



Role of Nursing, Medical Laboratory, Health Administration, and Public Health in Preventing Healthcare-Associated Infections

Hala Ahmad Taher Sabhan^{1*}, Abdulkarim Masfer Halyal Alharbi², Amirah Baghash Alenezi³, Reem Basheet Samir Alenazi⁴, Saad Ahmed A Almuntashiri⁵, Faisal Saud Dalal AL-Awfi⁶, Yasamiyan Qunayfith Dhuwayhi Alanazi⁷, IBTIHAL KHALIL M AIANAZI⁸, MASHAEL AQLAA ALRUWAILI⁹, DALAL MUDHHI ALANAZI¹⁰

¹Laboratory Technician – Biochemistry – Madinah Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Madinah – Madinah Region – Saudi Arabia

* Corresponding Author Email: Hsabhan123@hotmail.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-5247-782X

²Laboratory Technician – King Salman bin Abdulaziz Medical City – Madinah Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Madinah – Madinah Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: kemo_6_39@hotmail.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1980

³Nursing Technician – Al Aziziyah Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: ameraba@moh.gov.sa - ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1430

⁴Nursing Technician – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: rbalenazi@moh.gov.sa - ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1890

⁵Health Services and Hospital Administration Specialist – Namrah General Hospital – Makkah Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Al Ardiyah Al Shamaliyah – Makkah Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: saad-a2011@hotmail.com - ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1210

⁶Epidemiology Inspector Technician – Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz International Airport – Madinah Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Madinah – Madinah Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: faisal46340@gmail.com - ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1670

⁷Nursing Specialist – Forensic Medical Services Center, Mortality Administration – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Ygalenazi@moh.gov.sa- ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1330

⁸General Nursing – Maternity and Children Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Iibtihal1993@gmail.com – ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1210

⁹Nursing Technician – Suwayr Primary Health Care Center – Al Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Sakaka – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Mialrowilly@moh.gov.sa – ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1430

¹⁰Health Information Technician – Health Administration – Turaif Central Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Turaif – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Theone2025@outlook.sa – ORCID: 0000-0002-0047-1390

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.5528

Received : 05 April 2024

Revised : 26 May 2024

Accepted : 29 May 2024

Keywords (must be 3-5)

Healthcare-associated infections, Their expertise in microbiology is essential for tracking infection trends and outbreaks, ensuring

Abstract:

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) pose a significant threat to patient safety and public health in America, leading to extended hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and higher mortality rates. The role of nursing is crucial in the prevention of HAIs, as nurses are often the frontline caregivers who administer treatments, monitor patients for symptoms, and implement infection control protocols. They are trained to educate patients and their families about hygiene practices, such as handwashing and proper

that healthcare facilities can respond proactively. Health administration also contributes significantly by establishing policies and protocols that prioritize infection control measures, allocating resources effectively, and promoting continuous staff education on HAIs. Public health professionals complement these efforts by conducting surveillance, community education, and policy advocacy to create a safer healthcare environment. Collectively, these disciplines work synergistically to reduce the incidence of HAIs and improve healthcare outcomes across the nation.

1. Introduction

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) are defined as infections acquired during the provision of medical care that were neither present nor incubating at the time of admission [1]. They represent one of the most significant and persistent challenges confronting modern healthcare systems worldwide, threatening patient safety, quality of care, and healthcare sustainability. The global burden of HAIs continues to rise, intensified by pandemic-related disruptions, the proliferation of antimicrobial-resistant organisms, and persistent gaps in infection prevention and control (IPC) infrastructure across diverse healthcare settings [2]. Epidemiological data underscore the magnitude of this challenge. In high-income countries, approximately 7% of hospitalized patients acquire at least one HAI at any given time, while in low-and middle-income countries, this proportion can reach 15% [2]. In Europe alone, more than 4.2 million HAI episodes occur annually, contributing to over 90,000 deaths each year and representing more than 70% of the region's antimicrobial resistance burden [3]. In the United States, approximately 1 in 38 hospital patients has at least one HAI on any given day, with more than 2.8 million antimicrobial-resistant infections occurring annually and resulting in over 35,000 deaths [4].

