International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 11-No.4 (2025) pp. 4612-4618
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Copyright © IJCESEN

Research Article

Clinical Excellence and Health Security: A Multidisciplinary Approach Involving Respiratory Therapy, Perioperative Care, Physiotherapy, Nursing, and Safety Management

Norah Abdulrahman Al Naaeem[1]*, Hamad Khaled Alsaeed[2], Arwa Saeed Alqahtani[3], Hanan Aql Alharbi[4] , Samah Abdullah Aloufi[5] , Alanoud Mohammed Alshehri[6] , Abeer Lafi Almutairi[7] , Ghaida Ibrahim Bin Zaid[8] , Majeedah Matuq Alsufyani[9] , Rahmah Mohammed Binabd[10]

[1]Respiratory Therapist – Aloyoun City Hospital
* **Corresponding Author Email:** Nouraa.2727@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-3350

[2]Operation Room Technician – Aloyoun City Hospital
Email: hamad-9@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-1050

[3]Health Security (Safety Department) – Maternity and Children Hospital - Dammam
Email: arwa23sa@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-2050

[4]GP – Safety Department - Health Security – Maternity and Children Hospital Dammam
Email: hanan.aql111@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-3050

[5]Physiotherapist – Physical Therapy Department, Armed Forces Center for Health Rehabilitation, Taif, Saudi Arabia
Email: Pt_samah@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-4050

[6]Physiotherapist – Physical Therapy Department, Armed Forces Center for Health Rehabilitation, Taif, Saudi Arabia
Email: p.t.anoud@outlook.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-5050

[7]Physical Therapy – Armed Forces Health Rehabilitation Center
Email: abeer.mutairi10@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-6050

[8]Physiotherapist – Physical Therapy Department, Armed Forces Center for Health Rehabilitation, Taif, Saudi Arabia
Email: Pt.ghaida@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-7050

[9]Physiotherapist – Physical Therapy Department, Armed Forces Center for Health Rehabilitation, Taif, Saudi Arabia
Email: Memoo11413@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-8050

[10]Nurse Specialist – Alahsa Health Cluster – Aljabr Hospital
Email: Rbinabd@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-0050

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.5229
Received : 01 February 2025
Revised : 26 February 2025
Accepted : 28 February 2025

Keywords

Clinical excellence,
health security,
multidisciplinary approach,
respiratory therapy,
perioperative care,
physiotherapy

Abstract:

Clinical excellence and health security are not mutually exclusive aspirations but rather mutually reinforcing outcomes that emerge from the deliberate, structured integration of respiratory therapy, perioperative care, physiotherapy, nursing, and safety management. Respiratory therapy ensures airway patency and optimal mechanical ventilation while preventing ventilator-associated events; perioperative care bridges the surgical continuum with preoperative risk stratification and intraoperative lung protection; physiotherapy mobilizes patients early to counteract deconditioning and secretion retention; nursing provides continuous surveillance, medication safety, and the critical coordination of all bedside activities; and safety management supplies the systemic infrastructure—checklists, just culture, real-time data feedback—that makes reliable, harm-free care possible. When these five disciplines function in silos, adverse events multiply, recovery is delayed, and health security fractures; when they collaborate through co-located rounds, shared electronic health record documentation, cross-training, and rotating governance, the result is a high-reliability system that reduces ventilator-associated pneumonia, shortens intensive care unit length of stay, lowers postoperative pulmonary complications, decreases medication errors, and builds

organizational resilience against pandemics and surges. The evidence unequivocally demonstrates that integration is not an optional enhancement but a fundamental requirement for any healthcare institution committed to both optimal patient outcomes and the prevention of iatrogenic harm.

1. Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape is characterized by an unprecedented convergence of complex disease patterns, technological advancement, and escalating expectations for patient safety and clinical outcomes. Within this intricate environment, the traditional model of siloed medical disciplines has proven increasingly inadequate to address the multifaceted challenges posed by acute and critical illness, emerging infectious threats, and the chronic burden of noncommunicable diseases. Clinical excellence, long defined as the degree to which health services increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge, can no longer be pursued in isolation from the broader framework of health security, which encompasses the proactive and reactive capacities of healthcare systems to prevent, detect, and respond to risks that could compromise patient and population well-being [1].

