International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 11-No.4 (2025) pp. 9594-9601
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Copyright © IJCESEN

Research Article

Adaptive Cognitive Reality: AI-Driven Personalized Reality for Optimized Human Potential

Akangsha Sunil Bedmutha*

Independent Researcher, USA
* **Corresponding Author Email:** akangshasbedmutha@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4496
Received : 20 October 2025
Revised : 05 December 2025
Accepted : 11 December 2025

Keywords

Adaptive Cognitive Reality,
Brain-Computer Interfaces,
Personalized Cognitive Enhancement,
Multimodal Biosignal Processing,
Human-AI Symbiosis

Abstract:

The convergence of artificial intelligence, neuroscience, and adaptive computing is a unique opportunity to enhance human cognitive performance by means of dynamically personalized environments. Adaptive Cognitive Reality is an integrative conceptual system, which emphasizes on real-time, AI-driven environment change based on personal neurological and emotional conditions. Although the majority of the traditional structures of augmented or virtual reality work on the principles of the priori parameters, this theoretical framework incorporates the non-invasive neural perceiving, computer vision, environmental control, and mixed reality overlays to create a cognitive environment quickly in response to the needs of the user in real-time. The system incorporates multimodal sensing techniques to actively monitor states of cognition, predictive modeling in order to estimate a user's need, and active engines that alter the nature of both physical and digital environments. This article describes an architecture that addresses the emerging need for technologies enhancing cognition while placing ethical considerations, user autonomy, and privacy protection in perspective. The architecture presented forms the basis for human-AI symbiosis, going beyond conventional assistive technologies by fundamentally changing the relationship of individuals to their environments, allowing for enhanced focus, creativity, learning efficiency, and affective self-regulation through personalized optimization of cognition.

1. Introduction

Human cognitive capacity operates within biological constraints that have remained relatively static while environmental complexity and information density have increased exponentially. The digital environment has radically changed the technological landscape where human ability to store, communicate, and calculate information increases exponentially in levels that are far beyond the growth rates of populations and economic levels [1]. Conventional methods of cognitive improvement, including pharmaceutical solutions, to digital productivity solutions, do not produce holistic adaptive ecosystems, focusing on addressing particular symptoms. The growth of smartphones, wearables, and smart homes has demonstrated consumer interest in custom experiences, but these devices are essentially reactive, responding to explicit requests of the user rather than proactively responding to the need because of cognitive state analysis.

The convergence of advances in artificial intelligence, neuroscience, computer vision, and ubiquitous computing now enables a paradigm shift toward proactive cognitive support systems. Recent developments in non-invasive neural monitoring have achieved significant milestones, with electroencephalography systems benefiting from deep learning architectures that have revolutionized the capabilities of biosignal processing. Modern approaches utilize convolutional neural networks, recurrent neural networks, and hybrid architectures specifically tailored to extract meaningful patterns from complex temporal and spatial electroencephalogram data, thus enabling the detection of more sophisticated cognitive states than were possible by traditional signal processing methods from [2]. Capabilities of real-time signal processing have advanced substantially; genuine real-time adaptation is now technically possible for practical applications. Machine learning algorithms have created technical foundations for environments that continuously adapt to individual

neurological patterns, especially deep learning frameworks optimized for the analysis of temporal biosignals. These capabilities, integrated within a single framework, enable the creation of Adaptive Cognitive Reality systems that dynamically optimize environmental conditions for enhanced focus, creativity, learning efficiency, and emotional regulation.

The importance of such systems goes beyond the incremental improvement of productivity. As global problems become increasingly complex and the rate of technological change accelerates, sustaining cognitive performance under demanding conditions is growing in importance. Educational systems need to prepare individuals for careers featuring constant learning and adaptation, while health systems seek non-pharmacological interventions to enhance cognition and support mental health. Knowledge workers increasingly experience information overload and decision complexity in professional environments that enforce continuous task switching, which reduces capacity for deep work. Adaptive Cognitive Reality answers these different needs with a single technological approach that puts human cognitive optimization at the heart of environmental design.