The clinical and economic consequences of HAIs are profound. Antimicrobial-resistant infections, which are closely linked to HAIs, were responsible for an estimated 33,000 deaths in the European Union and European Economic Area in 2015 alone [5]. Device-associated infections—including central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), and surgical site infections (SSI)—remain the leading contributors to HAI-related morbidity and mortality [6]. Biofilm formation on medical devices and hospital surfaces creates persistent reservoirs that promote resistance spread and complicate eradication efforts [7]. The added costs of HAIs vary from US\$1,000 to US\$12,000 on average per episode depending on the country, imposing a substantial financial burden on already strained healthcare systems [8, 9].

The prevention of HAIs requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach that transcends individual clinical specialties and institutional boundaries. The World Health Organization has emphasized that effective IPC programs are built on core components including leadership, surveillance, education, and multimodal strategies [9]. This review examines the distinct yet interconnected roles of four critical professional domains—

nursing, medical laboratory sciences, health administration, and public health—in the prevention and control of HAIs.

2. The Role of Nursing in HAI Prevention

2.1 Direct Patient Care and Infection Prevention

Nurses occupy a central and indispensable position in the prevention of HAIs, as they are directly involved in the continuous delivery and monitoring of patient care [10]. As the largest group of healthcare professionals and the providers with the most frequent and sustained patient contact, nurses serve as the frontline implementers of infection prevention measures. Their responsibilities span the entire spectrum of patient care, from admission through discharge, encompassing surveillance, intervention, and education.

The effectiveness of nursing interventions in reducing HAI rates has been substantiated by robust empirical evidence. A systematic review and meta-analysis involving 10 high-quality studies with 5,500 patients demonstrated that nursing interventions reduced the odds of HAI by 31% (pooled OR = 0.69, 95% CI: 0.65–0.74, $p < 0.001$) [11].

Hygiene protocols demonstrated the greatest efficacy (OR = 0.62), followed by care bundles (OR = 0.68) and environmental cleaning (OR = 0.75) [11]. These findings underscore the critical importance of standardizing nursing interventions to enhance infection control and patient safety.

2.2 Evidence-Based Care Bundles

The implementation of evidence-based care bundles represents one of the most effective nursing-led strategies for HAI prevention. Care bundles are groupings of best practices that, when applied collectively and consistently, result in substantially greater improvement than individual measures applied in isolation [12]. For central line-associated bloodstream infections, the insertion bundle includes hand hygiene, full barrier precautions, chlorhexidine skin antisepsis, optimal catheter site selection, and daily review of line necessity [12]. Consistent application of these evidence-based practices has led to significant and sustained reductions in CLABSI rates [12].

Beyond central lines, nursing care bundles have been developed and validated for peripherally inserted central catheters, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, and ventilator-associated pneumonia [12]. These bundles incorporate evidence-based practices such as rigorous hand hygiene, chlorhexidine-based skin interventions, and daily assessment of device necessity. A nursing

care bundle for peripherally inserted central catheters, developed through literature review and expert validation, comprised 16 to 24 evidence-based items addressing insertion and maintenance practices [13]. The implementation of such structured approaches ensures that essential preventive measures are consistently executed and audited.

2.3 Hand Hygiene and Standard Precautions

Hand hygiene remains the single most effective and widely recommended method to prevent HAIs [14]. Despite its importance, hand hygiene compliance and—critically—performance quality remain suboptimal in clinical practice. A systematic literature review of 40 studies found that although compliance rates ranged from 23.5% to 93.2%, proper technique was often lacking, with frequently missed areas including fingertips, thumbs, and wrists [14]. Alcohol-based hand rubs outperformed soap and water in quality and coverage [14].

Nurses play a pivotal role in promoting and modeling hand hygiene excellence. Multimodal infection prevention and control interventions that combine alcohol-based hand rub access, education, audit and feedback, reminders, leadership support, and safety culture activities have demonstrated effectiveness in improving hand hygiene compliance and reducing HAI rates [15]. Interventional studies have reported increases in hand hygiene compliance from 50.17% to 71.75%, alongside reduced HAI and CAUTI rates [15]. Educational and feedback interventions improve hand hygiene performance, though sustained improvement requires continuous reinforcement [14].

