



The Importance of Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Saudi Healthcare Settings

Saleh Manea Saleh Al Jawad^{1*}, Salem Matar Al Sar², Hussain Abdullah Salem³, Qublan Hamad Almutyif⁴, Alhussain Mahdi Saleh Al-Masad⁵, Ghanem Nasser Mohammed Alfuhayid⁶, Mohammed Saleh Almaqbul⁷, Dhaifallah Ali Jobran Al Musri⁸, Salem Mubarak Rehan Algahe⁹, Khader Abdul Salam Hawsawi¹⁰

¹Health Security, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, KSA

* **Corresponding Author Email:** sale2h@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-4450

²Nursing Technician, Najran Health Cluster, Najran, KSA

Email: mata2r@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5249-005Y

³Nursing Specialist, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, KSA

Email: hussai2n@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

⁴Nursing Specialist, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, KSA

Email: qubla2n@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

⁵Nursing Specialist, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, KSA

Email: alhussai2n@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

⁶Nursing Specialist, Yadamah General Hospital, Najran, KSA

Email: ghane2m@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

⁷Health Informatics, Najran Cluster, Najran, KSA

Email: mohamme2d@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

⁸Nursing, HHC-Najran Cluster-KKH, Najran, KSA

Email: al2i@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

⁹Inventory Control Unit, Specialist-Radiological Technology, Najran, KSA

Email: sale2m@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

¹⁰Medical Physics Specialist, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, KSA

Email: khade2r@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-005Y

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.4844

Received : 01 January 2025

Accepted : 30 January 2025

Keywords

Interdisciplinary Collaboration,
Healthcare Teams,
Saudi Arabia,
Vision 2030,
Patient Outcomes,
Patient Safety

Abstract:

Interdisciplinary collaboration is a critical imperative for the advancement of Saudi Arabia's healthcare system, directly supporting the ambitious quality and efficiency goals of Vision 2030. Moving beyond siloed, profession-centric models to integrated, team-based care is essential for addressing the complex burden of chronic diseases, enhancing patient safety, and optimizing resource utilization. Effective collaboration, grounded in mutual respect and structured communication, leads to superior patient outcomes, including reduced errors, improved management of conditions like diabetes and heart disease, and higher satisfaction. While challenges such as traditional hierarchies, insufficient interprofessional education, and systemic barriers exist, strategic investments in team training, collaborative technologies, and supportive leadership can foster a sustainable culture of partnership. Ultimately, cultivating robust interdisciplinary teams is not merely an operational improvement but a foundational strategy for building a resilient, patient-centered healthcare system that safeguards the well-being of the Saudi population and ensures the long-term success of national health reforms.

1. Introduction

The landscape of global healthcare is undergoing a profound transformation, shifting from a traditionally compartmentalized, physician-centric

model towards a more integrated, patient-centered paradigm. This evolution is driven by the increasing complexity of patient needs, particularly those involving chronic diseases, multimorbidity, and the intricate interplay between physical, mental, and social determinants of health. In response to these challenges, interdisciplinary collaboration has emerged not merely as a beneficial approach but as an essential foundation for delivering safe, effective, efficient, and equitable care. It represents a coordinated effort where professionals from diverse disciplines—including medicine, nursing, pharmacy, physiotherapy, nutrition, social work, and healthcare administration—work jointly, sharing responsibilities, expertise, and decision-making to achieve common, patient-defined goals [1]. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, propelled by the ambitious Vision 2030 framework and its accompanying National Transformation Program, finds itself at a critical juncture in this global shift. The Vision's healthcare objectives explicitly emphasize enhancing service quality, improving accessibility, and fostering prevention and community health, all of which are intrinsically dependent on the ability of the healthcare workforce to function as cohesive, collaborative teams [2].

The Saudi healthcare system has historically been characterized by a strong hierarchical structure, with clear lines of authority often centered on the physician. While this model ensured clear accountability, it sometimes led to siloed operations, fragmented communication, and potential gaps in the continuity of care. However, the nation is now navigating a period of unprecedented reform and expansion. The population is growing, lifespans are increasing, and the burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and obesity has reached alarming levels, placing immense strain on healthcare resources [3]. Simultaneously, patient expectations are rising, informed by greater health literacy and global standards of care. Addressing these multifaceted challenges requires more than the sum of individual specialist efforts; it demands a synergistic approach where collective intelligence is harnessed to navigate complexity. For instance, managing a diabetic patient with renal complications, depression, and socioeconomic constraints is beyond the scope of any single practitioner. It necessitates the integrated input of endocrinologists, nephrologists, diabetic nurses, dietitians, mental health professionals, and social workers, all communicating seamlessly around a unified care plan [4].