2. Technological Foundations

The core of any adaptive cognitive reality system depends on the accurate, real-time monitoring of neurological and physiological states. Non-invasive electroencephalography technology has undergone, and is still undergoing, numerous developments where dry-electrode systems and novel signal processing algorithms make practical continuous monitoring possible outside the laboratory. Current systems are capable of detecting, with sufficient reliability for adaptive applications, neural oscillations associated with attention and cognitive load, emotional valence, and stages of sleep. Recent advances in the field of brain-computer interface technologies have shown great strides in the translation of neural signals into actionable information, wherein machine learning algorithms now perform with great robustness in noisy, real-world environments [3].

Complementing neural monitoring, physiological sensors provide additional dimensions of cognitive state information. The analysis of heart rate variability provides information about the activity of the autonomic nervous system and the reaction to stress, where patterns are presented to correspond with the mental and emotional conditions. Galvanic skin response is a measure of the level of emotional arousal by observing the variations in the skin conductance due to the activation of the sympathetic nervous system. The fixation duration, saccade patterns, and the dilation of the pupil are some of the indicators of attention patterns, indicators of cognitive load, and visual engagement that are presented by eye-tracking systems. The breathing patterns monitor the stress and anxiety states with the help of the respiratory rate. The integration of these diverse sensing modalities through multi-modal fusion algorithms creates comprehensive cognitive state profiles that surpass the capabilities of any single sensing technology, with research demonstrating that combining multiple physiological signals significantly improves classification accuracy for cognitive and emotional states [4].

Computer vision systems extend the sensing infrastructure beyond body-worn devices by analyzing behavioral indicators observable in natural environments. Facial expression is a method that uses automated action units of particular muscle movements to recognize the state of emotion and degree of engagement. Posture recognition algorithms identify physical signs of fatigue, stress, or deep concentration through the nature of body positioning and the dynamics of movement. Activity recognition systems monitor the patterns of movement that can be associated with the mental conditions, including desk concentration, interaction with colleagues, and anxious idleness. These vision-based approaches minimize the intrusiveness of monitoring while offering rich contextual information on user states and activities, thus easily integrating into everyday environments without the need for constant interaction with wearable devices.

3. System Integration and Architecture

Adaptive Cognitive Reality involves having complex architectural designs that are responsive, stable, and coherent in various ways of adaptation. A hierarchical control system divides the system parts into layers that have varying duties and time periods. The perception layer operates in a continuous fashion, processing sensor streams to extract features and produce real-time estimates of cognitive states by parallel processing pipelines, which operate in parallel on multiple sensory modalities. The tactical layer realizes quick adaptations that respond to immediate cognitive requirements, e.g., support in attention or reduction of stress, and functions on the scale of seconds to minutes. The strategic layer focuses on the medium-term objectives, which may be the accomplishment of tasks or learning objectives, and the adaptations are organized in terms of a timescale in the order of hours. The life

management layer combats lifelong objectives, including the development of skills, habit creation, and overall well-being, putting plans into action that play out in days, weeks, and months. Adaptive intelligent systems have architectures that effectively integrate sensing, decision-making, and action components while retaining flexibility for evolving requirements and environmental changes [5]. Layers are communicating through bi-directional channels that permit decomposition of goals to the top and flow of states to the bottom. The upper layers give context and goals that restrict and direct the lower-level decisions to make sure there is consistency between short-term interventions and long-term goals. The lower layers report state information and adaptation effectiveness, informing higher-layer planning and creating feedback loops enabling the continuous refinement of strategic decisions based on tactical outcomes.