The rationale for such integration arises from the empirical observation that adverse events, iatrogenic injuries, and suboptimal recovery trajectories frequently occur at the interfaces between disciplines rather than within a single domain. For instance, a patient undergoing major thoracic surgery requires not only the surgical precision of the operative team but also the perioperative management of anesthesia, the postoperative respiratory support provided by respiratory therapists, the early mobilization and airway clearance facilitated by physiotherapists, the vigilant monitoring and medication administration by nursing staff, and the systematic risk mitigation ensured by safety management protocols [2]. A failure in any one of these links—or, more critically, a failure in communication and coordination among them—can precipitate a cascade of complications ranging from ventilator-associated pneumonia to venous thromboembolism, prolonged intensive care unit (ICU) stay, and even mortality. Therefore, the pursuit of clinical excellence is inseparable from the pursuit of health security, as the latter provides the systemic resilience that protects patients from harm while the former drives continuous improvement in therapeutic efficacy [3].

Historically, the evolution of these disciplines followed parallel yet disconnected trajectories. Nursing, as the oldest of the caring professions, established the foundational principles of hygiene, observation, and compassionate bedside care in the mid-nineteenth century through the pioneering work of Florence Nightingale, who demonstrated that systematic environmental management drastically reduced mortality rates among hospitalized soldiers [4]. Respiratory therapy emerged in the twentieth century with the advent of mechanical ventilation and the recognition that specialized technical expertise was required to manage artificial airways and gas exchange. Physiotherapy, rooted in remedial exercise and manual therapy, gradually expanded its scope into acute care, particularly in the management of neurological and cardiorespiratory conditions. Perioperative care evolved from simple surgical assistance into a comprehensive, evidence-based field encompassing preoperative optimization, intraoperative patient safety, and postoperative recovery enhancement. Safety management, the most recent entrant into this constellation, gained formal recognition following the landmark Institute of Medicine report "To Err Is Human" in 1999, which exposed the shocking prevalence of medical errors and catalyzed a global movement toward systems-based approaches to patient safety [5].

The interconnections between these fields are not merely additive but multiplicative in their potential to influence patient outcomes. Consider the paradigm of ventilator-associated events (VAEs), a major threat to health security in ICUs worldwide. The prevention of VAEs requires the respiratory therapist to adhere to lung-protective ventilation strategies and maintain a scrupulously clean ventilator circuit; the nurse to perform regular oral hygiene with antiseptic solutions and maintain the patient in a semirecumbent position; the physiotherapist to implement chest physiotherapy and positioning changes that facilitate secretion drainage; the perioperative team to have minimized aspiration risk during intubation; and the safety management system to enforce ventilator weaning protocols, monitor compliance, and provide real-time feedback on VAE rates [6]. No single discipline can achieve this alone. The evidence is compelling: multidisciplinary ventilator bundles, when implemented with high reliability, reduce VAE incidence by up to 45% and decrease ICU length of stay by an average of three days [7]. This exemplifies how clinical excellence—defined by reduced infection rates and faster weaning—coexists with health security—defined by the

system's capacity to prevent iatrogenic harm and manage ventilated patients safely.

Furthermore, the contemporary threats of pandemics, antimicrobial resistance, and critical care surges have underscored the vulnerability of fragmented healthcare systems. During the COVID-19 pandemic, institutions that possessed established multidisciplinary governance structures—where respiratory therapists, physiotherapists, nurses, perioperative clinicians, and safety managers met regularly, shared data transparently, and coordinated resource allocation—demonstrated significantly lower mortality rates among mechanically ventilated patients compared to those operating in traditional hierarchical silos [8].