The imperative for interdisciplinary collaboration in Saudi Arabia is further amplified by the systemic goals outlined in Vision 2030. The shift towards a value-based care model, which rewards outcomes and patient satisfaction rather than volume of services, is predicated on coordinated care pathways. Fragmented care leads to duplicated tests, medication errors, prolonged hospital stays, and patient dissatisfaction—all of which contradict the objectives of efficiency and quality improvement [5]. Moreover, the strategic focus on health promotion and disease prevention necessitates a team-based outreach that bridges clinical care with public health, community services, and digital health technologies. Collaborative practice is also a cornerstone of patient safety initiatives. Numerous international studies have demonstrated that communication failures between healthcare providers are a leading root cause of sentinel events and medical errors [6]. Building robust interdisciplinary communication channels is, therefore, a critical risk-mitigation strategy for Saudi healthcare institutions aspiring to world-class safety standards.

Furthermore, the Saudi context presents unique cultural and demographic considerations that interdisciplinary teams are best equipped to address. The diverse expatriate workforce within the health sector itself, coupled with a patient population exhibiting varied cultural beliefs and health practices, requires a sensitive and coordinated approach to care. A social worker or a culturally competent nurse on the team can provide invaluable insights that shape a treatment plan acceptable to the patient and their family, thereby improving adherence and outcomes. Additionally, the expansion of medical education and the establishment of new academic health science centers in the Kingdom provide a fertile ground for embedding interprofessional education (IPE) from the early stages of professional development, fostering a culture of collaboration for future generations of healthcare providers [7, 8].

2. Theoretical Foundations and Frameworks for Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Understanding interdisciplinary collaboration requires moving beyond a simplistic view of "working together" to appreciating its theoretical underpinnings. It is a complex process grounded in concepts from organizational behavior, social psychology, and systems theory. At its core, effective collaboration is built upon several key principles: shared goals centered on patient welfare, mutual respect and trust among team members, clear and open communication, and shared

accountability for outcomes [9]. Unlike multidisciplinary work, where professionals from different disciplines may work in parallel on the same case but with separate goals and plans, interdisciplinary practice involves integration. Team members engage in joint assessment, planning, and implementation, blurring traditional professional boundaries to create a synergistic, cohesive approach [10]. This integration is crucial for managing complex cases where problems are interconnected and solutions require a holistic perspective.

Several conceptual models provide a framework for understanding and implementing collaboration. The Interprofessional Collaboration (IPC) model, for instance, outlines core components such as interprofessional communication, partnership, coordination, and shared decision-making [11]. Another influential framework is the Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (TeamSTEPPS), developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). TeamSTEPPS emphasizes four teachable, learnable skills: leadership, situation monitoring, mutual support, and communication, providing a structured approach to building team competency [12]. Applying such models in Saudi healthcare settings offers a roadmap for moving from ad-hoc cooperation to structured, reliable teamwork. They provide a common language and set of expectations that can help bridge diverse professional cultures, a particularly valuable tool in the internationally staffed Saudi health sector.

The theoretical justification also lies in systems thinking. The healthcare system is a complex adaptive system, where the interaction of components (professionals, departments) produces outcomes that cannot be predicted by examining parts in isolation [13]. A medication error, for example, is rarely due to a single person's failure but is often the result of a chain of breakdowns across a system—prescribing, transcribing, dispensing, administering. Interdisciplinary collaboration strengthens the links in this chain, creating redundancies and checks that enhance system resilience. By viewing patient care through a systems lens, Saudi healthcare leaders can appreciate that investing in collaboration is an investment in system-wide reliability and safety, directly supporting the quality pillars of Vision 2030.

3. Enhancing Patient Outcomes and Care Quality

The most compelling argument for interdisciplinary collaboration lies in its demonstrable positive impact on patient outcomes across a wide spectrum

of care. Robust evidence links collaborative practice to reduced mortality rates, decreased hospital readmissions, shorter lengths of stay, and fewer medical errors [14]. In the context of chronic disease management, which is a paramount concern in Saudi Arabia, interdisciplinary teams are proven to be highly effective. For patients with congestive heart failure or diabetes, care delivered by coordinated teams including nurses, pharmacists, and dietitians leads to significantly better control of blood pressure, hemoglobin A1c, and lipid levels compared to usual physician-led care [15]. These improvements translate directly into a reduced risk of devastating complications like stroke, amputation, and end-stage renal disease, thereby improving quality of life and reducing long-term disability.