Generic cognitive models provide starting points for new users, but effective Adaptive Cognitive Reality requires deep personalization to capture individual differences in cognitive patterns, environmental preferences, and response characteristics. Initial calibration procedures establish baseline measurements across cognitive states and environmental conditions, creating individual-specific reference points for subsequent monitoring through structured assessment protocols. Active learning strategies guide users through diverse activities and environments during early system use, efficiently exploring the space of possible adaptations to identify effective interventions while minimizing exposure to suboptimal conditions. Continuous learning mechanisms refine models throughout system usage, adapting to changes in user characteristics over time as cognitive patterns shift with skill development, aging, medication changes, and life circumstances. Transfer learning techniques enable systems to leverage knowledge gained from similar users while respecting individual differences, accelerating personalization while maintaining privacy through federated learning approaches. Federated learning enables collaborative model training across distributed devices without centralizing sensitive user data, addressing critical privacy concerns through techniques such as structured and sketched updates that significantly reduce communication costs while maintaining model performance [6]. It is impossible to optimize cognition without the context of the activities of the user, social environments, and external demands. The context-sensitive architectures are designed to take into consideration the knowledge of the activities going on, social context, time of the day, location, future engagements, and the history of recent activities to guide adaptation decisions, such that environmental reshaping is made to respond to short-term demands and long-term goals.

4. Ethical Considerations and Privacy Protection

The greatest ethical dilemma facing Adaptive Cognitive Reality, perhaps, is that systems of experience and cognition may be applied without undermining human autonomy. The very ability to alter environments based on detected cognitive states creates risks of manipulation or coercion, even for systems whose interventions are designed to be beneficial. The systems should be well-designed with mechanisms of transparency that enable the users to know what adaptations are occurring, and the reasons why specific interventions have been chosen. Explainable AI methods produce easily comprehensible accounts of the logic behind changes to the environment, so that algorithmic decision-making procedures become comprehensible to non-technical users. Override capabilities ensure that users have ultimate control over their environments, even when system recommendations are available. At a minimum, systems should provide a mechanism for users to easily pause or undo any adaptation that has taken place. More complex control frameworks may provide users with a way to set constraints on types of adaptation, establish limits on the nature of permissible interventions, or define contexts in which system autonomy is constrained. Approaches to graduated autonomy begin with highly transparent, user-sanctioned adaptations and gradually introduce system initiative as users gain trust and understanding. Value alignment mechanisms ensure that system objectives reflect the values and goals of the individual, rather than imposing externally defined notions of optimality in cognitive states. This basic challenge of control in intelligent systems involves careful consideration of how best to ensure that the most capable systems will remain aligned with human values and intentions, especially as systems become more autonomous in reaching decisions [7].

The information that Adaptive Cognitive Reality systems process is extraordinarily sensitive, as it involves neural signals, emotional states, behavioral patterns, and intimate details of daily life. Protection of privacy in these areas involves technical architectures that are established to reduce the exposure and abuse of data. The edge computing methods, such as processing sensor data wherever possible locally and only extracting the features required by any application in question, without sending raw neural or physiological

signals. Federated learning allows personalization and system improvement without centralizing sensitive data. Differential privacy techniques inject carefully calibrated noise into data employed for system training and improvement, preventing identification of individual patterns within datasets while maintaining statistical properties adequate for machine learning. Differential privacy provides mathematical guarantees that individual records cannot be identified within datasets even by an adversary with substantial background knowledge, a special appeal in protecting sensitive cognitive and physiological data [8]. Homomorphic encryption enables computing on encrypted data, enabling cloud-based processing when necessary without exposing plaintext information. Data minimization: Principles of data minimization guide system design to collect only the information necessary for the stated purposes of collection and to retain data no longer than necessary. User control extends beyond the prohibition on misuse towards positive rights of access, understanding, correction, erasure, and portability of personal information. The benefits of Adaptive Cognitive Reality must not be confined to privileged populations or exclude those who might benefit most. Economic access and fair deployment deserve close attention.