2.4 Staffing, Workload, and Safety Culture

The organizational context of nursing care significantly influences HAI outcomes. Research examining the relationship between nurse staffing and HAI prevalence has found that positive safety culture and better nurse staffing levels can lower the rates of HAIs in intensive care units [16]. Inadequate nurse staffing may contribute to HAIs through lapses in best practices, as overstretched human resources create conditions conducive to missed care and reduced vigilance [16].

Studies have demonstrated that improvements to nurse staffing reduce nursing workloads, which may reduce missed care, increase job satisfaction, and ultimately reduce HAIs [17]. Increased job satisfaction is associated with lower rates of HAI, as are lower rates of missed care [17]. These findings highlight the importance of administrative

investment in adequate nursing staffing as a fundamental infection prevention strategy. Optimizing nurse–patient relationships, reducing administrative burdens, clarifying roles, and providing emotional support are essential for protecting staff well-being and strengthening infection prevention practices [17].

3. The Role of Medical Laboratory in HAI Prevention

3.1 Pathogen Identification and Surveillance

Clinical microbiology laboratories serve as the cornerstone of HAI surveillance, providing essential support for the identification, monitoring, and control of healthcare-associated infections [18]. Laboratories are responsible for identifying the causative agents of HAIs—including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites—by utilizing various diagnostic techniques such as culture, molecular biology methods, and serological tests to determine the microorganisms responsible for infection [18]. This foundational diagnostic function enables targeted clinical interventions and informs infection prevention strategies.

The microbiology laboratory functions as both a surveillance system and an early warning system for emerging pathogens and outbreak threats [18]. Automated blood culture detection systems with continuous 24/7 loading and monitoring represent the gold standard for detection of bacteremia and are critical for the rapid and accurate detection of CLABSI and MRSA bacteremia [19]. Detection of microorganisms in the blood is ideally followed by rapid molecular methods for organism identification, typically multiplex nucleic acid amplification tests [19].

3.2 Molecular Diagnostics and Emerging Technologies

Recent technological advances have transformed the capacity of clinical microbiology laboratories to support infection prevention and epidemiology. Molecular diagnostics enable rapid and accurate detection of pathogens and resistance markers, improving infection prevention, antimicrobial stewardship, and outbreak control [19]. Next-generation sequencing (NGS), once limited to research laboratories, is now more available due to lower costs and commercial solutions. NGS technologies offer higher throughput and accuracy than traditional sequencing, enabling rapid whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of organisms [19]. Whole-genome sequencing surveillance has demonstrated the potential to enhance outbreak

detection in hospital settings. The Enhanced Detection System for Healthcare-Associated Transmission (EDS-HAT) utilizes real-time WGS surveillance in partnership with infection prevention teams and clinical laboratories [20]. This approach can identify outbreaks that would otherwise go undetected and has shown potential to be cost-saving [20]. Advances in automated culture systems, rapid molecular assays, metagenomics, and whole-genome sequencing improve detection and surveillance of HAIs and antimicrobial-resistant organisms [20].

3.3 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing and Stewardship

Laboratories perform antimicrobial susceptibility testing to determine the sensitivity of identified pathogens to various antimicrobial agents, providing vital information for selecting effective treatment and guiding infection control measures [21]. This function is intrinsically linked to antimicrobial stewardship programs, which promote the appropriate use of antimicrobials to optimize patient outcomes and reduce harm, including antimicrobial resistance [21].

The clinical microbiology laboratory plays a pivotal role in antimicrobial stewardship, transitioning from a traditionally laboratory-bound diagnostic function to active clinical stewardship that bridges pathogen-level data with bedside decision-making [21]. The six D's of antimicrobial stewardship—diagnosis, drug, dose, duration, de-escalation, and discontinuation—all rely on accurate and timely laboratory data [21]. The laboratory is also frontline in detecting emerging antimicrobial resistance and informing institutional and public health responses [21].

