International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 12-No.1 (2026) pp. 149-156
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

From Legacy to Cloud: A Case Study in Consolidating Insurance Producer Compensation Platforms

Arunkumar Dorairaj*

[1]Independent Researcher, USA
* **Corresponding Author Email:** arunkdorairaj@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-3037-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4714
Received : 08 November 2025
Revised : 29 December 2025
Accepted : 03 January 2026

Keywords

Legacy System Modernization,
Cloud-Based Compensation Platform,
Producer Licensing Integration,
Data Migration Strategies,
Insurance Digital Transformation

Abstract:

The insurance sector has a massive challenge of getting control over producer compensation based on the broken legacy systems that have been developed over decades of organic expansion and mergers. In this case study, the authors describe the process of transformation of a large insurance company that managed to dismantle several old-fashioned compensation systems to create one common cloud-based system. The project solved some of the most demanding business pains, such as data duplication, high maintenance fees, compliance risk, and lack of operational flexibility. The organization realized significant operational savings through careful fostering of architectural choices using microservices design patterns, careful data migration planning with periodic verification procedures, and an intelligent internal integration process between licensing and compensation modules. Regulatory compliance was assured through the use of real-time validation frameworks to check the credentials of any agent before the actual processing of payments, and the cloud infrastructure ensured the scalability required during peak periods. The transformation provided a quantifiable output, such as substantial cost savings, drastic processing efficiency, improved data quality measures, improved compliance scores, and improved producer satisfaction scores. The flexible architecture of the platform allowed deploying new compensation programs quickly, which gave competitive advantages in the environment of the dynamically evolving market. The experience gained during this enterprise-wide modernization project provides a serious lesson to any insurance carrier considering similar digital transformation initiatives that strategic investments in technology can have a fundamental transformation in operational capabilities without affecting business continuity and regulatory compliance.

1. Introduction

The insurance business is very controlled, and the licensing of producers and the structure of commission under which agent compensation and adherence are governed ensure that the business operates in a well-structured and well-defined setting. Insurance carriers usually have a variety of agent channels, such as Life, Fixed Annuities, and Employee Benefits, which are all characterized by different compensation structures, licensing, and regulatory restrictions. During the organic development and acquisitions over decades, numerous carriers developed a patchwork of different legacy systems that had been serving lines of business or regions.

The digital transformation of the insurance industry has turned into a necessity because companies have realized that technological innovation and shifts in the expectations of customers have a disruptive effect on the old business models [1]. The insurance industry has special challenges of updating technology infrastructure, where the old systems may have decades of business logic and historical data that is difficult to displace with a new system. These systems belong to another period and are not capable of satisfying modern needs in processing in real-time, accessibility via mobile devices, and built-in data analytics.

The old financial systems come with a lot of issues, such as maintenance expenses that are expensive, they fail to adapt to market dynamics, and they are unable to interact with new systems, and the threat of system failure because of the old infrastructure [2]. In the insurance industry, in particular, the following issues are enhanced by the sophistication of compensation systems with multi-level hierarchies, product-specific regulations, and jurisdiction-based licensing standards. The granularity causes data redundancy, whereby the same producer information is found in more than one database, with a possibility of inconsistency, and makes tracing of payment difficult. In this article, the author provides a detailed case study of one of the largest insurance providers, that is planning to decommission several of their old legacy compensation systems and develop a single cloud-based system, and how, through the careful selection of architecture, careful planning of data migration, and careful integration design planning, the project may potentially change their operational efficiency dramatically.

2 Business Situation and Strategic Forces.

The insurance carrier operated producer compensation under five legacy systems that served various business units brought together by historical growth and acquisitions. The Life Insurance division was using a mainframe-based system, and Fixed Annuities commissions were handled using a client-server application. There was a third system in use in Employee Benefits and two more that facilitated specialized product lines and operations in different regions.

The digital imperative of the insurance firms goes beyond mere technology upgrades to core transformations like operations of the organizations and the value they add to the stakeholders [1]. The insurance carriers are under pressure on several different paths, such as changing customer demands for a smooth digital experience, regulatory demands of being more transparent and able to comply, the insurtech startups that operate and proceed with modern technology stacks, and the needs of internal efficiency due to the shrinking profit margins in already mature markets. In the case of producer compensation, in particular, a transformation opportunity would be to develop systems that are able to give real-time data on earnings, automatic compliance checks, and quick adaptation, on flexible rules engines to evolving markets.