5. Technical Challenges and Research Directions

Nevertheless, even with such achievements, it is still a difficult problem to obtain reliable information about the cognitive state of a person using noisy biological measurements. Inter-subject variability due to individual differences in neural anatomy, skull thickness, and tissue conductivity significantly impacts the quality of electroencephalogram signals and complicates the development of generalizable detection algorithms. Movement artifacts corrupt the recordings during natural behavior, such as unconstrained environments where users continue with daily activities and do not remain fixed in laboratory settings. There is substantial overlap of physiological signatures between emotional and cognitive states that complicates the disambiguation of states without incorporating contextual information. Development of more sophisticated artifact rejection algorithms that differentiate the real neural signals from contamination, individualized forward models for neural source localization accounting for anatomic variations, and multi-modal fusion techniques leveraging strengths from different sensing modalities represent several research challenges. Deep learning approaches demonstrated promising results in decoding electroencephalogram signals, with convolutional neural networks able to learn hierarchical feature representations directly from raw signals with limited manual feature engineering to enable more robust performances under diverse recording conditions and individual differences [9]. Temporal dynamics of cognitive states present particular challenges to real-time systems: many cognitive processes unfold over extended periods, and signal patterns that span seconds to minutes are needed for reliable classification. In contrast, adaptive systems need quick responses to changing conditions, creating a fundamental tension between temporal integration for reliability and low-latency response for timely intervention. Context-dependent signal interpretation requires systems to tease apart cognitive states that give rise to similar physiological signatures but differ in meaning due to situational context. Establishing causal relationships between environmental modifications and cognitive outcomes is methodologically challenging, as it goes beyond simple correlation analyses. The mere correlation of environmental conditions and desirable cognitive states does not prove that modifications causally produce those states, since both may be due to confounding or reverse causation. Natural experiments embedded within system operation, randomized testing frameworks, and sophisticated causal inference techniques help point out which interventions the effective interventions that improve the results instead of correlating with favorable conditions. Individual variability in adaptation responses prevents reliable application of population-level findings to specific users, as what enhances focus for one individual might prove neutral or detrimental for others due to differences in cognitive architecture, preferences, and baseline functioning. Learning to learn paradigms offer especially promising approaches for accelerating adaptation to new users by drawing upon knowledge gleaned from prior experience with similar systems and users, thereby enabling faster personalization with less individually specific training data needed [10]. Multi-objective optimization challenges are that cognitive objectives often involve a tradeoff, which must be balanced carefully. For example, maximizing immediate focus may undermine long-term learning retention by reducing context integration, while eliminating all stress may block useful challenge and the development of resilience necessary for improvement. Integrating diverse environmental control systems, sensing platforms, and computing infrastructure creates extraordinary engineering hurdles, as systems must coordinate multiple subsystems through unified control architectures.

Table 1: *Multimodal Sensing Technologies for Cognitive State Monitoring in Adaptive Cognitive Reality Systems [3, 4]*

Sensing Modality	Measured Parameters	Detected Cognitive States	Key Characteristics
Electroencephalography (EEG)	Neural oscillations, frequency bands	Attention, cognitive load, emotional valence, and sleep stages	Non-invasive, dry-electrode systems, continuous monitoring capability
Heart Rate Variability (HRV)	Autonomic nervous system activity	Stress responses, cognitive and emotional states	Reveals patterns correlating with mental conditions
Galvanic Skin Response (GSR)	Skin conductance changes	Emotional arousal levels	Sympathetic nervous system activation indicator
Eye-Tracking	Fixation duration, saccade patterns, pupil dilation	Attention patterns, cognitive load, and visual engagement	Non-invasive attention monitoring
Respiratory Rate Monitoring	Breathing pattern irregularities	Stress and anxiety states	Real-time emotional state detection
Facial Expression Analysis	Action units, muscle movements	Emotional states, engagement levels	Automated recognition without wearables
Posture Recognition	Body positioning, movement dynamics	Fatigue, stress, intense concentration	Physical markers of cognitive states
Activity Recognition	Movement patterns	Focus levels, collaboration, and disengagement	Distinguishes between work modes

Table 2: *Hierarchical Control Architecture Layers in Adaptive Cognitive Reality Systems [5, 6]*

Control Layer	Time Scale	Primary Responsibilities	Processing Activities	Integration Mechanisms
Perception Layer	Milliseconds to seconds	Real-time cognitive state estimation	Sensor stream processing, feature extraction, multimodal fusion	Parallel processing pipelines
Tactical Layer	Seconds to minutes	Immediate cognitive need response	Rapid adaptation implementation, attention support, stress reduction	Bottom-up state reporting
Strategic Layer	Minutes to hours	Medium-term goal management	Task completion coordination, learning objective management	Bidirectional communication pathways
Life Management Layer	Days to months	Long-term objective planning	Skill development, habit formation, holistic wellbeing strategies	Top-down goal decomposition

