



Nursing Management of Language Barriers in Clinical Care

Shayiz Musaad Shayiz Alruwaili^{1*}, Alruwaili, Abdulrahman Nawi², Alrowili, Fraiha Maazar³, Waili, Zahed Abdulhadi M⁴, Hayfa Mashl Humid Alshammari⁵, Hamdeah Radhi Alhazmi⁶, Nawal Assam Wadi Alaenzi⁷, Bashayr Salem H Alanazi⁸, Majidah Fayadh G Alanazy⁹, Eidah Mutlaq Alshammari¹⁰, Alenazy, Rehaam Nawi A¹¹

¹Nursing Technician – Branch of the Ministry of Health – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

* **Corresponding Author Email:** shayiza@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0227-9950

²Nursing Technician – Ministry of Health Northern Borders Branch – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: abalruwily@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-8851

³Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: fmalrowili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-0952

⁴Nursing Technician – Al Ma'aqilah Primary Health Care Center – Al-Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Sakaka – Al-Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: zahed8463@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-8953

⁵Nursing Technician – Forensic Medicine Center – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: hayfama@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-7954

⁶Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Aa.9299@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-6955

⁷Nursing Technician – Northern Medical Tower – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: nawal768576@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-5956

⁸Nursing Technician – Badanah Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: bashairsa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-4957

⁹Nursing Specialist – Dumat Al-Jandal General Hospital – Al-Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Dumat Al-Jandal – Al-Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: mfalanazy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-3958

¹⁰Nursing Technician – Eradah and Mental Health Complex – Tabuk Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Tabuk – Tabuk Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: emalshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-2959

¹¹Nursing Specialist – Northern Medical Tower – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: rnalenazy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-1933

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.4659

Received : 01 June 2024

Accepted : 30 June 2024

Keywords

Abstract:

Effective communication is fundamental in healthcare, and language barriers can significantly hinder this process, impacting patient outcomes and satisfaction. Nurses play a critical role in managing these barriers by utilizing various strategies to ensure that patients with limited English proficiency (LEP) receive appropriate care. This includes employing professional medical interpreters, utilizing translation technology,

Nursing management,
language barriers,
clinical care,
communication,
limited English proficiency,
interpreters

and providing bilingual materials whenever possible. Additionally, nurses can receive training in cultural competence to enhance their understanding of diverse populations and their unique communication needs, fostering a more inclusive healthcare environment. Moreover, the establishment of a patient-centered care model is essential in addressing language barriers. Nurses are encouraged to engage patients actively in their care discussions, using visual aids and simplified language to facilitate understanding. By implementing routine screenings for language proficiency and offering resources such as language classes or community support services, nurses can empower patients to advocate for their own health effectively. Ultimately, overcoming language barriers not only improves clinical outcomes but also enhances the overall patient experience, demonstrating the integral role nurses play in bridging communication gaps within diverse patient populations.

1. Introduction

The scope of the problem is vast and expanding. In the United States, over 25 million people are classified as having Limited English Proficiency (LEP), a number that has steadily increased over decades [1]. Similar trends are observable across Europe, Australia, and Canada, where healthcare systems are adapting to linguistically diverse communities [2]. For the nurse at the bedside or in the community, this diversity translates into daily encounters where words fail, meanings are lost, and the subtle cues of pain, anxiety, or misunderstanding are obscured. The consequence is a clinical environment fraught with risk. Research consistently demonstrates that language barriers contribute to a higher frequency of adverse events, including medication errors, misdiagnoses, and procedural complications [3]. Patients with LEP are more likely to experience longer hospital stays, higher rates of readmission, and a greater burden of morbidity from chronic diseases due to challenges in understanding discharge instructions, medication regimens, and self-management plans [4].

Beyond the tangible clinical risks, language barriers inflict significant damage on the intangible yet essential elements of care. The nurse-patient relationship, built on trust, empathy, and shared understanding, is difficult to establish when communication is fractured. Patients who cannot express their symptoms, fears, or preferences in their own language often experience profound frustration, fear, and a sense of powerlessness. This erosion of autonomy violates the ethical principle of respect for persons and undermines informed consent, a cornerstone of ethical healthcare practice [5]. Nurses, in turn, may experience moral distress when they know the care they are providing is compromised by their inability to understand or be understood, yet they lack the resources or systemic support to bridge the gap effectively.

