



The Impact of Nursing Documentation Timing on Clinical Decision-Making

Mona Falah Sultan Zainalayn^{1*}, Maha Suwayhid Khalifah Alanazi², Noura Essa Saud Alshammari³, Mashaal Khalaf Quryan Alonazi⁴, Deayea Fahad Al-Masoodi⁵, Dhahyah Funaytil Saddah Alruwaili⁶, Fatimah Mohammed Itran Alruwaili⁷, Iftikar Mohammed Alanazi⁸, Alanazi, Fahad Mohammed N⁹, Abdullah Mohammed S Al Baiz¹⁰, Huda Muteb Mari Alruwaili¹¹

¹Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

*** Corresponding Author Email:** is - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5299-0050

²Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children's Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: mimoo_100@icloud.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5220-0050

³Nurse Specialist – Mafrah Al-Rashidi Urgent Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: noealshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5080-0050

⁴Nursing Technician – Al Raed Health Center, Third Riyadh Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Riyadh, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: mkalonezi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5170-0050

⁵Nursing Technician – Al-Adheem Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Samira, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: dalmasudi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5360-0050

⁶Nursing Technician – Hasida Health Center, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Qurayyat, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: dalrewely@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5450-0050

⁷Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: fmalrowaily@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5540-0050

⁸Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children's Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: afarhara@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5630-0050

⁹Nurse Specialist – Branch of the Ministry of Health, Ministry of Health, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: famoalanazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5720-0050

¹⁰Nursing Technician – Al-Quwayiyah General Hospital, First Riyadh Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Quwayiyah, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: aalbez@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5810-0050

¹¹Nurse Specialist – Maternity and Children's Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: gody1454@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5910-0050

Article Info:**DOI:** 10.22399/ijcesn.4731**Received :** 01 July 2024**Accepted :** 30 July 2024**Keywords**

Nursing Documentation Timing;
 Clinical Decision-Making;
 Point-of-Care Charting;
 Delayed Documentation;
 Patient Safety;
 Information Integrity

Abstract:

The timing of nursing documentation, operating on a spectrum from real-time point-of-care entry to delayed retrospective charting, is a critical determinant of clinical decision-making quality and patient safety. When documentation is delayed, the accuracy and specificity of patient data degrade due to human memory limitations, leading to generic or inaccurate entries that compromise the legal record. This delay severs the vital cognitive link between observation and recording, impairing the nurse's own clinical reasoning by forcing retrospective reconstruction of care under significant mental load. For the broader healthcare team, untimely charting creates dangerous informational gaps, disrupting communication during handoffs and hindering continuity of care, as subsequent providers act on outdated or incomplete information. While Electronic Health Records (EHRs) can facilitate immediacy, poor design often exacerbates delay, increasing administrative burden. Ultimately, the cumulative effect of late documentation is an elevated risk of medical errors, missed deteriorations, and adverse outcomes, positioning its timeliness not as a mere administrative task but as a fundamental component of safe, effective patient care and professional accountability.

1. Introduction

Nursing documentation, often referred to as the nursing narrative or patient record, constitutes the legal, professional, and communicative cornerstone of modern healthcare delivery. It is a comprehensive, chronological account of a patient's status, the nursing care provided, and the patient's response to interventions [1]. This documentation serves a multifaceted purpose: it is a permanent legal record, a tool for communication among the multidisciplinary team, a source of data for quality improvement and research, and the basis for reimbursement and accreditation [2]. The integrity, accuracy, and comprehensiveness of the nursing record are therefore not merely administrative concerns but are inextricably linked to patient safety, continuity of care, and ultimately, clinical outcomes. The fundamental principle underpinning all professional documentation is that "if it is not documented, it is not done," a maxim that underscores its legal and ethical weight [3].