2. The Vanguard of Airway and Ventilatory Support

Respiratory therapy represents a cornerstone of acute and critical care medicine, directly governing the patient's most fundamental physiological need: gas exchange. The clinical excellence of a respiratory therapist is measured by proficiency in airway management, mechanical ventilation titration, arterial blood gas interpretation, and the administration of inhaled medications. However, when viewed through the lens of health security, the respiratory therapist also functions as a sentinel for ventilator-associated complications, a guardian against oxygen toxicity, and a critical link in preventing the nosocomial spread of airborne pathogens [9]. The integration of respiratory therapy into a multidisciplinary framework begins with daily goal-directed rounds, during which the respiratory therapist presents weaning parameters, secretion characteristics, and ventilator settings to the team. This collaborative model has been shown to reduce the duration of mechanical ventilation by an average of 1.5 days and decrease the incidence of self-extubation by 60% compared to physician-led rounds where respiratory input is solicited only reactively [10].The specific contributions of respiratory therapy to health security are most evident in the management of artificial airways. Endotracheal tubes and tracheostomy tubes disrupt normal mucociliary clearance, creating a reservoir for biofilm formation and bacterial colonization. Respiratory therapists, through meticulous suctioning technique, cuff pressure monitoring, and humidification optimization, directly mitigate this risk. When these actions are coordinated with nursing's oral care protocols and physiotherapy's positioning and vibration maneuvers, the cumulative effect on ventilator-associated pneumonia reduction is substantial, with some studies reporting a 50% relative risk reduction [11]. Moreover, the respiratory therapist's role in non-invasive ventilation (NIV) has expanded the frontiers of both clinical excellence and health security. NIV, when applied appropriately by a skilled respiratory therapist in a well-monitored setting, reduces the need for invasive intubation by 30–40% in acute hypercapnic respiratory failure, thereby avoiding the inherent risks of sedation, ventilator-associated lung injury, and prolonged immobility. This exemplifies a high-value, low-harm intervention that simultaneously improves outcomes and preserves patient safety [12].

3. Bridging the Surgical Continuum from Preoperative Optimization to Postoperative Recovery

Perioperative care encompasses the temporal arc from the decision to operate through the immediate postoperative period, and its integration with respiratory therapy, physiotherapy, nursing, and safety management is essential for preventing the two most common and dangerous complications: atelectasis and postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs). Clinical excellence in perioperative care demands preoperative risk stratification using validated tools such as the ARISCAT score, intraoperative lung-protective ventilation (tidal volumes of 6–8 mL/kg predicted body weight with positive end-expiratory pressure), and postoperative oxygen therapy and mobilization protocols [13]. Health security, within this domain, requires the systematic elimination of preventable harms such as wrong-site surgery, retained foreign bodies, medication errors during anesthesia, and unplanned postoperative ICU admissions. The World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist, implemented and audited by perioperative teams in conjunction with safety management personnel, has reduced major complications from 11% to 7% and mortality from 1.5% to 0.8% across diverse international settings [14].The intersection of perioperative care with respiratory therapy is particularly critical in thoracic and upper abdominal surgery, where diaphragmatic dysfunction and splinting lead to profound reductions in functional residual capacity. A coordinated protocol whereby the respiratory therapist provides preoperative incentive spirometry training, intraoperative lung-protective ventilation, and postoperative bronchodilator therapy—combined with the physiotherapist's early mobilization and deep breathing exercises—can reduce the incidence of PPCs from as high as 30% to under 10% in high-risk patients [15]. Similarly, the partnership with nursing is indispensable:

perioperative nurses are responsible for verifying nil per os status, administering prophylactic antibiotics, maintaining normothermia, and ensuring safe transfer of care to post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) nurses. Safety management contributes by establishing closed-loop communication systems, such as standardized handoff tools (e.g., SBAR—Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation), which have been shown to reduce information loss by 50% and decrease postoperative adverse events by 23% [16].