Table 3: *Ethical Considerations and Privacy Protection Strategies in Adaptive Cognitive Reality [7, 8]*

Ethical Domain	Protection Mechanism	Implementation Strategy	User Control Features	Technical Approach
Autonomy Preservation	Transparency mechanisms	Explainable AI descriptions	Override capabilities, constraint specification	Interpretable algorithmic reasoning
Value Alignment	Preference elicitation techniques	Multi-objective optimization frameworks	User-guided tradeoff decisions	Personalized objective functions
Privacy Protection	Edge computing	Local sensor data processing	Data access, correction, and deletion rights	Feature extraction without raw signal transmission
Data Security	Differential privacy	Calibrated noise addition	Data portability between systems	Mathematical identification guarantees
Encryption	Homomorphic encryption	Computation on encrypted data	Secure cloud processing	Plaintext information protection
Data Governance	Data minimization principles	Purpose-limited collection	Audit logging, access controls	Anonymization and pseudonymization

Table 4: *Technical Challenges and Solutions in Cognitive State Estimation [9, 10]*

Challenge Category	Specific Issues	Contributing Factors	Proposed Solutions	Advanced Techniques
Signal Quality	Inter-subject variability	Neural anatomy differences, skull thickness, tissue conductivity	Individualized forward models	Deep learning feature extraction
Artifact Contamination	Movement artifacts	Unconstrained natural behavior	Sophisticated artifact rejection algorithms	Automated contamination detection
State Disambiguation	Overlapping physiological signatures	Context-dependent signal interpretation	Multi-modal fusion techniques	Contextual modeling approaches
Temporal Processing	Integration versus latency tradeoff	Extended cognitive process timescales	Hierarchical temporal models	Multi-scale pattern analysis
Causal Inference	Correlation versus causation	Confounding factors, reverse causation	Randomized testing frameworks	Embedded natural experiments
Individual Variability	Response heterogeneity	Cognitive architecture differences	Learning to learn paradigms	Transfer learning acceleration
Multi-objective Optimization	Cognitive state tradeoffs	Competing performance dimensions	Adaptive objective functions	User feedback integration

6. Future Directions and Convergence

The non-invasive sensing technologies have a significant amount of information on the cognitive states, but are prone to the inherent shortcomings in terms of the spatial resolution and the quality of the signal. The latest neural interface technologies, including ultrasound-based neural modulation and optogenetic methods, high-density neurode arrays, etc., can, potentially, make brain-computer communication even more direct. The combination of these technologies within Adaptive Cognitive Reality frameworks could allow the creation of closed-loop neural systems that would monitor and directly modulate neural activity to support cognitive goals. Bidirectional BCI may shift from external environmental modification to direct neural enhancement: instead of manipulating lighting or acoustic conditions, systems may directly stimulate the neural circuits active in attention, memory formation, or emotional regulation. Transcranial direct current stimulation represents one approach that has shown promise for the modulation of cognitive processes using weak electrical currents applied to the scalp, with studies suggesting effects on motor learning, working memory, and attention; however, the mechanisms underpinning these effects and the optimal stimulation parameters continue to be explored [11]. Ethical issues become even sharper when systems intervene directly into neural processing rather than through environmental mediation, requiring careful attention to issues of informed consent, preservation of autonomy, and unintended consequences. Current frameworks focus primarily on individual cognitive optimization, but many important cognitive activities occur in group contexts. The next generation systems may be able to optimize co-located environments to enable group thinking, assist with team problem solving, team creativity, or team decision making. Some of the challenges are surveillance of several individuals at a time, detection of collective cognitive states that are brought about by interaction in groups, and applying environmental manipulations that are advantageous to those of groups as opposed to the summation of individual optima. As artificial intelligence capabilities continue advancing, integration between human cognitive enhancement and AI assistance will likely deepen. Current AI systems provide informational support through knowledge retrieval, analysis, and generation, while Adaptive Cognitive Reality adds a complementary dimension by optimizing human cognitive states for effective AI collaboration. Human-AI cognitive task allocation represents an important optimization domain where systems could analyze both human cognitive states and task characteristics to dynamically assign responsibilities between human and AI components. Large-scale language models have demonstrated remarkable few-shot learning capabilities, adapting to new tasks with minimal examples, suggesting potential for AI systems that can rapidly adjust their interaction patterns based on real-time assessment of human cognitive states and task requirements [12]. Environmental engineering that consistently optimizes cognitive