Patient safety is profoundly enhanced through collaboration. Structured communication tools like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) and regular interdisciplinary rounds create a culture where critical information is reliably transmitted, and any team member feels empowered to voice concerns. This is vital for preventing adverse events such as hospital-acquired infections, falls, and medication errors. Studies have shown that units with strong collaborative norms experience significantly lower rates of patient harm [16]. For Saudi hospitals pursuing international accreditation (e.g., from the Joint Commission International or the Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions), demonstrating effective interdisciplinary processes is a core requirement, linking collaboration directly to institutional quality standards.

Beyond clinical metrics, interdisciplinary collaboration significantly improves the patient and family experience. A team that communicates well internally presents a unified, consistent message to the patient, reducing confusion and anxiety. When a social worker addresses financial worries, a clinical pharmacist explains medication regimes, and a physiotherapist outlines a rehabilitation plan, the patient feels comprehensively supported. This holistic attention to the psychosocial dimensions of illness leads to higher patient satisfaction scores, which are increasingly used as key performance indicators in the Saudi healthcare system [17]. Furthermore, collaborative teams are better equipped to provide culturally sensitive care. In a diverse society, having team members who can collectively understand and respect patient beliefs and preferences is essential for building trust and ensuring treatment plans are acceptable and followed.

4. Improving System Efficiency and Economic Sustainability

In an era of constrained resources and rising healthcare costs, interdisciplinary collaboration offers a powerful mechanism for enhancing system efficiency and economic sustainability. Fragmented, siloed care is inherently wasteful, leading to duplication of diagnostic tests, contradictory treatment plans, and inefficient use of professional time. In contrast, a collaborative team conducting a joint assessment and developing a single, integrated care plan eliminates redundancy. This coordination is particularly valuable in managing high-cost, high-need patient populations, such as the elderly with multiple chronic conditions [18]. By proactively managing these patients through coordinated care pathways, interdisciplinary teams can prevent costly acute exacerbations and emergency department visits, achieving the "Triple Aim" of improving population health, enhancing patient experience, and reducing per capita costs.

Operational efficiency within healthcare facilities is also improved. Effective collaboration streamlines workflows and reduces delays. For example, an interdisciplinary discharge planning team that includes nurses, case managers, pharmacists, and therapists can begin planning for a patient's transition home from the day of admission. This proactive approach reduces administrative bottlenecks, minimizes discharge delays, and optimizes bed turnover rates—a critical metric for hospital throughput [19]. In Saudi Arabia's rapidly expanding hospital sector, improving operational flow through better teamwork is essential for meeting growing patient demand without proportional increases in infrastructure and staffing. The economic argument extends to human resource optimization and professional satisfaction. Collaborative practice environments are associated with lower staff turnover and burnout rates. When professionals feel their expertise is valued, when they have supportive colleagues to share the burden of complex decisions, and when they witness the positive outcomes of their collective work, job satisfaction increases [20]. Reducing burnout and turnover saves institutions substantial costs associated with recruitment, onboarding, and lost productivity. For the Saudi healthcare system, which is actively working to develop and retain its national workforce (a key goal of Vision 2030's Saudization initiative), fostering satisfying, collaborative work environments is a strategic investment in human capital stability and growth.

5. Barriers and Challenges to Implementation in the Saudi Context

Despite the overwhelming evidence in its favor, the full-scale implementation of interdisciplinary collaboration in Saudi healthcare settings faces significant, context-specific challenges. One of the most deeply rooted barriers is the traditional hierarchical culture and professional tribalism. The historical model of physician authority can inhibit the open, flattened communication essential for collaboration. Nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals may hesitate to contribute their unique insights or question a physician's decision due to perceived power differentials and fears of reprimand [21]. This hierarchy is not unique to Saudi Arabia but can be particularly pronounced in its healthcare culture, potentially stifling the full participation of all team members and leading to suboptimal decision-making.