3.4 Diagnostic Stewardship and HAI Metrics

Diagnostic stewardship refers to the process of modifying the ordering, collection, performance, and/or reporting of diagnostic tests to improve the diagnosis and treatment of infections while reducing misclassification of colonization or contamination events as HAIs [22]. Given that several publicly reported HAIs—including hospital-onset MRSA bacteremia, CLABSI, CAUTI, and hospital-onset *Clostridioides difficile* infection—require a positive laboratory test to meet the HAI definition, testing practices can have a major impact on HAI rates [22]. Diagnostic stewardship interventions achieve the greatest clinical impact when co-developed by microbiology, infection prevention, pharmacists, and infectious diseases leaders to promote

appropriate antimicrobial utilization and coordinated containment efforts [22]. By improving the accuracy of HAI diagnosis and reducing inappropriate testing, diagnostic stewardship supports both patient-centered care and accurate quality metrics.

3.5 Outbreak Investigation and Molecular Typing

Molecular typing methods, including whole-genome sequencing, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), and multilocus sequence typing (MLST), are used to track the genetic relatedness of pathogens, helping to identify outbreaks and transmission patterns within healthcare settings [20]. When a potential HAI outbreak is identified, laboratories play a central role in investigating and confirming the source and extent of the outbreak [23]. The laboratory's capacity for rapid and accurate pathogen characterization is essential for timely containment and prevention of further transmission.

4. The Role of Health Administration in HAI Prevention

4.1 Leadership and Governance

Health administration—encompassing hospital leadership, executive management, and governance structures—bears ultimate responsibility for establishing and sustaining effective infection prevention and control programs. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control emphasizes that the leadership of IPC programs in hospitals corresponds to the center's management, which must guarantee support for the IPC leader and ensure necessary material and financial resources [24]. Senior management is responsible for ensuring that the healthcare system supports an IPC program that effectively prevents infections [24].

The Chief Executive Officer of a healthcare organization is ultimately responsible for ensuring successful prevention and control of infections within their institution [24]. This responsibility manifests through the appointment of an Executive Lead for HAI, the allocation of resources for IPC services, and the integration of infection prevention into the organization's strategic priorities [25]. Without visible and committed leadership, infection prevention initiatives struggle to gain traction and sustainability.

4.2 Organizational Structure and Resources

Effective health administration establishes the organizational infrastructure necessary for HAI prevention. The ECDC recommends ensuring a minimum ratio of one full-time IPC professional per 250 beds in all healthcare centers, with higher ratios of one expert per 100 beds recommended for high-risk environments such as tertiary care hospitals and intensive care units [24]. Adequate staffing of infection preventionists is essential for surveillance, outbreak investigation, education, and policy development.

The creation of a multidisciplinary IPC team is a critical administrative responsibility. This team should include specialists in microbiology, infectious diseases, epidemiology, and social and behavioral sciences [26]. The IPC team's functions include surveillance of process and outcome indicators, outbreak investigation, and continuous staff training [24]. The IPC committee, chaired by the hospital epidemiologist or IPC lead, is responsible for reviewing and approving infection surveillance and control plans, policies, and HAI indicators [24].

4.3 Policy Development and Accountability

Health administrators are responsible for developing, implementing, and enforcing policies that support infection prevention. This includes establishing clear expectations for hand hygiene, standard and transmission-based precautions, environmental cleaning, and antimicrobial stewardship. Senior and unit leaders are responsible for holding personnel accountable for their actions, while IPC leadership ensures that an active program to identify HAIs is implemented and that HAI data are analyzed and used to drive improvement [24].

The integration of HAI prevention metrics into performance evaluation and quality improvement frameworks is a key administrative function. Administrators must ensure that infection prevention and control is a priority at every level of the organization, requiring total commitment from leadership to frontline staff [27]. This includes allocating resources for education and training, such as programs that improve healthcare workers' knowledge of current IPC best practices [25].

4.4 Economic Considerations and Return on Investment

Health administration must balance competing priorities while recognizing the economic imperative of HAI prevention. HAIs impose substantial costs on healthcare systems through prolonged hospitalization, additional diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, and lost productivity.

European data indicate millions of additional inpatient-days and billions of euros in annual costs attributable to these infections [8]. In the United States, it costs more than \$4.6 billion annually to treat infections caused by antimicrobial-resistant germs [4].