states might create opportunities for accelerated skill development and enhanced neuroplasticity. Systems could identify sensitive periods where specific types of learning proceed most efficiently and orchestrate experiences that maximize developmental outcomes. Questions arise about potential tradeoffs between optimization for specific skills versus broad cognitive development, as extensive practice in optimized conditions might develop narrow expertise at the cost of cognitive flexibility.

7. Conclusion

Adaptive Cognitive Reality is a core revision of the association between humans and their environments whereby the environmental conditions are dynamic subjects of cognitive processes instead of causal backgrounds. With the combination of neuroscience, artificial intelligence, sensing technologies and environmental control systems, this structure produces a personal cognitive ecosystem continuously optimization of human potential. The technical issues involved include signal processing to machine learning, systems integration, and real-time control and interdisciplinary interaction among neuroscientists, computer scientists, engineers, and domain experts. The ethical factors become the priority as the application of these potentially potent technologies will complement instead of harm human autonomy, privacy, and prosperity. Therefore, the possible effects will include the educational sector, productivity at the workplace, health, and creative activities. With the growing capabilities in artificial intelligence, Adaptive Cognitive Reality provides a vision of enhancement, but not replacement, to maximize human cognitive capacities without eliminating agency and building capabilities. Implementation trajectory-choices made throughout this formative period-will need frameworks centering human values, human autonomy, and holistic wellbeing if humanity's best interests are genuinely to be served.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

[1] Martin Hilbert and Priscila López, "The World's Technological Capacity to Store, Communicate, and Compute Information," Science, 2011. [Online]. Available: https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1200970

[2] Alexander Craik, Yongtian He, and Jose L Contreras-Vidal, "Deep learning for electroencephalogram (EEG) classification tasks: A review," Journal of Neural Engineering, Volume 16, Number 3, 2019 [Online]. Available: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1741-2552/ab0ab5

[3] Luis Fernando Nicolas-Alonso and Jaime Gomez-Gil, "Brain computer interfaces, a review," Sensors, 2012. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/12/2/1211

[4] Sander Koelstra et al., "DEAP: A Database for Emotion Analysis Using Physiological Signals," IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, Volume 3, Issue 1, 2012. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5871728

[5] Barbara Hayes-Roth, "An architecture for adaptive intelligent systems," Artificial Intelligence, Volume 72, Issues 1–2, 1995. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000437029400004K

[6] Jakub Konečný et al., "Federated Learning: Strategies for Improving Communication Efficiency," arXiv:1610.05492, 2017. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.05492

[7] Stuart Russell, "Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Control," ResearchGate, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356505374_Artificial_Intelligence_and_the_Problem_of_Control

[8] Cynthia Dwork and Aaron Roth, "The Algorithmic Foundations of Differential Privacy," Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science, Vol. 9, No. 3–4, 2014. [Online]. Available: https://www.cis.upenn.edu/~aaroth/Papers/privacybook.pdf

[9] Robin Tibor Schirrmeister et al., "Deep learning with convolutional neural networks for brain mapping and decoding of movement-related information

from the human," arXiv:1703.05051v5, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.05051

[10] Sebastian Thrun and Lorien Pratt, "Learning to learn: Introduction and overview," Springer. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4615-5529-2_1

[11] Michael A. Nitsche et al., "Transcranial direct current stimulation: State of the art 2008," Brain Stimulation, Volume 1, Issue 3, 2008. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1935861X08000405

[12] Tom B. Brown et al., "Language models are few-shot learners," arXiv:2005.14165, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165