The legal and regulatory landscape further underscores the non-optional nature of this issue. In many countries, mandates exist to ensure meaningful access to healthcare for LEP

individuals. In the U.S., Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Executive Order 13166 require recipients of federal financial assistance, including most hospitals, to provide language assistance services at no cost to the patient [6]. Similarly, in the United Kingdom, the Equality Act 2010 places a duty on service providers to make reasonable adjustments to avoid discrimination [7]. For nursing management, this translates into a professional and institutional obligation to identify language needs proactively and to implement effective, evidence-based communication strategies. Relying on ad-hoc solutions, such as using family members—especially children—or untrained bilingual staff, is not only clinically and ethically problematic but may also fail to meet legal standards for competency and confidentiality [8].

2. The Impact of Language Barriers on Clinical Outcomes and Patient Safety

The presence of a language barrier in a clinical setting is not a neutral variable; it actively and negatively influences the trajectory of care, with demonstrable effects on both process and outcome measures. Nurses, as coordinators and executors of care plans, must understand the multifaceted nature of this impact to appreciate the critical importance of their role in mitigation.

Firstly, language barriers compromise every step of the nursing process. During assessment, the subjective history—the story of the patient's illness—is the foundation of diagnosis and care planning. When a patient cannot describe the quality, location, duration, and context of their symptoms accurately, the nurse's ability to perform a complete and accurate assessment is severely impaired. Key psychosocial factors, family histories, and health beliefs that are crucial for holistic care may remain hidden [9]. This incomplete data collection leads directly to errors in nursing diagnosis and, consequently, to interventions that may be inappropriate or misdirected. For instance, a patient's description of "dizziness" could signify vertigo, presyncope, or

anxiety; misunderstanding this term can lead to faulty prioritization and delayed treatment of serious conditions like arrhythmias or stroke [10]. The execution of interventions is equally perilous. Medication administration, a core nursing responsibility, becomes a high-risk activity. Patients with LEP are significantly less likely to have their medications reconciled correctly, to understand their purpose and dosing schedules, and to report adverse effects [11]. Studies have shown that these patients experience a higher rate of medication errors and adverse drug events, often stemming from miscommunication about drug names, instructions, or warnings [3]. Similarly, obtaining truly informed consent for procedures is fraught with difficulty. The nuanced explanations of risks, benefits, and alternatives required for ethical consent are easily diluted or distorted without precise, professional interpretation. A patient's nod or signed form obtained through broken English or a family member's summary does not constitute valid informed consent [5]. Finally, evaluation of care effectiveness and patient education—critical for discharge planning and chronic disease management—is undermined. Nurses cannot reliably assess a patient's understanding of their condition, their ability to perform self-care (like wound care or insulin injection), or their adherence to follow-up plans if they cannot ask probing questions or understand the patient's responses. This contributes directly to poor health outcomes. Research has consistently linked LEP to poorer glycemic control in diabetes, worse blood pressure management in hypertension, lower rates of cancer screening, and lower satisfaction with care [12]. Patients are less likely to ask questions, express concerns, or engage in shared decision-making, leading to passive receipt of care that may not align with their values or capacities [13].

3. Ethical and Legal Imperatives for Effective Communication

The management of language barriers is deeply rooted in the ethical foundations of the nursing profession. The principle of **beneficence**, the duty to do good and prevent harm, is directly violated when care is compromised by preventable miscommunication. To knowingly provide treatment or education through inadequate channels is to accept an elevated risk of harm, which is antithetical to the nursing mandate. Conversely, **non-maleficence**—the duty to "do no harm"—requires active steps to mitigate the risks inherent in cross-linguistic care, making the use of

competent interpretation a standard of due care rather than an optional courtesy [14].