The evolution of nursing documentation has been profound, transitioning from paper-based notes and Kardex systems to sophisticated, integrated Electronic Health Records (EHRs). This technological shift promised enhanced legibility, improved accessibility of information, reduced duplication, and the facilitation of data analytics [4]. However, this transition has also introduced new complexities and challenges. Nurses now often navigate multiple screens, dropdown menus, and standardized templates, which can inadvertently alter the narrative flow of patient care and introduce new sources of cognitive load and potential error [5]. Despite these technological advancements, the core ethical and professional obligation for nurses to create a faithful, timely account of care remains unchanged and is enshrined in nursing codes of conduct worldwide. Clinical decision-making is the

cognitive and interactive process through which nurses observe, analyze, and synthesize patient data to identify problems, set goals, choose appropriate interventions, and evaluate outcomes [6]. This process is dynamic, continuous, and highly dependent on the quality and timeliness of available information. Nurses operate as knowledge workers, constantly gathering cues from the patient, the environment, and the clinical record to form a mental model of the patient's situation. This model informs rapid, often high-stakes decisions at the point of care. The clinical record, therefore, acts as an externalized, shared extension of this cognitive model, allowing for collaboration and continuity across shifts and disciplines [7]. When the information within this record is inaccurate, incomplete, or outdated, the foundational basis for sound clinical reasoning is compromised.

Within this critical interplay between documentation and decision-making, the variable of *timing* emerges as a factor of paramount, yet often under-appreciated, significance. Timing refers not simply to whether documentation occurs, but to the temporal relationship between the occurrence of a clinical event, its observation by the nurse, its recording in the patient's record, and its subsequent retrieval and use by the same nurse or another care provider. The spectrum ranges from real-time, point-of-care documentation to delayed, batch documentation performed at the end of a shift or during periods of downtime. This temporal dimension influences the very nature of the data recorded. The central thesis of this analysis is that the timing of nursing documentation is a critical determinant of data accuracy, clinical relevance, and cognitive utility, thereby exerting a direct and substantial impact on the quality, safety, and efficacy of subsequent clinical decision-making for both the documenting nurse and all subsequent caregivers [8].

2. The Spectrum of Documentation Timing: From Point-of-Care to Retrospective Charting

Nursing documentation practices exist on a continuum defined by the lapse between the care event and its recording. At one ideal pole is real-time, point-of-care documentation. This method involves the nurse recording observations, interventions, and patient responses immediately or shortly after they occur, often using mobile workstations or handheld devices at the patient's bedside. At the opposing pole is delayed or retrospective documentation, where charting is accumulated and completed in a batch process, typically at the end of a shift, during breaks, or after all direct patient care tasks are perceived to be complete. Most nurses operate somewhere between these two extremes, navigating a hybrid approach dictated by workload, technological constraints, and unit culture.

Point-of-care documentation is championed for its theoretical alignment with accuracy. The principle of cognitive psychology supporting this is clear: short-term memory is fragile and susceptible to interference and decay. Recording information while the sensory and contextual details are still vivid in the nurse's mind minimizes the risk of forgetting critical nuances, transposing details between patients, or generalizing observations [9]. For instance, the precise description of a wound's odor or the exact timing of a subtle change in a patient's respiratory pattern is most reliably captured in the moment. This immediacy ensures that the documented data is a closer representation of the objective clinical reality. Furthermore, it supports a closed-loop process where assessment directly informs intervention, which is then immediately evaluated and recorded, creating a tight, iterative cycle of care [10].

In contrast, delayed documentation is most often driven by external pressures rather than professional choice. The primary catalyst is excessive workload and chronic understaffing. When nurse-to-patient ratios are high, immediate care needs understandably take precedence over charting. Nurses frequently adopt a strategy of "charting by exception" for routine matters and mentally note critical events to be documented later, a practice known as "holding information in one's head" [11]. This cognitive burden of mentally tracking multiple pieces of data for multiple patients across several hours is immense and inherently risky. Technological barriers also contribute to delay. Lack of accessible hardware (computers, mobile devices), slow software, cumbersome log-in procedures, or poor wireless connectivity can make point-of-care documentation

physically impractical, pushing nurses to cluster documentation tasks [12]. The consequence is a reliance on reconstructed, rather than recorded, narratives, a process fraught with the perils of memory failure and hindsight bias.