Traditional financial systems have intrinsic structural issues that hinder organizational mobility and innovation ability [2]. The systems are usually based on old technology platforms and have little integration, thus they find it hard to link with the new applications and data sources. The coding systems and software structure of the old systems are not fully documented, and the knowledge creators and maintainers of old systems are mostly nearing retirement age, which poses a risk of knowledge transfer. The cost of maintenance increases with age when specialized skills are rare, and vendor support has dwindled, but organizations will still invest in such systems due to the perceived risk and replacement cost seeming prohibitive. The technical debt develops because instead of addressing the underlying architectural flaws in the system, organizations work around them and add patches to the code systems that make them more fragile and prone to failures.

Licensing information of producers and commission information was kept in different databases, and this necessitated manual reconciliation of the information to ascertain compliance. An agent who is selling multiple product lines may be listed with different producer numbers in each system, which makes reporting difficult and causes confusion when subject to regulatory audits. Various systems produced inconsistently formatted commission statements, which decreased the satisfaction of the producers and made the call centers very busy. It was a big financial strain, and the yearly maintenance of the five old systems comprised the vendor licensing fees, infrastructure expenses, and specialized staff, which constituted a great part of the IT budget. System interdependencies turned out to be highly costly to have any improvement, and the changes commonly necessitate adjustments to various platforms.

The IT leadership of the company acknowledged that further investment in old systems was not a good long-term value, and the business continuity was at risk as the vendors became less and less supportive as the institutional knowledge was degraded. These challenges led to the development of the strategic vision behind Project DASH/ELC that would result in the production of a single, cloud-based platform that would ultimately become the authoritative system of record in all aspects of producer licensing, appointments, and compensation calculations. The business case was that the system consolidation would save the business a lot of operational expense,s as well as other gains such as compliance ability, better producer experience due to the continuity of communication, and the ability to implement new compensation programs quickly without expensive changes to the system.

3. Technical Architecture and Platform Selection.

The architecture team compared several compensation management platforms with a list of total technical and business requirements as a documented set of requirements in a well-documented requirements process. The solution had to be able to handle large volumes of transaction processing with monthly end volumes, complex hierarchical compensation packages with multi-tier overrides, detailed audit trails to support regulatory compliance with long retention requirements, and also to carefully integrate with current policy administration, customer relationship management, and accounting systems. The evaluation matrix rated vendors in hundreds of specific criteria based on business priority, which are functional capabilities, technical architecture, vendor viability and support, implementation methodology, and total cost of ownership.

Cloud computing is much more beneficial than traditional on-premises infrastructure, such as less capital expenditure needs, better scalability and flexibility to support variable workloads, greater disaster recovery, and provision of sophisticated services without huge initial investments [5]. The cost-benefit analysis of the adoption of the clouds should also address not only the direct financial influence but also the indirect benefits of the operation. Direct costs encompass cloud service subscription charges, data transfer charges, implementation of applications and data in the cloud environment. Nonetheless, these expenses are compensated with the hardware acquisition, data-centers, energy expenditures, and computing personnel assigned to guarantee maintenance and infrastructure. The indirect benefits are the ability to have faster time-to-market due to new capabilities, better system availability and reliability, increased security courtesy of cloud provider investments in security infrastructure and expertise, and the capability to use more advanced capabilities such as machine learning, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence, which would be prohibitively expensive to build and maintain on their own.

The selection of a dominant cloud-based compensation management platform became the basis of the solution with the team after thorough screening and selection of the products based on detailed product demonstrations, proof-of-concept implementations, reference checks with existing customers, and financial analysis. Such a decision was informed by some of its capabilities, such as insurance-specific compensation logic (including chargeback management, as-earned, and hierarchical rollup logic) built-in, infrastructure to support the modern integration pattern through its application programming interface, cloud-native architecture to ensure scalability and disaster recovery, and a successful history of large-scale insurance implementations. The platform was able to show the processing load that was required to support peak transaction volumes with reasonable response times without disrupting high availability levels.