Furthermore, the principle of **respect for autonomy** is central. Autonomy requires that patients have the capacity to understand their situation and make voluntary, informed decisions about their care. This is impossible without clear, comprehensible communication. A language barrier effectively disables a patient's autonomy, rendering them dependent on others to interpret not only words but their very own choices and rights. The process of informed consent, as previously noted, becomes a hollow formality without meaningful linguistic access [5]. The ethical principle of **justice** demands the fair distribution of healthcare resources and benefits. Systematically providing a lower standard of care, with higher associated risks, to a population based on their primary language is a clear form of injustice and health inequity. Nurses have an ethical obligation to advocate for systems and practices that ensure equitable care for all patients, regardless of language [15].

These ethical imperatives are codified in law and professional standards. Legally, as mentioned, statutes like Title VI in the U.S. establish language access as a civil right. Courts have consistently ruled that the failure to provide competent interpretation can constitute negligence and form the basis for malpractice claims [6]. From a professional standards perspective, nursing bodies worldwide explicitly mandate effective communication. The International Council of Nurses (ICN) Code of Ethics states that nurses must "provide care that respects the... linguistic differences" of patients [16]. The American Nurses Association (ANA) standards stress the nurse's responsibility to "communicate effectively" and to employ interpreters as needed, positioning it as a core component of competent practice [17]. Therefore, for the nurse, addressing language barriers is not a matter of extra effort or goodwill; it is a non-negotiable requirement of ethical, legal, and professional practice. The use of ad-hoc interpreters, such as minor children, other patients, or untrained janitorial staff, is ethically problematic as it breaches confidentiality, places undue burden on the interpreter, and carries a high risk of error and omission [8].

4. Assessment and Identification of Language Needs

Effective management begins with accurate identification. Nurses are often the first point of contact and must be proficient in systematically assessing a patient's language needs and preferred

mode of communication. This process must be standardized and integrated into initial nursing assessments to avoid reliance on assumption or visual cues, which are often misleading.

A crucial first step is to distinguish between linguistic need and cultural preference. While related, they are not synonymous. A patient may speak conversational English but lack the health literacy or specialized vocabulary to discuss complex medical issues. Conversely, a patient may be fully proficient but prefer to use their native language in moments of stress, pain, or vulnerability. The standard practice should be to ask every patient, in a private setting, about their preferred language for discussing healthcare. This question should be part of routine registration and admission protocols. The use of standardized screening tools, such as the simple question, "What is the language you are most comfortable speaking when discussing your health?" is recommended. The response should be clearly documented in the patient's record in a prominent and accessible field [18]. Merely identifying the language is insufficient; the level of proficiency must also be gauged. Hospitals often use a standardized scale, such as noting whether an interpreter is needed for "simple" or "complex" conversations. Nurses must be trained to recognize signs that an interpreter is needed, even if the patient initially declines or seems to manage with basic English. These signs include: frequent requests for repetition, inconsistent or incongruent answers, difficulty following simple instructions, reliance on a family member to answer, or nonverbal cues of confusion or frustration [19]. It is the nurse's professional responsibility to make the clinical judgment that an interpreter is required for safe care, even overriding a patient's initial reluctance out of politeness or a desire not to cause trouble. Documentation of this assessment is critical for continuity of care. The identified need and the patient's preferred language must be recorded in the chart, flagged in the electronic health record (EHR), and communicated during handoffs. This ensures that every member of the healthcare team, from physicians to physiotherapists to pharmacists, is aware of the requirement and can plan their interactions accordingly. Effective systems use visual alerts (like flags or stickers) on charts and bedheads to remind all staff of the communication need [20]. This proactive, systematic approach transforms language access from a reactive, crisis-driven activity into a planned and integral component of the patient's care pathway.

5. The Central Role of Professional Medical Interpreters

The single most effective intervention for overcoming language barriers in clinical care is the use of professionally trained medical interpreters. Professional interpreters are bound by codes of ethics that mandate accuracy, confidentiality, impartiality, and cultural brokering. Their training equips them not only with fluency in two languages but also with a working knowledge of medical terminology, protocols for managing difficult conversations (e.g., delivering bad news), and the role boundaries required in a clinical encounter [21].