A second major challenge is the lack of standardized interprofessional education (IPE) during undergraduate and postgraduate training. Most healthcare professionals in the Kingdom are educated in uniprofessional silos, with little formal opportunity to learn *with, from, and about* each other's roles, responsibilities, and scopes of practice before entering the workforce [7]. This absence of shared foundational training in teamwork principles means new graduates arrive in clinical settings unprepared for collaborative practice, lacking essential skills in interprofessional communication, conflict resolution, and role negotiation. They inherit and perpetuate the existing siloed workflows rather than challenging them.

Operational and systemic barriers also pose substantial hurdles. Chronic understaffing and high patient loads in many public hospitals leave little protected time for essential collaborative activities like team huddles, care conferences, or joint rounds. The pressure to move patients through the system quickly can prioritize individual task completion over team-based planning [22]. Furthermore, many Health Information Technology (HIT) systems in use are not designed to support collaboration. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) may lack shared care planning modules or structured communication platforms, making it difficult for different professionals to co-create, track, and update a unified plan of care in real-time [23]. This technological fragmentation reinforces professional silos.

Finally, there can be a lack of clear organizational policies, leadership support, and performance metrics for collaboration. Without explicit expectations from hospital administration,

supportive team-based infrastructure, and incentives aligned with team outcomes (rather than individual department metrics), efforts to collaborate remain dependent on personal initiative and are often unsustainable [24]. Overcoming these multifaceted barriers requires a coherent, multi-level strategy that addresses cultural norms, educational foundations, operational realities, and systemic enablers simultaneously.

6. Strategies for Fostering a Collaborative Culture

Cultivating a sustainable culture of interdisciplinary collaboration in Saudi Arabia demands a deliberate, multi-pronged strategy that begins with education and extends through leadership, policy, and daily practice. The most foundational intervention is the integration of Interprofessional Education (IPE) into the core curricula of all health professions programs—medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and allied health. IPE initiatives, such as joint simulation exercises, shared case-based learning, and community-based projects, allow students to develop mutual respect, understand professional boundaries and overlaps, and practice teamwork skills in a safe environment before entering clinical practice [25]. Saudi universities and health science colleges, many of which are undergoing rapid development, have a unique opportunity to embed IPE as a standard component, thereby producing a "collaboration-ready" workforce for the future.

Within healthcare institutions, leadership commitment is the single most critical factor for successful implementation. Senior leaders, including hospital directors and department heads, must visibly champion collaboration, allocate necessary resources (time, space, technology), and model collaborative behaviors themselves. This involves creating formal structures such as designated interdisciplinary care teams for specific patient populations (e.g., stroke units, diabetes clinics, geriatric assessment teams) and mandating regular interdisciplinary rounds or huddles [26]. Leadership must also work to flatten hierarchical structures by promoting psychological safety—an environment where any team member feels safe to speak up, ask questions, or report errors without fear of humiliation or punishment.

Investing in team training programs is essential for the existing workforce. Adopting and adapting evidence-based frameworks like TeamSTEPPS for the Saudi context can provide a common language and skill set for all staff. These training programs should focus on practical tools for communication (e.g., SBAR, call-outs, check-backs), situation monitoring, mutual support (e.g., task assistance,

constructive feedback), and team leadership [12]. Training should be interdisciplinary, bringing together mixed groups of professionals to learn and practice together, thereby breaking down stereotypes and building relationships.

Technology must be leveraged as an enabler, not a barrier. Healthcare institutions should prioritize the procurement and customization of EHR systems that facilitate collaboration. Features like integrated care plans viewable and editable by all authorized team members, secure messaging platforms, and shared documentation templates can dramatically improve information sharing and coordination [27]. Finally, the system must align incentives. Performance measurement and reward structures should shift from individual or departmental metrics to team-based and patient-centered outcomes, such as care pathway adherence rates, patient-reported experience measures, and overall clinical outcomes for specific populations managed by the team [28].

7. The Role of Specific Professions in the Collaborative Team

A truly effective interdisciplinary team recognizes and leverages the distinct and complementary expertise of each member. In the Saudi healthcare context, understanding these roles is key to optimizing the team's function. The physician, often the team leader in medical decision-making, contributes diagnostic expertise, formulates the overall treatment strategy, and performs complex procedures. In a collaborative model, the physician's role evolves from a solitary authority to a leader who actively solicits input, synthesizes information from the team, and facilitates consensus on the care plan [29].