Investment in infection prevention yields demonstrable returns. A systematic review of economic evaluations found that most evidence focused on screening followed by contact precautions, isolation, and/or decolonization, with selective screening being most cost-effective [24]. Standalone environmental cleaning, hand hygiene, diagnostics, and surveillance interventions also demonstrated cost-effectiveness, though more evidence is needed for most interventions [24]. Administrators who prioritize infection prevention contribute to both improved patient outcomes and financial sustainability.

4.5 Crisis Preparedness and Response

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed critical gaps in healthcare system preparedness and underscored the importance of administrative leadership during public health emergencies. Throughout the pandemic, HAI/AR programs led and supported healthcare infection prevention and control activities, leveraging expertise in assessing IPC practices, conducting outbreak investigations, and building relationships with diverse partners [28]. Health administrators must ensure that their institutions are prepared to respond to emerging infectious threats while maintaining core infection prevention functions.

5. The Role of Public Health in HAI Prevention

5.1 Surveillance and Epidemiological Monitoring

Public health agencies at local, state, national, and international levels play a critical role in HAI prevention through surveillance, epidemiological monitoring, and coordinated response. The CDC funds a network of 65 health department Healthcare-associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance (HAI/AR) Programs to detect, prevent, and respond to HAI/AR threats [25]. These programs improve and protect healthcare safety and promote quality of care across the healthcare continuum.

HAI surveillance is a cornerstone of effective IPC programs, yet recent findings from WHO highlight that surveillance remains underdeveloped, particularly in low-resource settings [25]. Strengthening HAI surveillance systems is essential

to inform targeted interventions, support progress monitoring, and ultimately improve health outcomes for patients [25]. Facility-based surveillance enables hospitals to identify gaps in IPC practices, implement corrective actions, and improve quality of care [25]. Public health agencies provide technical expertise, standardized definitions, and data aggregation infrastructure that enable meaningful surveillance at population levels.

5.2 National Guidelines and Standards

Public health authorities are responsible for developing and disseminating national guidelines, standards, and recommendations for infection prevention and control. The national IPC team should update national guidelines covering key topics including standard and transmission-based precautions, outbreak management and preparedness, injection safety, and environmental hygiene [11]. These guidelines provide the evidence base for facility-level policies and ensure consistency in prevention practices across healthcare settings.

The WHO has emphasized the importance of HAI surveillance in its Minimum Requirements for Infection Prevention and Control, providing a framework for countries to strengthen their IPC programs [25]. Despite 71% of countries having effective IPC programs, only 6% met all WHO minimum requirements in 2023–2024, indicating substantial room for improvement in global IPC infrastructure [15].

5.3 Outbreak Detection and Response

Public health agencies serve as critical partners in detecting and responding to HAI outbreaks that exceed the capacity of individual healthcare facilities. State and territorial health agency HAI/AR programs spearhead prevention, detection, and outbreak response efforts in their communities [25]. These programs bring expertise in assessing healthcare IPC practices, conducting outbreak investigations across diverse settings, and navigating complex regulatory environments [29]. The integration of real-time laboratory data with infection prevention surveillance enables earlier recognition of healthcare-associated transmission events and supports more precise, timely containment strategies [20]. Public health agencies facilitate data sharing across facilities and jurisdictions, enabling identification of regional trends and coordinated responses to multi-facility outbreaks.

5.4 Antimicrobial Resistance Containment

Antimicrobial resistance represents a major public health threat that is intrinsically linked to HAI prevention. Antimicrobial-resistant bacterial infections can occur when colonizing pathogenic bacteria are transmitted to vulnerable patients, and interrupting this transmission is essential to addressing the global AMR crisis [3]. Public health agencies coordinate antimicrobial stewardship efforts across healthcare settings, promote judicious antimicrobial use, and track resistance patterns to inform clinical guidance.

The CDC works with federal and public health partners to reduce *C. difficile* infections through promoting evidence-based infection control guidelines, expanding implementation of antibiotic stewardship programs, and providing prevention expertise [2]. These coordinated efforts exemplify the public health approach to HAI prevention—combining surveillance, guidance, education, and direct technical assistance.