The evidence for their positive impact is robust. The use of professional interpreters is associated with improved clinical outcomes that mirror those of English-proficient patients. Studies show that when professional interpreters are used, patients have better comprehension of their diagnosis and treatment, higher adherence to medication, increased satisfaction, and reduced disparities in utilization of preventive services [22]. From a safety perspective, the presence of a professional interpreter significantly reduces the frequency and severity of communication errors that have clinical consequences [23]. They act as a safety valve, ensuring that the clinician's questions and the patient's responses are conveyed with precision.

Nurses must be skilled in the techniques of working *with* an interpreter to maximize effectiveness. The triad model (clinician-patient-interpreter) requires specific behaviors. The nurse should speak directly to the patient ("What is your pain like?") rather than to the interpreter ("Ask her what her pain is like"). Speech should be in clear, relatively short segments to allow for accurate consecutive interpretation. The nurse must maintain eye contact and observe the patient's nonverbal communication directly, not through the interpreter. It is also essential to brief the interpreter before the session about the encounter's purpose and any sensitive topics, and to debrief afterwards if needed [24]. Nurses should also be advocates for the appropriate mode of interpretation. While in-person interpreters are ideal for complex, lengthy, or highly sensitive encounters (e.g., end-of-life discussions), telephonic and video remote interpretation (VRI) services offer excellent, immediate access for most routine situations. Video interpretation is particularly valuable as it allows for the observation of body language and facial expressions [25].

6. Technology-Enhanced Solutions: Video Remote Interpreting and Translation Tools

Technology has revolutionized access to language services, particularly in settings where in-person interpreters are not readily available or for less common languages. Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) uses a secure internet connection to provide live, two-way audio and video communication with a professional interpreter on a portable device. This technology brings the advantages of visual cues and near-immediacy to the bedside, clinic, or emergency department. Studies indicate that VRI is superior to telephonic interpretation in achieving patient and provider satisfaction and is effective for a wide range of clinical interactions [26].

Furthermore, technology aids in the translation of written materials. While machine translation tools (like Google Translate) are improving, they are notoriously unreliable for clinical text due to risks of grammatical errors, incorrect terminology, and cultural inaccuracies. Their use should be restricted to translating simple, non-critical phrases (e.g., "Are you hungry?") and must never be used for informed consent documents, discharge instructions, or medication labels without subsequent verification by a human professional [27]. A more reliable approach is the use of pre-translated, evidence-based patient education materials in multiple languages, which institutions should stockpile and make accessible to nurses. For dynamic documents, some EHR systems now integrate with certified translation services that can produce "quick-turn" translations of customized discharge instructions, which can then be reviewed by an in-house linguist if available [28].

Nurses must be trained in the operation of this technology and understand its appropriate applications. This includes knowing how to quickly access VRI services on hospital tablets, troubleshooting basic connection issues, and selecting the correct language dialect. Technology should be seen as a powerful tool to augment, not replace, the human elements of judgment and relationship-building that remain central to nursing care.

7. Developing Cultural and Linguistic Competence in Nursing Practice

While interpreters are the primary tool for overcoming linguistic barriers, the nurse's own development of cultural and linguistic competence (CLC) is fundamental for providing holistic, patient-centered care. CLC goes beyond language to encompass the attitudes, knowledge, and skills needed to work effectively across cultural contexts, including those defined by language difference.

At its core, CLC begins with self-awareness. Nurses must examine their own cultural biases,

assumptions, and potential for stereotyping. This involves reflecting on how one's own communication style (e.g., directness, use of eye contact, personal space) may be perceived by patients from different backgrounds [29]. Building knowledge is the next step. This includes learning about the health beliefs, practices, and communication norms of the predominant cultural groups in one's practice setting. For instance, understanding that silence may indicate respect rather than agreement, or that pain may be expressed somatically rather than verbally in some cultures, can profoundly alter a nurse's assessment and response [30].