The general architecture was a microservices-based one with distinct domains and bounded contexts based on the modern architecture principles. Microservice architecture provides many benefits over monolithic application designs such as independent deployment of services ensuring faster release cycles, technology diversity that allows teams to use the most optimal technologies to particular services, resiliency that is brought about by isolation where failures in one service do not trickle to the others, and improved scalability because particular services can be targeted to scale well when demand is high [6]. Agility also in the microservices approach enables small and autonomous teams to own certain services end-to-end and offers reduced coordination overhead and faster development velocity. The microservices architecture, however, creates complexity in the aspects of distributed data management, inter-service communication, and monitoring between multiple services to be addressed with the help of suitable tooling and architecture design.

The Licensing Module, which is coupled with a third-party licensing management system, acted as the authoritative platform of agent credentials, appointments, and hierarchical associations. The module had extensive records on various jurisdictions, appointment records on a variety of product categories, and carried out large numbers of daily transactions on licensing earmarking new license appointments, renewed, or cancellations. All the calculations of payments were done by the Compensation Engine that took into account a wide range of different compensation regulations depending on the type of product, the channel of distribution, the level of the agent contract, and the contract of an individual agent. Monthly commission batches were processed by the engine, which reflected annual producer compensation in the form of substantial monthly commissions. The complexity of calculation was varying in terms of a simple flat-rate commission to the complicated hierarchical overrides with a vesting schedule and chargeback concessions.

A Data Integration Layer was a layer that was created through an enterprise integration platform, which coordinated the flow of data between systems that had an available number of active integration interfaces. Critical integrations covered policy administration systems containing policy and premium data, general ledger integration of commission expenses posting, payment processing platforms of commission disbursement of various payment methods, system-customer relationship management alignment of agent contact information and relationship data, and data warehousing connections of analytical reporting. The implemented integration layer adopted event-driven architecture designs of real-time processing, defined extensive data governance models including ownership and stewardship of designs, designed application programming interface contracts with versioning strategies that allowed independent system development, and adopted dual-write designs during transition periods that retained the functionality of the legacy system and built confidence in the new platform.

The cloud infrastructure used the services of one of the leading cloud service providers whose architecture was distributed and available on several availability zones, which offered high recovery and high availability features. The infrastructure was also able to support the elasticity required to service month-end processing spikes, as the transaction volumes rose to significant levels, with auto-scaling policies that would add giant amounts of compute capacity minutes after a threshold was violated. Multi-region deployment with automated failover capabilities made the system highly available, measured greatly higher than service level agreement commitments. The latency of the cross-region networks allowed almost synchronous replication of data with a small replication delay in regular mode, which guaranteed data consistency throughout the distributed setup and did not impact the performance levels.

4. Migration Strategy and Implementation of Data

Data migration was the most risk-prone element of the project that required much effort in implementation and budgetary allocation. The team was required to migrate large amounts of historical data, which included records of active and inactive producer records, commission transactions records spanning several years, hierarchical relations data that had undergone many organizational structures, and product-specific rules of calculation embedded within the old codes, which included thousands of lines of business logic across several programming languages and database systems. The stakes have been so high because any data integrity problems could lead to wrong commission payments, compliance breaches, lawsuits due to producer conflicts, and poor relationships with the producer force, which might lead to agent loss with huge revenue consequences.

Patterns of success in data migration. Enterprise data migration also highlights the key role of thorough planning, validation through iterative testing, and stakeholder involvement over the migration lifecycle [7]. Effective large-scale migrations involve the use of proven patterns such as proper profiling of data to be migrated including identification of the characteristics of the source system and any problems with data quality, design of elaborate migration architecture and tooling, performance of a series of trial migrations in non-production systems, establishment of elaborate validation frameworks to identify and fix discrepancies between data, and maintenance of detailed documentation of transformation rules and business logic. Those companies that invest sufficient funds in migration preparation realize very high success rates when compared to those that underestimate complexity and move to implement migrations without proper validation. The study has shown that data quality problems are the major cause of migration failures, and organizations need to dedicate a significant amount of effort to data cleansing, standardization and reconciliation to achieve successful migration.