5.5 Education and Workforce Development

Public health agencies contribute to HAI prevention through education and workforce development initiatives. The CDC's Project Firstline improves healthcare workers' knowledge of current IPC best practices and strategies, and HAI/AR programs coordinate IPC education for local health departments, academic institutions, and healthcare organizations [25]. Conducting healthcare facility needs assessments to provide training that addresses knowledge gaps is a core function of public health HAI programs [25].

Public health agencies also support the development and sustainability of the infection prevention workforce. Establishing pathways for recruitment and retention, supporting workforce development, and employing strategies to improve workforce mental wellbeing are essential for maintaining IPC capacity [15]. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted both the critical importance of a robust IPC workforce and the vulnerability of this workforce to burnout and attrition.

5.6 Health Equity and Social Determinants

Public health approaches to HAI prevention recognize that the ability to prevent infection is fundamentally a question of equity. The burden of HAIs is higher where shortages of staff, supplies, and space are common [25]. The same bacteria that cause mild illness in one hospital can cause devastating outbreaks in another where clean water and protective equipment are limited [25]. Infection prevention is not only a matter of hygiene but also a

measure of how society values safety, dignity, and trust [22].

Public health agencies address these disparities through resource allocation, technical assistance to under-resourced facilities, and policy advocacy. The WHO's emphasis on strengthening HAI surveillance in low-resource settings reflects a commitment to global health equity [5]. Effective HAI prevention requires investment in people—those who deliver care, those who manage systems, and those who make decisions about health priorities [13].

6. Interdisciplinary Collaboration: The Cornerstone of Effective HAI Prevention

6.1 The Imperative for Integration

The complexity of HAI prevention demands collaboration that transcends traditional professional boundaries. No single discipline possesses the complete range of expertise, authority, and resources necessary to prevent HAIs effectively. The interplay between nursing practice, laboratory diagnostics, administrative governance, and public health surveillance creates a system of mutual reinforcement that is greater than the sum of its parts [9].

The collaboration between clinical microbiology laboratories and infection prevention programs exemplifies this synergy. Integrating diagnostic and surveillance data strengthens infection prevention strategies and enhances patient safety [18]. Strong collaboration among clinical microbiology laboratories, infection prevention programs, antimicrobial stewardship teams, and infectious disease physicians is critical for optimal HAI prevention [18]. This partnership enables earlier recognition of transmission events and supports more precise containment strategies [18].

6.2 Multidisciplinary Team Models

Multidisciplinary team approaches have demonstrated effectiveness in reducing HAI rates. A study examining the application of a multidisciplinary team model for hospital environmental surface quality management reported significant reductions in HAI rates and detection of multidrug-resistant organisms [25]. Structured multi-disciplinary huddles, such as "Elephant Rounds," have been proposed as a brief, structured approach to synthesizing IPC signals and supporting real-time systems thinking [4].

The successful implementation of HAI prevention protocols requires interdisciplinary educational approaches, including bedside education, technical

visits to hospital sectors, and discussion circles with critical analyses focused on infection prevention [25]. Team integration and close involvement of infection control committees are essential for translating evidence-based guidelines into sustainable practice changes [23].

6.3 Data-Driven Decision Making

Effective interdisciplinary collaboration relies on shared data and unified analytics. Integrated models that unite pharmacy expertise, advanced diagnostics, and epidemiological tracking demonstrate enhanced early HAI detection, optimized antimicrobial use, and improved patient outcomes through real-time data analytics [21]. A synergistic, administratively supported model that combines these elements is paramount for a proactive, data-driven defense against HAIs and antimicrobial resistance [21].

The integration of laboratory data with clinical surveillance systems enables identification of trends, evaluation of intervention effectiveness, and timely detection of emerging threats. Health administration provides the infrastructure and authority to implement data-driven changes, while public health agencies facilitate data sharing and aggregation across facilities. Nursing and medical laboratory staff contribute the clinical expertise to interpret data in context and translate findings into practice improvements [18].

6.4 Shared Accountability and Safety Culture

Ultimately, effective HAI prevention requires a culture of shared accountability in which all healthcare personnel recognize their role in protecting patients from preventable infections. Administrative commitment to a culture that prioritizes safety, adequate staffing and resources, robust data systems, and genuine interdisciplinary respect creates the conditions for sustained improvement. Investment in infection prevention is not merely a cost but an essential element of healthcare quality and the ethical obligation of healthcare institutions to do no harm [24].