4. Mobilizing Recovery and Preventing Deconditioning

Physiotherapy, often underutilized in acute and perioperative settings, is in fact a powerful determinant of both clinical excellence and health security. The physiotherapist's core competencies—airway clearance techniques, therapeutic exercise, positioning, and functional mobility training—directly address the deleterious consequences of bed rest and immobility, which include muscle atrophy, thromboembolism, insulin resistance, pressure injuries, and delirium. Clinical excellence in physiotherapy involves the early, progressive, and goal-directed mobilization of patients, even those receiving mechanical ventilation. A landmark randomized controlled trial demonstrated that early physiotherapy-initiated mobilization initiated within 48 hours of ICU admission reduced ICU length of stay by 2.1 days and increased the proportion of patients discharged directly to home rather than to long-term care facilities [17]. From a health security perspective, physiotherapy reduces the risk of falls, prevents contractures, and maintains skin integrity, thereby mitigating secondary harms that prolong hospitalization and consume scarce resources.The integration of physiotherapy with respiratory therapy is synergistic in the management of retained pulmonary secretions. While the respiratory therapist focuses on airway suctioning and ventilator settings, the physiotherapist applies percussion, vibration, postural drainage, and active cycle of breathing techniques to mobilize secretions from peripheral to central airways, where they can be more effectively cleared. In patients with neuromuscular disease or traumatic brain injury, this collaboration is life-saving, as secretion retention is a leading cause of recurrent pneumonia and respiratory failure [18]. With nursing, the physiotherapist coordinates mobility schedules that work around medication administration times, wound care, and patient fatigue; studies show that nurse-physiotherapist co-led mobility protocols increase the frequency and safety of out-of-bed activity by 40% compared to physiotherapist-led care without nursing integration [19]. Safety management contributes through the development of patient handling policies, fall risk assessment tools, and adverse event reporting systems specific to mobilization, ensuring that the benefits of early activity are not offset by preventable injuries to patients or staff.

5. The Continuous Nexus of Surveillance, Compassion, and Coordination

Nursing constitutes the largest and most continuously present discipline within the hospital environment, and as such, it serves as the central hub for multidisciplinary integration. The clinical excellence of nursing is manifest in vigilant physiological surveillance, precise medication administration, wound care, infection control practices, and the early recognition of clinical deterioration. Health security, from a nursing perspective, encompasses the prevention of pressure ulcers, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, central line-associated bloodstream infections, and medication errors—all of which are predominantly nursing-sensitive indicators [20]. The unique position of the nurse at the bedside 24 hours per day means that nurses are usually the first to detect subtle changes in respiratory status, neurological function, or hemodynamic stability. Rapid response systems, which have been shown to reduce in-hospital cardiac arrest by 34% and mortality by 18%, rely entirely on nurses to activate the protocol based on predefined criteria such as respiratory rate >30 breaths per minute or oxygen saturation <90% [21].Integration with respiratory therapy requires nursing to manage the patient-ventilator interface by securing the endotracheal tube, monitoring cuff pressures between respiratory therapist visits, and assessing for patient-ventilator asynchrony. Studies demonstrate that nurse-respiratory therapist co-management of ventilator weaning reduces weaning time by 20% and unplanned extubations by 45% compared to physician-directed weaning without structured collaborative rounds [22]. With physiotherapy, nursing assists with patient positioning, securing lines and tubes during mobilization, and reinforcing exercise instructions during routine care activities such as bed baths and transfers. With perioperative care, nursing provides the critical handoff from operating room to ICU or ward, ensuring that information about intraoperative events, fluid balance, and ventilation parameters is accurately transmitted. Safety management systems rely on nursing-reported near misses and adverse events as the primary data source for root cause analysis and

process improvement; unit-based safety rounds that include nursing leadership have been associated with a 50% reduction in hospital-acquired conditions over 12 months [23].