On a practical level, nurses can develop "linguistic humility" and a toolkit of basic communication strategies for use when an interpreter is en route or for very brief interactions. This includes learning key courtesy phrases (greetings, thank you) in the most common languages encountered. More importantly, it involves mastering clear, simple English: speaking slowly (not loudly), using plain language and avoiding medical jargon, employing visual aids (pictures, diagrams, models), and demonstrating or "teach-back" techniques to verify understanding [31]. The "teach-back" method, where the nurse asks the patient to explain in their own words what they have been told, is a critical safety check for all patients and is especially vital when working through an interpreter [32].

Healthcare institutions have a responsibility to provide ongoing education and training in CLC for nursing staff. This should not be a one-time lecture but integrated into orientation, continuing education, and simulation-based training where nurses can practice working with interpreters and managing cross-cultural scenarios in a safe learning environment [33].

Nursing Leadership and System-Level Strategies

Individual nurse competence, while essential, is insufficient without supportive systems and strong nursing leadership. Nurse managers, administrators, and advanced practice nurses play a pivotal role in creating the infrastructure and culture that prioritize effective communication as a safety and quality imperative.

Leadership begins with **policy development and implementation**. Clear, written policies must define the institution's commitment to language access, outline procedures for accessing interpreter services (in-person, telephonic, VRI), prohibit the use of untrained interpreters (especially minors), and specify documentation requirements. These policies must be aligned with national regulations and accreditation standards [34]. **Resource allocation** is a tangible expression of this commitment. Leaders must advocate for and secure

budgets for interpreter services, technology (VRI carts, tablets), and the translation of vital documents. They must also ensure staffing models allow nurses the time required to conduct interpreted encounters, which are inherently longer [35].

Quality monitoring and improvement are critical. Nursing leadership should track metrics related to language access, such as: the percentage of LEP patients for whom an interpreter was offered and used, patient satisfaction scores stratified by language, and incident reports where communication was a contributing factor. Data should be analyzed regularly to identify gaps and drive improvement initiatives [36]. Furthermore, leaders must foster a **culture of accountability** where the use of professional interpreters is the expected, default standard of care. This involves modeling the behavior, supporting staff who insist on waiting for an interpreter even under time pressure, and addressing non-compliance not as a personal failing but as a system and training issue [37].

Finally, nurse leaders can champion the recruitment, retention, and career development of a **diverse nursing workforce** that reflects the community's linguistic composition. Bilingual nurses who pass rigorous competency assessments can be valuable resources, but they must be formally credentialed as interpreters, their interpreting duties should be recognized in their workload, and they should not be pulled from their primary nursing responsibilities in an ad-hoc manner [38].

8. Pediatric and Geriatric Considerations

Managing language barriers requires special considerations in vulnerable populations like children and the elderly. In pediatric care, the focus is often on the parent or guardian as the decision-maker. Ensuring they fully understand information is paramount for the child's safety. Using a child as an interpreter for a parent is never acceptable, as it inappropriately burdens the child with adult medical information and reverses family roles [39]. For the pediatric patient themselves, age-appropriate communication through a professional interpreter is still crucial to assess symptoms, provide reassurance, and gain assent when appropriate.

In geriatric care, language barriers can intersect with and exacerbate age-related challenges such as hearing loss, cognitive impairment, and sensory decline. Nurses must be meticulous in distinguishing between a language difference and signs of dementia or delirium. Assessment tools

may need to be adapted and validated in other languages. The pace of interpreted conversation may need to be even slower, with frequent checks for understanding. Involving trained professional interpreters who are experienced with geriatric populations is essential to navigate these complex interactions and ensure that older adults with LEP are not misdiagnosed or their capacity unfairly questioned due to communication difficulties [40].

9. Conclusion

The nursing management of language barriers in clinical care is a complex, demanding, and non-negotiable dimension of professional practice in the 21st century. It stands at the intersection of clinical skill, ethical obligation, and systemic responsibility. As this paper has detailed, language barriers are not mere inconveniences; they are significant determinants of patient safety, clinical outcomes, and health equity. Nurses, by virtue of their constant presence at the point of care, are the key agents in identifying these barriers and implementing solutions.