Nurses form the backbone of patient-centered collaboration. They provide continuous bedside monitoring, holistic patient assessment, care coordination, and patient/family education. Their unique longitudinal relationship with the patient positions them as crucial advocates and central communicators, integrating information from all team members and relaying it to the patient and family. Clinical pharmacists are indispensable for medication safety and efficacy. They conduct comprehensive medication reviews, identify and resolve drug-related problems (e.g., interactions, inappropriate dosing), provide therapeutic drug monitoring, and educate patients on adherence—directly impacting one of the most critical aspects of care [30].

Allied health professionals, including physiotherapists, dietitians, respiratory therapists, and occupational therapists, provide specialized

interventions that are vital for functional recovery and quality of life. A dietitian managing the nutritional plan for a diabetic or renal patient, or a physiotherapist guiding cardiac rehabilitation, delivers expertise without which the medical plan would be incomplete. Finally, social workers and clinical psychologists address the critical psychosocial determinants of health. They assess and intervene in issues related to mental health, family dynamics, cultural barriers, financial stress, and post-discharge planning—factors that often have a greater impact on long-term outcomes than the clinical treatment itself [9]. In the Saudi cultural context, where family involvement in care is profound, the social worker's role in mediating and engaging the family unit is especially crucial.

8. Conclusion

The journey towards a high-performing, sustainable, and patient-centered healthcare system for the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is inextricably linked to the successful adoption and maturation of interdisciplinary collaboration. Collaborative practice is not a peripheral concept of modern healthcare but its very bedrock, directly driving superior patient outcomes, enhancing safety, improving operational and economic efficiency, and enriching the professional work environment. The complex health challenges facing the nation—from the epidemic of non-communicable diseases to the aspirations of Vision 2030 for quality and accessibility—cannot be solved by professionals working in isolation. They demand the integrated intelligence, shared responsibility, and coordinated action of diverse teams.

The path forward, while challenging, is clear. It requires a fundamental shift from a culture of hierarchy to one of partnership, supported by systemic changes at every level. This transformation begins in the classroom with interprofessional education, is nurtured by committed leadership within healthcare institutions, is operationalized through structured team training and supportive technologies, and is sustained by policies and incentives that reward collective success. The specific cultural and operational context of Saudi Arabia presents unique obstacles, but also a unique opportunity to design collaborative models that respect local norms while embracing global best practices.

Ultimately, interdisciplinary collaboration is the conduit through which the technical expertise of individual healthcare professionals is translated into holistic, compassionate, and effective care for the patient. For Saudi Arabia, investing in building collaborative capacity is an investment in the health

of its people and the resilience of its healthcare system. It is a strategic imperative for turning the ambitious goals of Vision 2030 into a tangible, lived reality for every patient and family across the Kingdom. The call to action is for educators, policymakers, healthcare leaders, and practitioners to collectively champion this paradigm shift, fostering a new generation of healthcare delivered not by individuals, but by truly expert teams.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.
- **Use of AI Tools:** The author(s) declare that no generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing process of this manuscript.

References

1. Barnett T, Hoang H, Stuart J, Crocombe L. "Sorry, I'm not a dentist": perspectives of rural GPs on oral health in the bush. *Med J Australia*. 2016;204(1):26.
2. Al Agili DE, Farsi NJ. Need for dental care drives utilisation of dental services among children in Saudi Arabia. *Int Dent J*. 2020;70:183–192.
3. Meyer BD, Wang R, Steiner MJ, Preisser JS. The effect of physician oral health services on dental use and expenditures under general anesthesia. *JDR Clin Transl Res*. 2020;5(2):146–155.
4. Shimpi N, Schroeder D, Kilsdonk J, Chyou PH, Glurich I, Penniman E, Acharya A. Medical Providers' Oral health knowledgeability, attitudes, and practice behaviors: an opportunity for Interprofessional collaboration. *J Evid Based Dental Pract*. 2016;16(1):19–29.
5. Almazrooa SA, Binmadi NO. The knowledge and attitude of physicians' toward the oral medicine specialty. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg Med Pathol*. 2021;33(1):83–88.