The migration strategy was done in a phased approach where each stage was highly validated as per industry best practices of data migration patterns. Data profiling the data over a long period using specialized data quality analysis tools was the starting point of the team to comprehend the problem of data quality and to identify orphaned records and breaches of referential integrity, as well as record business rules that were implicit in the data structure and not reflected in formal requirement documentation. This discovery demonstrated that there were considerable challenges such as inconsistent producer identifiers across systems that needed complex matching algorithms and manual adjudication processes, missing or corrupt historical records that needed to be reconstructed with data inference techniques, the effective dates were inconsistently recorded that needed date estimation algorithms with specified accuracy tolerances, and temporal problems where undocumented adjustments to calculations were being made manually in the legacy systems that needed to be reverse engineered.

A technical migration architecture employed a custom-designed extract, transform, and load system that used commercial data integration software to move and transform data and significant processing capacity on the deployed infrastructure. Migration was implemented as a multi-step pipeline comprising of many different steps, each performing different functions such as extraction routines to access source systems during off-peak periods to cause minimal operational impact, cleansing processes to standardize formats and fix discrepancies, transformation logic to map legacy data structures to the new platform data model, validation engines to compare source and target data by using cryptographic hash algorithms and statistical sampling, and reconciliation reports to identify discrepancies to be manually reviewed and resolved by specific business analyst units.

An important innovation was the migration sandbox environment, which is a complete replica of production systems that require significant infrastructure investment during migration preparation. This setup contained complete copies of all legacy databases, full images of the target platform with production equivalent configuration, a replica integration layer with stubbed external systems, and automated testing systems that run thousands of validation test cases. During several months, the team performed several full-scale trial migrations, with each successive improvement of the data quality scores and fewer hours of processing time due to the identification and resolution of the migration problems in a systematic way. The stepwise performance has shown a steady increase in the most important indicators, such as the success rate of validation, the time of processing, and the volume of exceptions that have to be handled manually.

On the last migration of the trials, the data validation success rates were above target levels, and all exceptions have been recorded and accepted by the business stakeholders as a formal exception approval process that requires sign-off by the data stewards, compliance officers, and the leaders of the business units. Processing time reduction was an outcome of optimization undertakings of extract, transform, and load, such as parallel processing deployment, database index optimization, removal of any unnecessary transformation operations, and upgrading hardware capacity to increase processing capacity. The real production migration was conducted in accordance with a well-planned cutover plan during a holiday weekend that was chosen to have as little business impact as possible during a low-volume period in history. Key metrics relating to the migration progress were shown on real-time monitoring dashboards, with automated messages to the team in the event of an anomaly that needed to be addressed, and a hierarchical decision-making framework that ensured all issues were resolved quickly with clear escalation routes and go/no-go decision-making authority.

5. Intertwining of the Compensation and Licensing Systems.

It was also necessary to create smooth collaboration between the licensing management and the calculation of compensation in order to realize the fundamental goal of the project, which was to make sure that the payments were received by agents with legitimate credentials and appointments. In the insurance regulatory environment, carriers must ensure that agents have the proper licenses in the respective jurisdictions and that they have active appointments with the carrier before commission payments are made. In the past, a lot of it was manual, whereby compliance requests were filled out in batches by dedicated compliance personnel who have a turnaround time of several days, which poses compliance risk and caused operational inefficiency, with high annual labor expenses incurred by the manual verification procedure. Cloud-based risk management solutions provide the full capabilities of aggregating real-time data in disparate sources, advanced analytics and modeling to calculate risk exposures, automated compliance monitoring and reporting, and connection with the business systems to implement risk controls directly within the business operations. In the case of insurance carriers in particular, licensing/compensation system integration is a severe risk management measure that sees to it that no compensation will be paid to an agent unless he is credentialed accordingly, further minimizing regulatory inspection outcomes and other possible fines.

The integration architecture introduced a real-time validation structure that established two-way data flow amongst systems. When there was a change in the licensing data (e.g. the expirations of the license, the appointment of a new one, or the termination of the license), the event was published to an enterprise service bus. The compensation system subscribed to these events and instantly changed agent eligibility status and started workflow processes to make any in-flight payments. This pre-payment validation system worked by systematically checking the validation by means of the compensation system, calling a validation application programming interface revealed by the licensing system.