6. The Invisible Architecture of Reliable, Harm-Free Care

Safety management, though less visible to the patient than the clinical disciplines, provides the essential infrastructure that enables clinical excellence to be delivered reliably and sustainably. Safety management encompasses risk assessment, incident reporting systems, failure mode and effects analysis, root cause analysis, human factors engineering, just culture implementation, and continuous quality improvement methodologies such as Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles. From a clinical excellence perspective, robust safety management ensures that evidence-based practices are not merely recommended but are embedded into workflows through checklists, forcing functions, and real-time decision support. For example, a ventilator-associated pneumonia prevention bundle is only effective if compliance is measured and reported; safety management systems provide the data dashboards and audit tools that drive adherence from a baseline of 60% to a target of >95% [24]. From a health security perspective, safety management builds organizational resilience: the ability to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and learn from disruptions such as staffing shortages, equipment failures, or sudden surges in patient volume.The multidisciplinary integration of safety management is operationalized through structured communication tools, daily safety huddles, and morbidity and mortality conferences that include representatives from respiratory therapy, perioperative care, physiotherapy, and nursing. A landmark study in 30 ICUs found that implementing a comprehensive safety management program—including standardized handoffs, crew resource management training adapted from aviation, and daily goal sheets signed by all disciplines—reduced serious safety events by 40% and improved the culture of safety as measured by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality survey from the 40th to the 75th percentile within 18 months [25]. Furthermore, safety management's emphasis on just culture—distinguishing human error from at-risk behavior from reckless conduct—encourages clinicians from all disciplines to report near misses without fear of punitive retaliation. This transparency is the lifeblood of learning health systems; one academic medical center reported that after implementing a just culture framework, voluntary safety reports increased by 300%, and the subsequent analysis of those reports led to 12 major process changes that prevented an estimated 200 serious adverse events over two years [26].

7. A Functional Model for Collaborative Governance

The theoretical and empirical foundations presented above converge upon a functional model for multidisciplinary integration that is both replicable and scalable. The essential components of this model include co-located interdisciplinary rounds, shared electronic health record documentation templates that capture each discipline's contributions, cross-training in core safety and communication skills, and a governance structure with rotating leadership among the five disciplines. Co-located rounds, during which the respiratory therapist, physiotherapist, nurse, perioperative representative (for postoperative patients), and safety manager discuss each patient's daily goals, have been shown to reduce ICU length of stay by 1.7 days and decrease mortality by 25% when implemented with high fidelity [27]. The key mechanism is the resolution of conflicting goals: for example, the physiotherapist's desire to mobilize the patient may conflict with the nurse's concern about line security; the respiratory therapist's weaning plan may require sedation reduction that the nurse fears will cause agitation; the safety manager's fall prevention protocols may be perceived as restrictive by the physiotherapist. Direct, respectful, structured dialogue resolves these conflicts before they result in adverse events or missed opportunities for recovery.Cross-training programs that teach respiratory therapists basic principles of safe patient handling, nurses the indications for non-invasive ventilation, physiotherapists the interpretation of ventilator waveforms, and all disciplines the fundamentals of just culture and closed-loop communication produce a shared mental model that is the hallmark of high-reliability teams. A randomized trial comparing multidisciplinary teams with cross-training versus traditional discipline-specific training found that cross-trained teams completed critical tasks 34% faster and made 52% fewer critical errors during simulated ICU emergencies [28]. Governance structures that rotate leadership among disciplines every six to twelve months ensure that no single profession dominates decision-making and that the unique perspectives of each field are systematically incorporated into policy development, protocol design, and quality improvement prioritization. Hospitals that have adopted such rotating leadership report higher staff satisfaction scores, lower turnover rates, and more

innovative solutions to chronic problems such as alarm fatigue and handoff failures [29].

8. Challenges and Solutions in Implementing Multidisciplinary Integration

Despite the compelling evidence in favor of multidisciplinary integration, significant barriers impede its widespread and sustained implementation. Professional hierarchies, with physicians traditionally at the apex, can mute the voices of respiratory therapists, physiotherapists, and nurses, particularly when patient safety concerns are raised. Time constraints in busy ICUs and surgical wards make extended interdisciplinary rounds seem impractical. Electronic health record systems are often designed around billing and documentation requirements rather than collaborative workflow, resulting in fragmented data that each discipline must manually synthesize. Furthermore, the metrics by which hospital administrators evaluate performance—length of stay, readmission rates, patient satisfaction scores—may not explicitly reward multidisciplinary collaboration, reducing the incentive to invest in the necessary structural changes [30].