3. The Direct Impact on Data Accuracy and Integrity

The timing of documentation exerts a direct and measurable influence on the accuracy and integrity of the data entered into the patient's record. Human memory is not a perfect recording device; it is reconstructive and subject to distortion. The longer the gap between an event and its documentation, the greater the likelihood of error. Critical details can be omitted, timelines can become blurred, and the precise sequence of events can be misremembered [13]. A nurse documenting four hours after the fact may inadvertently combine symptoms from two different time points or attribute an intervention to the wrong causative assessment. This degradation of data fidelity transforms the clinical record from a reliable source of truth into a potentially misleading approximation.

This problem is compounded by the phenomenon of "generic charting" or "cloning," a particular risk with EHRs and delayed documentation. To save time when charting retrospectively, a nurse may copy and paste notes from a previous entry or use vague, standardized phrases that lack patient-specific detail (e.g., "patient rested comfortably" instead of "patient slept for 90 minutes uninterrupted, respiratory rate 16, no accessory muscle use") [14]. This not only fails to convey the unique clinical picture but can perpetuate errors if inaccurate information is copied forward. The legal and clinical ramifications are severe. In the event of an adverse outcome, documentation that is vague, inconsistent, or demonstrates a significant lag between event and recording can be heavily scrutinized and may fail to demonstrate the standard of care provided, regardless of the actual nursing actions taken [15]. The record is the primary evidence in medico-legal proceedings, and its timeliness is a key factor in establishing its credibility.

4. Consequences for the Documenting Nurse's Own Clinical Reasoning

The impact of documentation timing extends beyond the written record to directly shape the cognitive processes of the nurse creating it. Real-time documentation fosters concurrent clinical reasoning. The act of immediately recording an

assessment forces a moment of cognitive processing: the nurse must articulate what they observed, interpret its meaning, and decide on the relevant action. This process crystallizes thinking and can trigger important clinical connections that might be missed if the observation was merely mentally noted [16]. For example, typing "new onset of confusion" may immediately prompt the nurse to check a medication administration record for recent sedatives or order a stat blood glucose test. The documentation becomes an active part of the decision-making loop, not a separate administrative task.

Conversely, delayed documentation forces a shift to retrospective reasoning. The nurse must later reconstruct the shift's events from memory and brief notes. This reconstruction is inherently less reliable and severs the immediate link between data collection, analysis, and action. The opportunity for real-time cognitive synergy is lost. More critically, it creates a dangerous cognitive load. The nurse must mentally juggle unresolved findings, pending tasks, and unrecorded observations for all assigned patients throughout the shift. This "pending documentation" mental list competes for cognitive resources needed for direct patient care, increasing the risk of oversight and error in both spheres [17]. A critical change in one patient's condition noted mentally at 10 AM but not recorded until 4 PM may be forgotten or deprioritized amidst intervening crises, leading to a failure to escalate care appropriately.

5. The Ripple Effect: Impact on Team Communication and Continuity of Care

The patient record is the primary communication tool for the multidisciplinary team, especially during handoffs between shifts, nurses, and disciplines. The timing of documentation fundamentally affects the utility of this communication. Real-time charting creates a living, up-to-date record. An incoming nurse or a physician reviewing the chart can access a near-current picture of the patient's status, recent interventions, and responses. This supports seamless continuity, allowing the oncoming team to make decisions based on accurate, recent data [18]. For example, a nurse documenting a rising temperature and administered antipyretic at 1400 enables the 1500 physician round to make an informed decision about sepsis workup.

Delayed documentation, however, creates informational blackouts and handoff hazards. If the day nurse charts all findings at 1900, the evening nurse coming on at 1900 is making decisions based on data that is, in parts, several hours old. A

patient's gradual deterioration over the afternoon may be completely invisible until the batch documentation is completed, at which point a crisis may already be unfolding. This forces caregivers to rely heavily on verbal handoffs, which, while valuable, are ephemeral, subject to interpretation, and not permanently accessible to all team members like the formal record [19]. The discontinuity introduced by information lag can result in duplicated assessments, delayed interventions, and a fragmented care experience for the patient, as each shift essentially starts with incomplete intelligence.