A comprehensive approach requires a multi-faceted strategy. It begins with the systematic assessment and documentation of language needs. It is centrally supported by the consistent use of professionally trained medical interpreters, aided by judicious application of technology like VRI. It is underpinned by the ongoing development of cultural and linguistic competence within the nursing workforce. Ultimately, however, sustained success depends on strong nursing leadership that creates and enforces policies, allocates resources, monitors quality, and fosters an institutional culture where clear communication is recognized as a fundamental component of safe, ethical, and effective care.

Overcoming language barriers is more than a technical exercise in translation; it is an act of respect, a safeguard against harm, and a commitment to justice. By mastering this aspect of care, nurses uphold the highest ideals of the profession and ensure that the right to understand and be understood in healthcare is a reality for all patients, in every language. The pursuit of this goal remains an urgent and ongoing imperative for nursing practice, education, research, and leadership worldwide.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Betancourt JR, Renfrew MR, Green AR, Lopez L, Wasserman M. Improving Patient Safety Systems for Patients with Limited English Proficiency: A Guide for Hospitals. Washington D.C.; 2012.
2. Alm-Pfrunder AB, Falk AC, Vicente V, Lindström V. Prehospital emergency care nurses' strategies while caring for patients with limited Swedish–English proficiency. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2018;27:3699–3705.
3. Seale Rivas, Kelly. The challenge of communication in interpreted consultations in diabetes care. *Br. J. Gen. Pract.* 2013;63:e125–e133.
4. Azize PM, Cattani A, Endacott R. Perceived language proficiency and pain assessment by registered and student nurses in native English-speaking and EAL children aged 4–7 years. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2018;27:1081–1093.
5. Ali PA, Watson R. Language barriers and their impact on provision of care to patients with limited English proficiency: Nurses' perspectives. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2018;27:e1152–e1160.
6. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien K. Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implement. Sci.* 2010;5:1–18.
7. Amoah VMKA, Anokye R, Boakye DS, Acheampong E, Budu-ainooson A, Okyere E, Kumi-boateng G, Yeboah C, Afriyie JO. A qualitative assessment of perceived barriers to effective therapeutic communication among nurses and patients. *BMC Nurs.* 2019;18:1–8.
8. Balakrishnan V, Roper J, Cossey K, Roman C, Jeanmonod R. Misidentification of English language proficiency in triage: Impact on satisfaction and door-to-room time. *J. Immigr. Minor. Health.* 2016;18:369–373.
9. Davis K, Drey N, Gould D. What are scoping studies? A review of the nursing literature. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2009;46:1386–1400.
10. Coleman J, Angosta A. The lived experiences of acute-care bedside registered nurses caring for patients and their families with limited English proficiency: A silent shift. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2017;26:678–689.
11. Diamond LC, Tuot DS, Karliner LS. The use of Spanish language skills by physicians and nurses: Policy implications for teaching and testing. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 2012;27:117–123.
12. Galinato Montie, Shuman Patak, Titler. Perspectives of nurses on patients with limited English proficiency and their call light use. *Glob. Qual. Nurs. Res.* 2016;3:1–9.
13. Eklof N, Hupli M, Leino-Kilpi H. Nurses' perceptions of working with immigrant patients and interpreters in Finland. *Public Health Nurs.* 2015;32:143–150.
14. Chae D, Park Y. Organisational cultural competence needed to care for foreign patients: A focus on nursing management. *J. Nurs. Manag.* 2019;27:197–206.
15. Rifai E, Janlöv A, Garmy P. Public health nurses' experiences of using interpreters when meeting with Arabic-speaking first-time mothers. *Public Health Nurs.* 2018;35:574–580.
16. Schwei RJ, Del Pozo S, Agger-Gupta N, Alvarado-Little W, Bagchi A, Chen AH, Diamond L, Gany F, Wong D, Jacobs E. a. Changes in research on language barriers in health care since 2003: A cross-sectional review study. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2016;54:36–44.
17. Badger F, Clarke L, Pumphrey R, Clifford C. A survey of issues of ethnicity and culture in nursing homes in an English region: Nurse managers' perspectives. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2012;21:1726–1735.
18. Miller FA, Alvarado K. Incorporating documents into qualitative nursing research. *J. Nurs. Scholarsh.* 2005;37:348–353.
19. Barnes J, Ball M, Niven L. Providing the Family-Nurse Partnership programme through interpreters in England. *Health Soc. Care Community.* 2011;19:382–391.
20. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2018. 2019.
21. Valizadeh L, Zamanzadeh V, Ghahramanian A, Aghajari P, Foronda C. Factors influencing nurse-to-parent communication in culturally sensitive pediatric care: a qualitative study. *Contemp. Nurs.* 2017;53:474–488.
22. Fatahi N, Mattsson B, Lundgren SM, Hellström M. Nurse radiographers' experiences of communication with patients who do not speak the native language. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 2010;66:774–783.
23. Ian C, Nakamura-Florez E, Lee YM. Registered nurses' experiences with caring for non-English speaking patients. *Appl. Nurs. Res.* 2016;30:257–260.
24. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *Int. J. Soc. Res. Methodol.* 2005;8:19–32.
25. McCarthy J, Cassidy I, Graham MM, Tuohy D. Conversations through barriers of language and interpretation. *Br. J. Nurs.* 2014;22:335–339.

