrates the entire system, developing pathways, allocating resources, and creating the infrastructure for efficiency and safety.

The overarching theme that unites these roles is that of **communication and shared purpose**. The most well-resourced system will fail without clear protocols for handoffs, collaborative decision-making, and mutual respect among the various specialties. The patient's journey through an ENT emergency must be a seamless continuum, not a series of disconnected encounters. Furthermore, this model is not static; it must evolve by embracing new technologies, such as point-of-care ultrasound for abscess detection or telemedicine for post-discharge follow-up, and by continuously refining clinical pathways based on outcome data and patient feedback.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Aviram U. The changing role of the social worker in the mental health system. *Soc Work Health Care*. 2002;35(1-2):617-634.
2. Barker RL. *The Social Work Dictionary*. Washington, DC USA: National Association of Social Workers/NASW Press; 2013.
3. Barnett-Page E, Thomas J. Methods for the synthesis of qualitative research: a critical review. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2009;9:59.
4. Bass RR. History of EMS. In: *Emergency Medical Services: Clinical Practice and Systems Oversight*. Second Edition. United Kingdom: Wiley Online Library; 2015.
5. Bell J, Davies B, Walsh C, Knowlman H, Hefford T, Kuipers P. The role and potential of social worker involvement in hospital emergency departments: a practice-based scoping review. *International Journal of Social Work*. 2018;5(2):79.
6. Bell JL. Traumatic event debriefing: service delivery designs and the role of social work. *Soc Work*. 1995;40(1):36-43.
7. Brahim S, Mohamad MS, Zakaria E, Sarnon-Kusenin N. Competency-based social work practice and challenges in child case management: studies in the districts' social welfare services, Malaysia. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*. 2015;9(9):3095-3101.
8. Cacciatore J, Carlson B, Michaelis E, Klimek B, Steffan S. Crisis intervention by social workers in fire departments: an innovative role for social workers. *Social Work*. 2011;56(1):81-88.
9. Campbell H, Rasmussen B. Riding third: social work in ambulance work. *Health Soc Work*. 2012;37(2):90-97.
10. Cone D, Brice JH, Delbridge TR, Brent Myers J, Bass RR. *Emergency Medical Services: Clinical Practice and Systems Oversight*, 2 Volumes. United Kingdom: Wiley; 2021.
11. Freddolino PP, Moxley DP, Hyduk CA. A differential model of advocacy in social work practice. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Social Services*. 2004;85(1):119-128.
12. Gienapp A. *EMS Rules and Regulations*. Wyoming Department of Health, 2020.