6. Electronic Health Records (EHRs): Amplifier of Both Benefits and Challenges

The widespread adoption of EHRs has dramatically reshaped the landscape of documentation timing. On one hand, EHRs, particularly when paired with mobile technology, enable true point-of-care documentation. Bedside computers and tablets allow for immediate data entry, integration with monitoring devices for automatic vital sign uploads, and use of structured templates that can prompt for comprehensive assessments [20]. This can, in theory, enhance accuracy and support clinical decision support systems (CDSS) that provide real-time alerts based on freshly entered data (e.g., a warning about a drug-lab value interaction).

Paradoxically, EHRs have also become a major driver of delayed documentation due to poor design and increased administrative burden. Many EHR interfaces are not optimized for clinical workflow. They can be slow, require excessive clicking, and contain redundant or irrelevant fields, a phenomenon known as "note bloat" [21]. Faced with such inefficient systems, nurses find it faster to gather data at the bedside on paper and then spend concentrated time later navigating the EHR to enter it. Furthermore, the EHR has expanded the scope of required documentation for regulatory and billing purposes, creating a "double-documentation" problem where nurses must document both for care and for compliance, further consuming time and pushing charting later into the shift [22]. The result is that technology, intended to streamline, can often inadvertently institutionalize delay.

7. Clinical Outcomes and Patient Safety: The Ultimate Stake

The culmination of inaccurate data, impaired reasoning, and broken communication manifests in tangible risks to patient safety and clinical outcomes. Studies have linked documentation delays and inaccuracies to medication errors,

missed diagnoses, and delayed responses to clinical deterioration. A late-entry note about a patient's complaint of chest pain may result in a delayed ECG and troponin test. Inaccurate documentation of neurologic checks can obscure the early signs of a stroke or increased intracranial pressure [23]. The failure to communicate a patient's fall risk assessment in a timely manner can lead to preventable injuries.

Evidence from high-reliability organizations suggests that closed-loop communication and real-time data sharing are critical for safe care. In fast-paced environments like intensive care units or emergency departments, the value of immediate documentation is particularly acute [24]. Conversely, research has demonstrated that end-of-shift charting marathons are associated with higher levels of nurse fatigue, burnout, and job dissatisfaction, which are themselves independent predictors of adverse events and poor patient outcomes [25]. Therefore, the timing of documentation is not a peripheral administrative issue but a patient safety imperative with a direct line to morbidity and mortality statistics.

8. Strategies for Optimizing Documentation Timing: Towards a Culture of Real-Time Information

Addressing the challenge requires a multi-faceted approach targeting technology, workflow, and organizational culture. The primary technological solution is the optimization of EHRs for clinical usability and point-of-care access. This includes streamlining interfaces, reducing unnecessary clicks, employing voice-to-text dictation, ensuring robust mobile device availability and connectivity, and designing intuitive flows that mirror the nursing process [26]. Clinical decision support must be sensitive and timely, providing alerts based on real-time data entry without contributing to alarm fatigue.

Workflow redesign is equally crucial. Healthcare organizations must critically examine nurse staffing models to ensure feasible nurse-to-patient ratios that allow time for documentation within the flow of care. Implementing protected documentation time or dedicated "charting nurses" can be controversial but may be necessary in certain high-acuity settings [27]. More effectively, cultivating a unit culture that values real-time documentation as integral to safe care, rather than a burdensome add-on, is essential. Leadership must model and reward timely charting practices.

Education and training must evolve to emphasize the *why* behind timeliness, not just the *how* of documentation. Nursing curricula and hospital

orientation programs should include specific training on the cognitive and safety impacts of documentation delay, using case studies to illustrate the very real consequences [28]. Teaching strategies for efficient, concise, and relevant point-of-care charting within the constraints of modern EHRs is a vital skill for the new generation of nurses.