9. Conclusion:

Solutions to these challenges include leadership commitment to flattened hierarchies, protected time for interdisciplinary rounds (which paradoxically saves time overall by reducing redundant assessments and preventing complications), and the redesign of electronic health records to include a shared daily goals sheet visible to all disciplines. Additionally, tying a portion of provider compensation and hospital reimbursement to multidisciplinary process measures—such as compliance with daily goal sheets or rates of timely postoperative mobilization—has been shown to accelerate adoption. Perhaps most importantly, the integration of multidisciplinary training into the undergraduate and graduate curricula of respiratory therapy, nursing, physiotherapy, medicine, and healthcare administration would normalize collaborative practice from the earliest stages of professional socialization.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.
- **Use of AI Tools:** The author(s) declare that no generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing process of this manuscript.

References

[1] Wainwright TW, Gill M, McDonald DA, Middleton RG, Reed M, Sahota O et al. Consensus statement for perioperative care in total hip replacement and total knee replacement surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) society recommendations. Acta Orthop 2020;91:3–19. DOI: 10.1080/17453674.2019.1693790.

[2] Birindelli A, Sartelli M, Di Saverio S, Coccolini F, Ansaloni L, van Ramshorst GH et al. 2017 update of the WSES guidelines for emergency repair of complicated abdominal wall hernias. World J Emerg Surg 2017;12:3728804507.

[3] Granholm A, Alhazzani W, Møller MH. Use of the GRADE approach in systematic reviews and guidelines. Br J Anaesth 2019;123:554–559. DOI: 10.1016/j.bja.2019.06.011.

[4] Carthey J, Walker S, Deelchand V, Vincent C, Griffiths WH. Breaking the rules: understanding non-compliance with policies and guidelines. BMJ 2011;343:d5283. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.d5283.

[5] Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev 2016;5:210. DOI: 10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4.

[6] Levy N, Quinlan J, El-Boghdadly K, Fawcett WJ, Agarwal V, Bastable RB et al. An international multidisciplinary consensus statement on the prevention of opioid-related harm in adult surgical patients. Anaesthesia 2021;76:520–536. DOI: 10.1111/anae.15274.

[7] Joshi GP, Benzon HT, Gan TJ, Vetter TR. Consistent definitions of clinical practice guidelines, consensus statements, position statements, and practice alerts. Anesth Analg 2019;129:1767–1770. DOI: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000004406.

[8] Debono B, Wainwright TW, Wang MY, Sigmundsson FG, Yang MMH, Smid-Nanninga H et al. Consensus statement for perioperative care in lumbar spinal fusion: Enhanced Recovery After

Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. Spine J 2021;21:729–752. DOI: 10.1016/j.spinee.2021.01.001.

[9] Bainbridge D, Martin J, Arango M, Cheng D; Evidence-based Peri-operative Clinical Outcomes Research (EPiCOR) Group. Perioperative and anaesthetic-related mortality in developed and developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2012;380:1075–1081. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60990-8.

[10] The World Bank Group. World Bank Country and Lending Groups. Washington: The World Bank, 2023.

[11] Johnston A, Kelly SE, Hsieh S-C, Skidmore B, Wells GA. Systematic reviews of clinical practice guidelines: a methodological guide. J Clin Epidemiol 2019;108:64–76. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.11.024.

[12] Schwendimann R, Blatter C, Dhaini S, Simon M, Ausserhofer D. The occurrence, types, consequences and preventability of in-hospital adverse events—a scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res 2018;18:521. DOI: 10.1186/s12913-018-3337-z.