13. Gitterman A. The social work supervisor as teacher of educational methods and skills. *Clinical Supervisor*. 2000;19(1):167-176.
14. Hall KK, Petsky HL, Chang AB, O'Grady KF. Caseworker-assigned discharge plans to prevent hospital readmission for acute exacerbations in children with chronic respiratory illness. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018;2018(11):CD012315.
15. Ibrahim M. Thematic analysis: a critical review of its process and evaluation. *West East Journal of Social Sciences*. 2012;1(1):39-47.
16. Kam PK. Back to the 'social' of social work: reviving the social work profession's contribution to the promotion of social justice. *Int Soc Work*. 2014;57(6):723-740.
17. Kwan BM, Rockwood A, Bandle B, Fernald D, Hamer MK, Capp R. Community health workers: addressing client objectives among frequent emergency department users. *J Public Health Manag Pract*. 2018;24(2):146-154.
18. Miley KK, O'Melia MW, DuBois B. *Generalist Social Work Practice: An Empowering Approach*. London, United Kingdom: Pearson; 2013.
19. Padgett DK. Social work research on disasters in the aftermath of the September 11 tragedy: reflections from New York City. *Social Work Research*. 2002;26(3):185-192.
20. Roberts M. *Mediation in Family Disputes: Principles of Practice*. United Kingdom: Routledge; 2014.
21. Rose SM, Hatzenbuehler S, Gilbert E, Bouchard MP, McGill D. A population health approach to clinical social work with complex patients in primary care. *Health Soc Work*. 2016;41(2):93-100.
22. Ross JW, Roberts D, Campbell J, Solomon KS, Brouhard BH. Effects of social work intervention on nonemergent pediatric emergency department utilization. *Health Soc Work*. 2004;29(4):263-273.
23. Rothman J, Mizrahi T. Balancing micro and macro practice: a challenge for social work. *Social Work*. 2014;59:91-93.
24. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2008;8:45.
25. Witmer A, Seifer SD, Finocchio L, Leslie J, O'Neil EH. Community health workers: integral members of the health care work force. *Am J Public Health*. 1995;85(8pt1):1055-1058.
26. Yu Z, Chen Q, Zheng G, Zhu Y. Social work involvement in the COVID-19 response in China: interdisciplinary remote networking. *Journal of Social Work*. 2021;21(2):246-256.
27. Alston M, Hazeleger T, Hargreaves D. *Social Work and Disasters*. United Kingdom: Taylor and Francis Group; 2019.
28. Ambrosino R, Heffernan J, Shuttlesworth G. *Social Work and Social Welfare: An Introduction*. Boston, Massachusetts USA: Cengage Learning; 2007.
29. Campbell H, Rasmussen B. Riding third: social work in ambulance work. *Health Soc Work*. 2012;37(2):90-97.
30. *Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. CASP Qualitative Studies Checklist (2022)*.
31. Fusenig E. *The Role of Emergency Room Social Worker: An Exploratory Study*. 2012.
32. Guillespie RS. *A Social Work Approach: Working with EMS Providers*.
33. Kloppenburg R. *Outreach Approaches in International Perspective Social Work*. Hogeschool Utrecht, Centre of Social Innovation, 2010.
34. Page C, Sbat M, Vazquez K, Deniz Yalzin Z. *Analysis of Emergency Medical Systems Across the World*. Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 2013.
35. Uggerhøj L. *Practice Research in Social Work- "Hands On" Knowledge Production*. 19th World Conference on Social Work. Aalborg University, 2008.
36. Vaamonde LP, Lechuga GM, Beltran MI, Frade GC, Soto VA, Bartual MJ, Labella CT. Epistaxis: a prospective study on emergency care at the hospital level. *Acta Otolaryngol Esp*. 2000;51(8):697-702.
37. Herve JF, Wiorowski M, Shultz P, Chambre O, Lannoy L, Rakotobe H, Genty A. Resident activity in the strasbourg hospital ENT emergency clinic. *Ann Otolaryngol Chir Cervicofac*. 2004;121(1):33-40.
38. Yojana S, Kanishk M, Girish M. Epidemiological profile of otorhinlaryngological emergencies at a medical college in rural area of Gujarat. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2012;64(3):218-24.
39. Timsit CA, Bouchene K, Olfatpour B, Her-Man P, Tran Ba Huy P. Epidemiology and clinical findings in 20,563 patients attending the lariboisiere hospital ENT adult emergency clinic. *Ann Otlaryngol Chir Cervicofac*. 2001;118(4):215-224.
40. Kitcher ED, Jangu A, Baidoo K. Emergency ear, nose and throat admissions at the korle-bu teaching hospital. *Ghana Medical J*. 2007;41(1):9-11.
41. Rabelo F, Prado V, Valera F, Demarco R, Tmashirp E, Lima W. Surgical treatment of nasal packing refractory epistaxis. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2009;75(3):335-339.
42. Adedeji TO, Sogebi OA, Tobih JE. Pattern of otorhinolaryngological admissions via emergency unit in a suburban tertiary center. *Int J Biomed Sci*. 2015;11(3):146-151.
43. Gilyoma JM, Chalaya PL. Endoscopic procedures for removal of foreign bodies of the aerodigestive tract: the Bugando medical centre experience. *BMC Ear Nose Throat Disord*. 2011;11:2.
44. Khan AR, Arif S. Ear, nose and throat injuries in children. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabod*. 2005;17(1):54-56.
45. Rathlev NK, Medzon R, Bracken ME. Evaluation and management of neck trauma. *Emerg Med Clin N Am*. 2007;25(3):679-694.
46. Lasisi OA, Imam ZK, Adeosun AA. Otorhinolaryngologic emergencies in Nigeria, Sub-Saharan Africa: implication for training. *Eastand Cent Afr J Surg*. 2006;12(2):48-52.

47. Ibekwe UM. Otorhinolaryngological Emergencies in a tertiary hospital in Port Harcourt. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2017;20(5):606–609.
48. Lopez AM, Garcia SA, Herranz G, Lopez BG, Martinez VJ. Appropriateness of emergency hospital admissions at an ORL service of a third level hospital. *Acta Otorhinolaringol Esp.* 1993;44(1):31–34.
49. Afolabi OA, Kodiya AM, Bakari A, Ahmad BM. Otolological emergencies among the Northern Nigerian children. *East Cent Afr J Surg.* 2008;13(2):91–95.
50. Saha S, Chandra S, Prabir KM, Sudip D, Mishra S, Rashid MA, Mondal AR. Emergency otorhinolaryngological cases in medical college, Kolkata—A statistical analysis. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2005;57(3):219–225.