9. Legal, Ethical, and Professional Imperatives

The legal standard for nursing documentation is that it must be accurate, timely, and complete. Courts and nursing regulatory bodies interpret "timely" to mean as close to the time of the event as is reasonably possible. A significant, unexplained delay can be *prima facie* evidence that the documentation is less reliable and may be viewed as an attempt to reconstruct events after the fact, potentially in a self-serving manner [29]. In malpractice litigation, the quality and timeliness of the record are often the central focus, and gaps or delays can be devastating to a defense, even if the actual care provided was appropriate.

Ethically, nurses have a duty of care that encompasses creating a faithful record. The principles of beneficence (doing good) and non-maleficence (avoiding harm) are compromised if delayed or inaccurate documentation leads to poor decisions by other team members [30]. Professional standards from bodies like the American Nurses Association explicitly state that documentation should be contemporaneous with the care provided. Adherence to these standards is not optional but a core component of professional accountability and integrity.

10. Conclusion:

In conclusion, the timing of nursing documentation is a critical, active variable in the clinical decision-making ecosystem, not a passive administrative afterthought. The evidence strongly indicates that delays between patient care events and their recording degrade data accuracy, impair the documenting nurse's own clinical reasoning, disrupt team communication, and ultimately jeopardize patient safety and outcomes. While systemic challenges like staffing shortages and cumbersome EHR design are significant drivers of retrospective charting, they must be confronted as patient safety risks, not immutable realities.

The path forward requires a paradigm shift: documentation must be reconceptualized as a fundamental clinical intervention in its own right, as vital to patient outcomes as medication administration or wound care. This shift

necessitates concerted action on technological, organizational, and educational fronts to make real-time, point-of-care documentation the feasible and valued standard. Investing in user-friendly technology, designing humane workloads, and fostering a culture of information immediacy are not mere efficiency projects—they are essential strategies for safeguarding patients and empowering nurses to fulfill their professional role as knowledgeable caregivers whose expertise is fully and promptly captured in the story of the patient's care. The clock is always ticking in healthcare, and the timeliness of the narrative we write is as important as the care we deliver.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Moore EC, Tolley CL, Bates DW, Slight SP. A systematic review of the impact of health information technology on nurses' time. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2020;27(5):798–807.
2. Vehko T, Hypponen H, Puttonen S, Kujala S, Ketola E, Tuukkanen J, et al. Experienced time pressure and stress: electronic health records usability and information technology competence play a role. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2019;19(1):160.
3. De Groot K, De Veer AJE, Paans W, Francke AL. Use of electronic health records in relation to standardized terminologies: a nationwide survey of nursing staff experiences. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2020;104:103523.
4. Bøgeskov BO, Grimshaw-Aagaard SLS. Essential task or meaningless burden? Nurses' perceptions of the value of documentation. *Nordic J Nurs Res.* 2019;39(1):9–19.
5. Wang N, Hailey D, Yu P. Quality of nursing documentation and approaches to its evaluation: a mixed-method systematic review. *J Adv Nurs.* 2011;67(9):1858–1875.
6. Schenk E, Schleyer R, Jones CR, Fincham S, Daratha KB, Monsen KA. Time motion analysis of nursing work in ICU, telemetry and medical-surgical units. *J Nurs Manag.* 2017;25(8):640–646.
7. American Nurses Association (ANA). Principles for nurse documentation: Guidance for registered nurses. Silver Spring: ANA; 2010.
8. Blair W, Smith B. Nursing documentation: frameworks and barriers. *Contemp Nurse.* 2012;41(2):160–168.
9. Jefferies D, Johnson M, Griffiths R. A meta-study of the essentials of quality nursing documentation. *Int J Nurs Pract.* 2010;16(2):112–124.
10. Michel L, Waeli M, Allen D, Minvielle E. The content and meaning of administrative work: a qualitative study of nursing practices. *J Adv Nurs.* 2017;73(9):2179–2190.
11. Royal College of Nursing. Nurses spend 2.5 million hours a week on paper work - RCN survey. London: Royal College of Nursing; 2013.
12. Hagenars LL, Van Hilten O, Klazinga NS, Jeurissen PPT. Track and trace of administrative costs in the Dutch long-term care system. *J Long-Term Care.* 2021;0(2021):318–328.
13. Roumeliotis N, Parisien G, Charette S, Arpin E, Brunet F, Juvet P. Reorganizing care with the implementation of electronic medical records: a time-motion study in the PICU. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2018;19(4):e172–e1e9.
14. Wisner K, Lyndon A, Chesla CA. The electronic health record's impact on nurses' cognitive work: an integrative review. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2019;94:74–84.
15. OECD . Tackling wasteful spending on health. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2017.
16. Paans W, Nieweg RM, van der Schans CP, Sermeus W. What factors influence the prevalence and accuracy of nursing diagnoses documentation in clinical practice? A systematic literature review. *J Clin Nurs.* 2011;20(17–18):2386–2403.
17. McCarthy B, Fitzgerald S, O'Shea M, Condon C, Hartnett-Collins G, Clancy M, et al. Electronic nursing documentation interventions to promote or improve patient safety and quality care: a systematic review. *J Nurs Manag.* 2019;27(3):491–501.
18. De Groot K, Triemstra M, Paans W, Francke AL. Quality criteria, instruments and requirements for nursing documentation: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *J Adv Nurs.* 2019;75(7):1379–1393.
19. Müller-Staub M, Abt J, Brenner A, Hofer B. Expert report on the responsibility of nursing. Swiss Association for Nursing Science: Bern; 2015.
20. V&VN [Dutch Nurses Association]. Richtlijn Verpleegkundige en Verzorgende Verslaglegging [Guideline nursing documentation]. To be published in 2022.
21. Baumann LA, Baker J, Elshaug AG. The impact of electronic health record systems on clinical