7. Conclusion

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) pose a substantial global health challenge, affecting millions yearly. They are largely preventable through coordinated evidence-based practices across four key domains: nursing, medical laboratory, health administration, and public health. Nursing implements care bundles and promotes hygiene; the medical laboratory aids in diagnosis

and outbreak detection; health administration provides governance for prevention programs; and public health agencies offer surveillance and guidelines. Effective prevention requires interdisciplinary collaboration, data sharing, and a culture of safety. Future focus areas include improving surveillance in low-resource settings, utilizing molecular diagnostics, investing in the infection prevention workforce, and prioritizing infection control in healthcare governance, highlighting the moral responsibility of healthcare professionals in HAI prevention.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.
- **Use of AI Tools:** The author(s) declare that no generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing process of this manuscript.

References

1. Hooton T.M., Bradley S.F., Cardenas D.D., Colgan R., Geerlings S.E., Rice J.C., Saint S., Schaeffer A.J., Tambayh P.A., Tenke P., et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in adults: 2009 international clinical practice guidelines from the infectious diseases society of America. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2010;50:625–663. doi: 10.1086/650482.
2. Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program Healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial resistance in Canadian acute care hospitals, 2017–2021. *Can. Commun. Dis. Rep.* 2023;49:235–252. doi: 10.14745/ccdr.v49i05a09.
3. Patil H.V., Patil V.C., Ramteerthkar M.N., Kulkarni R.D. Central venous catheter-related bloodstream infections in the intensive care unit. *Indian. J. Crit. Care Med.* 2011;15:213–223. doi: 10.4103/0972-5229.92074.
4. Allegranzi B., Bischoff P., de Jonge S., Kubilay N.Z., Zayed B., Gomes S.M., Abbas M., Atema J.J., Gans S., van Rijen M., et al. New WHO recommendations on preoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: An evidence-based global perspective. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2016;16:e276–e287. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30398-X.
5. Su L.H., Chen I.L., Tang Y.F., Lee J.S., Liu J.W. Increased financial burdens and lengths of stay in patients with healthcare-associated infections due to multidrug-resistant bacteria in intensive care units: A propensity-matched case-control study. *PLoS ONE.* 2020;15:e0233265. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233265.
6. Klompas M., Branson R., Cawcutt K., Eichenwald E.C., Greene L.R., Lee G., Maragakis L.L., Powell K., Priebe G.P., Speck K., et al. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals: 2022 Update. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 2022;43:687–713. doi: 10.1017/ice.2022.88.
7. Dubey A.K., Singla R.K. Perspectives on anti-Candida drug development. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2019;19:2375–2376. doi: 10.2174/156802661926191114102349.
8. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Surgical Site Infections: Prevention and Treatment. NICE Guideline [NG125].
9. Mitchell R., Taylor G., Rudnick W., Alexandre S., Bush K., Forrester L., Frenette C., Granfield B., Gravel-Tropper D., Happe J., et al. Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program. Trends in health care-associated infections in acute care hospitals in Canada: An analysis of repeated point-prevalence surveys. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* 2019;191:E981–E988. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.190361.
10. O'Grady N.P., Alexander M., Burns L.A., Dellinger E.P., Garland J., Heard S.O., Lipsett P.A., Masur H., Mermel L.A., Perason M.L., et al. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2011;52:e162–e193. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir257.
11. Lyman M., Forsberg K., Sexton D.J., Chow N.A., Lockhart S.R., Jackson B.R., Chiller T. Worsening spread of *Candida auris* in the United States, 2019 to 2021. *Ann Intern Med.* 2023;176:489–495. doi: 10.7326/M22-3469.
12. Abad C.L., Formalejo C.P., Mantaring D.M. Assessment of knowledge and implementation practices of the ventilator acquired pneumonia (VAP) bundle in the intensive care unit of a private hospital. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control.* 2021;10:161. doi: 10.1186/s13756-021-01027-1.
13. Worldwide Antimicrobial Resistance National/International Network Group (WARNING) Collaborators Ten golden rules for optimal antibiotic use in hospital settings: The WARNING call to action. *World J. Emerg. Surg.* 2023;18:50. doi: 10.1186/s13017-023-00518-3.
14. Gad M.H., AbdelAziz H.H. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections in the adult patient group: A qualitative systematic review on the adopted