The principles of performance engineering stress the need to optimize several architectural layers in a systematic manner in order to obtain the required performance characteristics [9]. High-performance systems necessitate special consideration of optimization of database queries using appropriate indexing and query tuning, caching mechanisms to limit the costly database actions, asynchronous processing design to avoid blocking actions, connection pooling to effectively utilize database connections, and load balancing to allocate workload to numerous processing nodes. Performance testing must mimic real world production workloads, such as peak volume workloads, to find the bottlenecks and ensure that the system is capable of supporting the expected volume of transactions with reasonable response times.

The licensing system had an active verification by the use of a composite service that conducted several validation checks concurrently, such as active license verification, appointment verification, regulatory flags verification, continuing education verification, and errors and omissions insurance verification. Dealing with exception cases needed advanced business logic whereby licenses expire in set time periods and active notifications are sent to agents that allow time to renew their licenses before being cut off. This integration facilitated the emergence of the strength of new compliance reporting features available via a purpose-built analytics dashboard to business end-users in the compliance, finance, and operations departments.

Table 1: *Business Context and Strategic Drivers [3, 4]*

Challenge Category	Legacy Environment	Impact	Transformation Objective
System Fragmentation	Five separate compensation platforms across business units	Data redundancy, inconsistent producer identifiers, manual reconciliation	Unified cloud-based system of record
Compliance Risk	Manual validation processes with a processing lag	Exposure to payments for unlicensed agents, regulatory findings	Automated real-time validation framework

Table 2: *Technical Architecture Components [5, 6]*

Architecture Layer	Technology Selection	Key Capabilities	Design Patterns
Licensing Module	Third-party licensing management system integration	Authoritative source for credentials, appointments, hierarchies	Event-driven architecture, real-time synchronization
Compensation Engine	Cloud-based compensation management platform	Complex calculation rules, hierarchical rollups, and chargeback processing	Microservices architecture, configurable rules engine
Data Integration Layer	Enterprise integration platform	Orchestration of data flows across multiple systems	API-first design, versioned contracts, message queuing
Cloud Infrastructure	Major cloud services provider	Auto-scaling, multi-region deployment, disaster recovery	Distributed architecture, automated failover, and monitoring
Validation Framework	Composite validation services	Pre-payment credential verification, exception handling	Service composition, circuit breakers, and caching strategies

Table 3: *Data Migration Execution [7, 8]*

Migration Phase	Activities	Tools and Techniques	Quality Measures
Data Profiling	Source system analysis, quality assessment, business rule documentation	Data quality analysis tools, statistical profiling	Quality scorecards across multiple dimensions
Migration Design	Architecture development, transformation logic, validation framework	ETL framework, mapping specifications	Trial migrations in the sandbox environment
Cleansing and Transformation	Standardization, format conversion, business rule application	Automated cleansing processes, transformation pipelines	Hash algorithms, statistical sampling validation
Trial Migrations	Iterative execution, issue identification, optimization refinement	Full-scale sandbox replicas, automated testing	Progressive improvement in validation success rates
Production Cutover	Orchestrated execution, real-time monitoring, exception resolution	Extended processing window, monitoring dashboards, escalation protocols	Final validation against the established threshold

Table 4: *Outcomes and Benefits Achieved [9, 10]*

Benefit Category	Specific Improvements	Measurement Approach	Strategic Impact

Cost Reduction	Infrastructure elimination, vendor licensing termination, and workforce optimization	Annual operational cost tracking, budget variance analysis	Reallocation of resources to innovation initiatives
Processing Efficiency	Reduced month-end processing time, parallel architecture, automated reconciliation	Processing duration metrics, manual intervention tracking	Increased capacity for transaction volume growth
Data Quality	Producer information accuracy, address validity, and tax identification correctness	Reconciliation against external authoritative sources	Reduced operational errors, improved compliance
Compliance Enhancement	Pre-payment validation, automated monitoring, and audit trail completeness	Regulatory examination findings, validation transaction success rates	Risk mitigation, regulatory confidence
Producer Satisfaction	Unified statements, online portal, reduced inquiry volume	Satisfaction surveys, Net Promoter Score, call center metrics	Improved retention rates and recruitment effectiveness
Business Agility	Accelerated program deployment, flexible rules configuration, and rapid response capability	Time-to-market for new programs, enhancement implementation duration	Competitive positioning, market responsiveness