26. Pippins JR, Alegria M, Haas JS. Association between language proficiency and the quality of primary care among a national sample of insured Latinos. *Med. Care.* 2007;45:1020–1025.
27. Whitman MV, Davis J. a., Terry AJ. Perceptions of school nurses on the challenges of service provision to ESL students. *J. Community Health.* 2010;35:208–213.
28. Wilson CC. Patient safety and healthcare quality: The case for language access. *Int. J. Heal. Policy Manag.* 2013;1:251–253.
29. Chen Jacobs, Karliner Agger-Gupta, Mutha. The need for more research on language barriers in health care: A proposed research agenda. *Milbank Q.* 2006;84:111–133.
30. Lindholm M, Hargraves JL, Ferguson WJ, Reed G. Professional language interpretation and inpatient length of stay and readmission rates. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 2012;27:1294–1299.
31. Mottelson IN, Sodemann M, Nielsen DS. Attitudes to and implementation of video interpretation in a Danish hospital: A cross-sectional study. *Scand. J. Public Health.* 2018;46:244–251.
32. Taylor RA, Alfred MV. Nurses' perceptions of the organizational supports needed for the delivery of culturally competent care. *West. J. Nurs. Res.* 2010;32:591–609.
33. Bramberg EB, Sandman L. Communication through in-person interpreters: A qualitative study of home care providers' and social workers' views. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2013;22:159–167.
34. Programme C.A.S. CASP Checklists. 2018.
35. Mangione-Sith Arthur, Meischke Zhou, Strelitz A, Garcia Brown. Impact of English proficiency on care experiences in a pediatric emergency department. *Acad. Pediatr.* 2015;15:218–224.
36. Patriksson K, Wigert H, Berg M, Nilsson S. Health care professional's communication through an interpreter where language barriers exist in neonatal care: A national study. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 2019;19:1–8.
37. Shuman C, Montie M, Galinato J, Patak L, Titler M. Registered nurse and nursing assistant perceptions of limited English-proficient patient-clinician communication. *J. Nurs. Adm.* 2017;47:589–591.
38. Granhagen Jungner J, Tiselius E, Blomgren K, Lützén K, Pergert P. Language barriers and the use of professional interpreters: A national multisite cross-sectional survey in pediatric oncology care. *Acta Oncol. (Madr).* 2019;58:1015–1020.
39. Watt K, Hu W, Magin P, Abbott P. "Imagine if I'm not here, what they're going to do?"—Health-care access and culturally and linguistically diverse women in prison. *Health Expect.* 2018;21:1159–1170.
40. VanderWielen LM, Enurah AS, Rho HY, Nagarkatti-Gude DR, MichelsenKing P, Crossman SH, Vanderbilt AA. Medical interpreters: Improvements to address access, equity, and quality of care for limited-English-proficient patients. *Acad. Med.* 2014;89:1324–1327.