- preventative and interventional protocols from the literature. *Cureus*. 2021;13:e16284. doi: 10.7759/cureus.16284.
15. Allegranzi B., Zayed B., Bischoff P., Kubilay N.Z., de Jonge S., de Vries F., Gomes S.M., Gans S., Wallert E.D., Wu X., et al. New WHO recommendations on intraoperative and postoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: An evidence-based global perspective. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2016;16:e288–e303. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30402-9.
16. Valiquette L., Chakra C.N., Laupland K.B. Financial impact of health care-associated infections: When money talks. *Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol.* 2014;25:71–74. doi: 10.1155/2014/279794.
17. Hua F., Xie H., Worthington H.V., Furness S., Zhang Q., Li C. Oral hygiene care for critically ill patients to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2016;10:CD008367. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008367.pub3.
18. Ban K.A., Minei J.P., Laronga C., Harbrecht B.G., Jensen E.H., Fry D.E., Itani K.M., Dellinger E.P., Ko C.Y., Duane T.M. American College of Surgeons and Surgical Infection Society: Surgical site infection guidelines, 2016 update. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 2017;224:59–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2016.10.029.
19. Dahiya S., Chhillar A.K., Sharma N., Choudhary P., Punia A., Balhara M., Kaushik K., Parmar V.S. *Candida auris* and nosocomial infection. *Curr. Drug Targets.* 2020;21:365–373. doi: 10.2174/1389450120666190924155631.
20. Rotstein C., Evans G., Born A., Grossman R., Light R.B., Magder S., McTaggart B., Weiss K., Zhanel G.G. Clinical practice guidelines for hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults. *Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol.* 2008;19:19–53. doi: 10.1155/2008/593289.
21. Haque M., Sartelli M., McKimm J., Abu Bakar M. Health care-associated infections—An overview. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2018;11:2321–2333. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S177247.
22. Gahlot R., Nigam C., Kumar V., Yadav G., Anupurba S. Catheter-related bloodstream infections. *Int. J. Crit. Illn. Inj. Sci.* 2014;4:162–167. doi: 10.4103/2229-5151.134184.
23. Cassini A., Plachouras D., Eckmanns T., Abu Sin M., Blank H.P., Ducomble T., Haller S., Harder T., Klingeberg A., Sixtensson M., et al. Burden of six healthcare-associated infections on European population health: Estimating incidence-based disability-adjusted life years through a population prevalence-based modelling study. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13:e1002150. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002150.
24. Gould C.V., Umscheid C.A., Agarwal R.K., Kuntz G., Pegues D.A., Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections 2009. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 2010;31:319–326. doi: 10.1086/651091.
25. WHO. Global Guidelines for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection. 2nd ed. World Health Organization (WHO); Geneva, Switzerland: 2016.
26. Torres A., Niederman M.S., Chastre J., Ewig S., Fernandez-Vandellos P., Hanberger H., Kollef M., Bassi G.L., Luna C.M., Martin-Loeches I., et al. International ERS/ESICM/ESCMID/ALAT guidelines for the management of hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2017;50:1700582. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00582-2017.
27. Schreiber P.W., Sax H., Wolfensberger A., Clack L., Kuster S.P., Swissnos. The preventable proportion of healthcare-associated infections 2005–2016: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 2018;39:1277–1295. doi: 10.1017/ice.2018.183.
28. Parker V., Giles M., Graham L., Suthers B., Watts W., O'Brien T., Searles A. Avoiding inappropriate urinary catheter use and catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI): A pre-post control intervention study. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 2017;17:314. doi: 10.1186/s12913-017-2268-2.
29. Calderwood M.S., Anderson D.J., Bratzler D.W., Dellinger E.P., Garcia-Houchins S., Maragakis L.L., Nyquist A.C., Perkins K.M., Preas M.A., Saiman L., et al. Strategies to prevent surgical site infections in acute-care hospitals: 2022 Update. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 2023;44:695–720. doi: 10.1017/ice.2023.67.