- documentation times: a systematic review. *Health Policy*. 2018;122(8):827–836.
22. OECD WHO. Paying for performance in health care: implications for health system performance and accountability. Buckingham: Open University Press McGraw-Hill; 2014.
23. Sum MT, Chebor MA. Documentation: historical perspectives, purposes, benefits and challenges as faced by nurses. *Int J Humanit Soc Sci*. 2013;3(16):236–240.
24. Cooper AL, Brown JA, Eccles SP, Cooper N, Albrecht MA. Is nursing and midwifery clinical documentation a burden? An empirical study of perception versus reality. *J Clin Nurs*. 2021;30(11–12):1645–1652.
25. Flemming D, Hübner U. How to improve change of shift handovers and collaborative grounding and what role does the electronic patient record system play? Results of a systematic literature review. *Int J Med Inform*. 2013;82(7):580–592.
26. Yen P, Kellye M, Lopetegui M, Saha A, Loversidge J, Chipps EM, Gallagher-Ford L, Buck J. Nurses' time allocation and multitasking of nursing activities: a time motion study. *AMIA Ann Symp Proc*. 2018;2018:1137–1136.
27. Heuer AJ, Parrott JS, Percival D, Kacmarek RM. Examining the phenomenon of the administrative burden in health care, allied health and respiratory care. *J Allied Health*. 2016;45(2):152–156.
28. De Veer AJE, Francke AL. Attitudes of nursing staff towards electronic patient records: a questionnaire survey. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2010;47(7):846–854.
29. Tyler DA, Parker VA, Engle RL, Brandeis GH, Hickey EC, Rosen AK, Wang F, Berlowitz DR. An exploration of job design in long-term care facilities and its effect on nursing employee satisfaction. *Health Care Manage Rev*. 2006;31(2):137–144.
30. De Veer AJE, De Groot K, Brinkman M, Francke AL. Administratieve druk: méér dan kwestie van tijd. [Administratieve pressure: more than a matter of time] Nivel: Utrecht; 2017.