6. Conclusions

The fact that the company is changing the disjointed legacy systems into the consolidated cloud platform is a radical change in how insurance companies are now approaching producer compensation and licensing management as a strategic competency and not a functional requirement. The completed decommissioning of several older systems into a single solution proves that large-scale projects of modernization at the enterprise level can provide immense value at the operational, financial, and strategic levels of performance if planned with sufficient care, validation through multiple iterations, and committed leadership. The deployment has realized substantial cost savings by centralizing infrastructure and automating processes, tremendous savings in processing capacity by parallel computing infrastructures, streamlined workflows, higher quality data by single data governance systems, and improved compliance by real-time validation and automatic monitoring. The producer satisfaction levels enhanced significantly with the establishment of a regular communication format, self-service portal, and clear commission calculations, whereas the organization achieved an unprecedented business agility within the capability of deploying new compensation programs to meet the requirements of the market in the shortest possible time. The combination of licensing and compensation systems built a solid risk control mechanism that guaranteed that payment is remitted to duly credentialed agents only, compliance exposure is removed, and that regulatory examination results are minimized. The cloud-native architecture ensures the ability to scale with the growth in transaction volumes, the ability to expand geographically, and support the advanced analytics and artificial intelligence projects. Lessons learned are that extensive profiling of data before the migration process, trial migration cycles in sandbox settings, and running parallel migrations to generate confidence among the stakeholders, and equal investments in both the technology infrastructure and management of the change process are critical. Insurers struggling with the same set of legacy systems can use these lessons to guide their own change processes by understanding that the journey is a complex and expensive undertaking, but the operational benefits and strategic base would be worth the modernization urgency. The change allows the insurance companies to compete favorably in a more digital market where the expectations of producers, regulatory needs, and competitive forces require contemporary technology platforms with the ability to facilitate innovation, compliance, and provide excellent user experiences in all groups of stakeholders.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.

- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

[1] WalkMe, "The impact of digital transformation in the insurance industry," 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.walkme.com/blog/digital-transformation-insurance/

[2] Stuti Dhruv, "Legacy Financial Systems: Key Challenges and Solutions for Businesses," Aalpha, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.aalpha.net/articles/legacy-financial-systems-challenges-and-solutions/

[3] Jiasi Zhou et al., "Rate Splitting Multiple Access for Multigroup Multicast Beamforming in Cache-Enabled C-RAN," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9573421

[4] Jim Highsmith and Natalie Hollier, "Portfolio Management in the Age of Digital Enterprises," ThoughtWorks Insights, 2016. [Online]. Available: https://www.thoughtworks.com/en-in/insights/blog/portfolio-management-age-digital-enterprises

[5] Prathap Irudayaraj and Saravanan P., "Study on Cloud Computing and Cost-Benefit Analysis," ResearchGate, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339749888_Study_on_Cloud_Computing_and_Cost-Benefit_Analysis

[6] Chiara Civardi, "7 Key Benefits of Microservices Architecture for Modern Applications," Payara, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://payara.fish/blog/benefits-of-microservices-architecture/

[7] Sureshkumar Somayajula, "Enterprise Data Migration Success Patterns: Lessons From Large-Scale Transformations," ResearchGate, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388458617_ENTERPRISE_DATA_MIGRATION_SUCCESS_PATTERNS_LESSONS_FROM_LARGE-SCALE_TRANSFORMATIONS

[8] Amazon Web Services, "AWS for Financial Services." [Online]. Available: https://aws.amazon.com/financial-services/

[9] Sai Jami, "Performance Engineering Guide," Medium, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://medium.com/@satish.jami/performance-engineering-guide-2b63e464dd27

[10] Tonina Yaneva, "Digital Transformation of Insurance Sector," Izvestia Journal of the Union of Scientists - Varna Economic Sciences Series, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358988778_Digital_Transformation_of_Insurance_Sector