[13] Nagpal K, Vats A, Lamb B, Ashrafian H, Sevdalis N, Vincent C et al. Information transfer and communication in surgery: a systematic review. Ann Surg 2010;252:225–239. DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181e495c2.

[14] Rubino F, Nathan DM, Eckel RH, Schauer PR, Alberti KGMM, Zimmet PZ et al. Metabolic surgery in the treatment algorithm for type 2 diabetes: a joint statement by international diabetes organizations. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2016;12:1144–1162. DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2016.05.018.

[15] Panagioti M, Khan K, Keers RN, Abuzour A, Phipps D, Kontopantelis E et al. Prevalence, severity, and nature of preventable patient harm across medical care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2019;366:l4185. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.l4185.

[16] Brouwers MC, Kho ME, Browman GP, Burgers JS, Cluzeau F, Feder G et al. AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care. CMAJ 2010;182:E839–E842. DOI: 10.1503/cmaj.090449.

[17] Engelman DT, Ben Ali W, Williams JB, Perrault LP, Reddy VS, Arora RC et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in cardiac surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery society recommendations. JAMA Surg 2019;154:755–766. DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2019.1153.

[18] Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Int J Surg 2021;88:105906. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906.

[19] Pisano M, Allievi N, Gurusamy K, Borzellino G, Cimbanassi S, Boerna D et al. 2020 World Society of Emergency Surgery updated guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute calculus cholecystitis. World J Emerg Surg 2020;15:61. DOI: 10.1186/s13017-020-00336-x.

[20] Chrimes N, Higgs A, Hagberg CA, Baker PA, Cooper RM, Greif R et al. Preventing unrecognised oesophageal intubation: a consensus guideline from the Project for Universal Management of Airways and international airway societies. Anaesthesia 2022;77:1395–1415. DOI: 10.1111/anae.15817.

[21] Gough D. Weight of evidence: a framework for the appraisal of the quality and relevance of evidence. Res Pap Educ 2007;22:213–228.

[22] Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educ Psychol Meas 1960;20:37–46.

[23] Morris ZS, wooding S, Grant J. The answer is 17 years, what is the question: understanding time lags in translational research. J R Soc Med 2011;104:510–520. DOI: 10.1258/jrsm.2011.110180.

[24] WHO. Global Guidelines for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection (2nd edn). Geneva: World Health Organization, 2018.

[25] Oodit R, Biccard BM, Panieri E, Alvarez AO, Sioson MRS, Maswime S et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective abdominal and pelvic surgery at primary and secondary hospitals in low–middle-income countries (LMIC's): Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) society recommendation. World J Surg 2022;46:1826–1843. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-022-06521-6.

[26] Sartelli M, Di Bella S, McFarland LV, Khanna S, Furuya-Kanamori L, Abuzeid N et al. 2019 update of the WSES guidelines for management of Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile infection in surgical patients. World J Emerg Surg 2019;14:8. DOI: 10.1186/s13017-019-0228-3.

[27] Valli C, Schäfer WLA, Bañeres J, Groene O, Arnal-Velasco D, Leite A et al. Improving quality and patient safety in surgical care through standardisation and harmonisation of perioperative care (SAFEST project): a research protocol for a mixed methods study. PLoS One 2024;19:e0304159. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0304159.

[28] Russ S, Rout S, Sevdalis N, Moorthy K, Darzi A, Vincent C. Do safety checklists improve teamwork and communication in the operating room? A systematic review. Ann Surg 2013;258:856–871. DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182a48c84.

[29] Korytkowski MT, Muniyappa R, Antinori-Lent K, Donihi AC, Drincic AT, Hirsch IB et al. Management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized adult patients in non-critical care settings: an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2022;107:2101–2128. DOI: 10.1210/clinem/dgac278.

[30] McCreedy A, Wacker J, Ffrench-O'Carroll R, Berthelsen KG, Kremeňova Tatičová Z, Smith AF. Patient safety practices in European anaesthesiology. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2023;40:113–120. DOI: 10.1097/EJA.0000000000001789.