6. Rabiei S, Mohebzi SZ, Patja K, Virtanen JI. Physicians' knowledge of and adherence to improving oral health. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:855.
7. Bakhurji EA, Al-Saif HM, Al-Shehri MA, Al-Ghamdi KM, Hassan MM. Infant oral healthcare and anticipatory guidance practices among dentists in a pediatric care shortage area. *Int J Dentistry*. 2021;2021.
8. Zakirulla M, Laheq MT, Assiri MNM, Al-Alhinyah SAN, Aldowsari M, Alzakari FI, Almoby RA, Almoby RA, Hummadi KA, Abu-Hawi RH, Ali WN, Lahiq AM, Ghubri MA, Asiri MA, Alzahrani EA, Shabab RM, Fathi AA. Oral health care knowledge among nurses in the pediatric intensive care units in Abha, Saudi Arabia. *J Res Med Dent Sci*, 2021, 9(6): 7–14.
9. Emami E, Harnagea H, Girard F, et al. Integration of oral health into primary care: a scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6:e013807.
10. World Health Organization European Office for Integrated Health Care Services. Integrated care. working definition. World health organization, 2001.
11. World Health Organization. Integrated health services—what and why. Making health systems work. World Health Organization, 2008.
12. Loken SY, Wang NJ, Wigen TI. Health nurses' experiences and attitudes regarding collaboration with dental personnel. *BMC Oral Health*. 2016;16(1):66.
13. Harnagea H, Couturier Y, Shrivastava R, Girard F, Lamothe L, Bedos CP, Emami E. Barriers and facilitators in the integration of oral health into primary care: a scoping review. *BMJ Open*. 2017.
14. Alshunaiber R, Alzaid H, Meaigel S, Aldeeri A, Adlan A. Early childhood caries and infant's oral health; pediatricians' and family physicians' practice, knowledge and attitude in Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Dental J*. 2019;31:S96–105.
15. Bhagat V, Hoang H, Crocombe LA, Goldberg LR. Incorporating oral health care education in undergraduate nursing curricula-a systematic review. *BMC Nurs*. 2020;19(1):1–3.
16. Peres MA, Macpherson LM, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, Listl S, Celeste RK, Guarnizo-Herreño CC, Kearns C, Benzian H. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10194):249–260.
17. Alkhazim MA, Althubaiti A. Continuing medical education in Saudi Arabia: experiences and perception of participants. *Journal of Health Specialties*. 2014;2(1):13.
18. Yimenu DK, Adelo ES, Siraj EA, Kassie TA, Hammesso WW, Demeke CA, Emiru YK. Health professionals oral health knowledge and practice: unleashing the hidden challenges. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2020;13:459–469.
19. Al Agili DE. A systematic review of population-based dental caries studies among children in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Dent J*. 2013;25:3–11.
20. Al Rababah DM, Nassani MZ, Baker OG, Alhelih EM, Almomani SA, Rastam S. Attitudes and practices of nurses toward oral care of hospitalized patients: a cross-sectional survey in Riyadh. *Saudi Arabia J Educ Health Promot*. 2018;7:149.
21. Alshathri B, Aljasser N, Kofi M. Knowledge of oral health among family medicine physicians in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia 2020. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2020;9(9):4761–4768.
22. Shi L. The impact of primary care: a focused review. *Scientifica*. 2012;31:2012.
23. Baseer MA, Alenazy MS, Alasqah M, Algabbani M, Mehkari A. Oral health knowledge, attitude and practices among health professionals in King Fahad Medical City. *Riyadh Dent Res J (Isfahan)* 2012;9(4):386–392.
24. Poudel P, Griffiths R, Wong VW, et al. Perceptions and practices of general practitioners on providing oral health care to people with diabetes - a qualitative study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2020;21:34.
25. Smith T, McNeil K, Mitchell R, Boyle B, Ries N. A study of macro-, meso-and micro-barriers and enablers affecting extended scopes of practice: the case of rural nurse practitioners in Australia. *BMC Nurs*. 2019;18(1):1–2.
26. Uribe SE, Innes N, Maldupa I. The global prevalence of early childhood caries: a systematic review with meta-analysis using the WHO diagnostic criteria. *Int J Paediatric Dentistry*. 2021.
27. Duangthip D, Chu CH. Challenges in oral hygiene and oral health policy. *Front Oral Health*. 2020;1:575428.
28. Dörfer C, Benz C, Aida J, Campard G. The relationship of oral health with general health and NCDs: a brief review. *Int Dent J*. 2017;67(Suppl 2):14–18.
29. Prasad M, Manjunath C, Murthy AK, Sampath A, Jaiswal S, Mohapatra A. Integration of oral health into primary health care: a systematic review. *J Fam Med Primary Care*. 2019;8(6):1838.
30. Andrade C, Menon V, Ameen S, Kumar PS. Designing and conducting knowledge, attitude, and practice surveys in psychiatry: practical guidance. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2020;42(5):478–481.